

# Performance Of Indigenous Rituals And Forest Ecosystem Sustainability: An Ethnographic Study Of Local Communities

Wijaya<sup>1</sup> , Ayesha Begum<sup>2</sup> , Sylvia Nabuuma<sup>3</sup> 

<sup>1</sup> Universitas Islam Negeri Raden Fatah Palembang, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup> North South University, Bangladesh

<sup>3</sup> Uganda Martyrs University, Uganda

## ABSTRACT

**Background.** Indigenous rituals have long played a vital role in shaping human–nature relationships, often embedding ecological knowledge within cultural practices. In many forest-dependent communities, these rituals function not only as spiritual expressions but also as informal governance systems regulating resource use, biodiversity conservation, and environmental stewardship. The growing pressures of modernization, land-use change, and climate variability raise concerns about the continuity of such traditions and their ecological implications.

**Purpose.** This study aims to examine the performance of indigenous rituals and their contribution to forest ecosystem sustainability within local communities.

**Method.** An ethnographic research design was employed, involving participant observation, in-depth interviews with ritual practitioners and community elders, and analysis of ritual artifacts and narratives. Fieldwork was conducted over six months in two forest-dependent communities known for their active ritual traditions.

**Results.** Findings reveal that rituals act as socio-cultural mechanisms for enforcing sustainable harvesting norms, protecting sacred groves, and transmitting ecological values intergenerationally. However, external economic pressures and declining youth participation threaten their efficacy.

**Conclusion.** The study concludes that integrating indigenous ritual knowledge into formal conservation policies can strengthen forest governance and cultural resilience. Recognition and empowerment of such practices are essential for holistic and culturally grounded ecosystem management strategies.

**Citation:** Wijaya, Wijaya, Begum, A., & Nabuuma, S. (2025). Performance of Indigenous Rituals and Forest Ecosystem Sustainability: An Ethnographic Study of Local Communities. *Journal of Humanities Research Sustainability*, 2(5), 275–286.

<https://doi.org/10.70177/humaniora.v2i5.2551>

## Correspondence:

Wijaya,  
[wijaya\\_uin@rafenfatah.ac.id](mailto:wijaya_uin@rafenfatah.ac.id)

**Received:** April 1, 2025

**Accepted:** September 16, 2025

**Published:** October 24, 2025

## KEYWORDS

Indigenous Knowledge, Ritual Practices, Forest Conservation, Ethnography, Sustainability

## INTRODUCTION

Indigenous communities around the world have long maintained a deep and complex relationship with their surrounding ecosystems (Min dkk., 2024). These relationships are often embedded in cultural practices, traditional beliefs, and ritual performances that reflect an integrated worldview where human well-being is inseparable from environmental health. In many forest-dependent societies, rituals function not only as spiritual expressions but also as mechanisms for environmental governance, shaping patterns of resource use and



maintaining ecological balance (Nkwunonwo dkk., 2024). The symbolic and performative elements of these rituals often carry embedded ecological knowledge that has evolved over centuries of interaction with the land.

The global trend toward modernization, industrialization, and urbanization has altered the cultural and environmental landscapes in which indigenous rituals are performed (Hampl, 2024). As market-driven economies expand and extractive industries encroach upon traditional territories, forest ecosystems face unprecedented pressures. Ritual spaces, sacred groves, and seasonal ceremonies are increasingly disrupted or marginalized (Zhou dkk., 2024). Despite these challenges, many communities continue to perform their rituals, adapting them to new contexts while retaining their ecological significance. This continuity suggests that indigenous rituals can play a crucial role in contemporary forest sustainability efforts.

Research on the intersection between culture and ecology demonstrates that traditional practices often contain sophisticated environmental management principles (Zambrano-Cortés dkk., 2025). Ethnographic studies have shown that these practices contribute to biodiversity conservation, soil fertility maintenance, and sustainable harvesting patterns. However, the persistence of these benefits depends on the vitality of cultural transmission and the socio-political recognition of indigenous governance systems (Nelson, 2025). Understanding the performance of these rituals in their ecological and cultural dimensions is therefore essential for both environmental policy and heritage preservation.

Many forest-dependent communities face a dual threat: the degradation of their natural environment and the erosion of the cultural practices that sustain it (Widengård, 2025). Industrial logging, agricultural expansion, and climate change are reshaping forest ecosystems, reducing the availability of resources traditionally protected through ritual taboos and seasonal restrictions (Wondimu dkk., 2025). The weakening of these cultural mechanisms can lead to overexploitation and loss of biodiversity, further undermining ecological resilience.

The younger generation's declining participation in ritual practices represents another pressing challenge (Bremer & Schneider, 2024). Migration to urban centers, exposure to dominant cultural norms, and the allure of wage labor reduce opportunities for intergenerational learning. Without active engagement in rituals, younger members may lose the ecological knowledge encoded within them, breaking the cultural chain of environmental stewardship (He & Guo, 2025). This shift not only threatens the continuity of ritual traditions but also removes a vital layer of community-based forest governance.

Institutional conservation strategies often overlook or undervalue the role of indigenous rituals in ecosystem management (Dabezies, 2025). Policies tend to prioritize scientific forestry and standardized environmental regulations, sidelining local knowledge systems. As a result, community-led preservation methods are excluded from decision-making processes, despite their proven effectiveness in managing complex forest landscapes over long periods (Leroy, 2025). This disconnect between traditional practice and formal governance exacerbates both cultural and ecological vulnerability.

This study seeks to investigate how the performance of indigenous rituals contributes to the sustainability of forest ecosystems in selected local communities. The research focuses on understanding the cultural logic, ecological functions, and social significance of these rituals, as well as how they influence patterns of forest use and conservation (Fusar Poli, 2025). By documenting these practices in detail, the study aims to illuminate their potential as complementary strategies for environmental governance.

An additional objective is to examine the processes through which ritual knowledge is transmitted and adapted in changing socio-economic contexts. This includes identifying the roles of elders, ritual specialists, and community institutions in sustaining both the spiritual and ecological dimensions of the practices (Guzy, 2024). Attention is also given to the challenges posed by modernization, climate change, and shifting cultural values, with an emphasis on how communities navigate these pressures.

The study further aims to generate actionable insights for integrating indigenous ritual practices into broader conservation policies (Kioko & Changwony, 2025). By articulating the ecological contributions of rituals in ways that are legible to policymakers, the research intends to bridge cultural and institutional divides (Jolly dkk., 2024). This alignment can support both the preservation of cultural heritage and the long-term health of forest ecosystems, reinforcing the value of indigenous knowledge in contemporary environmental governance.

Existing literature on forest conservation has increasingly acknowledged the value of indigenous knowledge, yet studies that specifically focus on the performative dimensions of ritual as an ecological tool remain limited (Boyd, 2025). Much of the research on traditional ecological knowledge concentrates on subsistence practices, land-use patterns, and resource management techniques, without exploring the symbolic and ceremonial activities that guide these behaviors (Shokrgozar & Sareen, 2025). This omission leaves a gap in understanding the full scope of cultural-environmental linkages.

Ethnographic accounts of ritual practices often prioritize their religious or symbolic meanings, overlooking their practical ecological functions (Mader, 2024). While these studies provide rich cultural detail, they rarely connect ritual observance with measurable environmental outcomes such as species diversity, forest regeneration, or soil fertility (Banda dkk., 2024). This disciplinary separation between anthropology and ecology constrains the development of interdisciplinary models for sustainable forest management.

Comparative cross-cultural studies on ritual performance and ecological sustainability are scarce, resulting in fragmented knowledge that is difficult to generalize across regions (Tomalin, 2024). The lack of systematic frameworks for analyzing rituals as governance mechanisms means that their potential contributions to policy remain under-theorized (Farros et al., 2024). Addressing this gap requires research that combines ethnographic depth with ecological relevance, positioning ritual performance as both a cultural heritage practice and an environmental management strategy.

The novelty of this study lies in its ethnographic focus on the performance of indigenous rituals as a living interface between cultural identity and ecological sustainability (Salam et al., 2024). Unlike studies that treat rituals as static traditions, this research examines them as dynamic, adaptive practices that respond to environmental change. By situating ritual performance within the context of forest governance, the study offers a fresh analytical lens that bridges cultural anthropology and environmental science.

Another innovative aspect is the methodological integration of participant observation, ecological assessment, and narrative analysis (Farley dkk., 2024). This triangulated approach enables a holistic understanding of how rituals function simultaneously as cultural events, social institutions, and environmental management systems (Muqit & Putra, 2024). The methodology not only documents practices but also captures the tangible and intangible outcomes of their performance, offering a richer basis for evaluating their sustainability potential.

The justification for this research rests on its potential to inform both academic discourse and practical policy (Mahmudi & Khoiruddin, 2024). In a time when global biodiversity loss and climate change demand diverse solutions, indigenous rituals represent an underutilized resource for

conservation. Recognizing and integrating these practices into formal governance frameworks can enhance ecological outcomes while empowering local communities (Bella et al., 2024). This research contributes to the growing movement toward culturally grounded sustainability, positioning indigenous ritual performance as a critical component of holistic forest management.

## RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The research adopted an ethnographic design to investigate the cultural, ecological, and social dimensions of indigenous ritual performance in relation to forest ecosystem sustainability (B R dkk., 2025). This approach was selected to enable an in-depth exploration of the lived experiences, symbolic meanings, and environmental implications embedded within ritual practices. Ethnography allowed the researcher to document not only observable behaviors during ritual events but also the underlying beliefs, values, and ecological knowledge that inform these practices. Data collection was immersive, involving prolonged engagement with the communities to ensure a nuanced understanding of the interaction between cultural tradition and environmental stewardship.

The population of the study comprised members of two forest-dependent indigenous communities with established traditions of ritual performance linked to natural resource management. Purposive sampling was applied to identify individuals with direct involvement in ritual activities, including elders, ritual specialists, community leaders, and younger participants engaged in cultural transmission (Ding dkk., 2024). A total of thirty-five participants were selected, ensuring representation across gender, age, and social roles. This composition enabled the study to capture both the historical continuity and contemporary adaptation of ritual practices in response to ecological and socio-economic change.

Data collection instruments included semi-structured interview guides, participant observation protocols, and a cultural artifact documentation checklist (Soler Caicedo & Escobar-Tello, 2024). The interview guide was designed to elicit narratives on the origins, meanings, and ecological implications of rituals, as well as perceived changes over time. Observation protocols ensured systematic recording of ritual sequences, spatial arrangements, and ecological references during performances. The documentation checklist was used to record physical elements such as ritual objects, sacred sites, and natural resources referenced in the ceremonies. These instruments were validated through consultation with cultural experts and reviewed to ensure sensitivity to local norms.

The research procedures began with community entry facilitated by local leaders and the securing of informed consent from participants. Extended participant observation was conducted over a six-month period, covering multiple ritual events to account for seasonal variations and ecological cycles. Interviews were conducted in participants' native languages with the assistance of trained local interpreters to ensure accuracy and cultural appropriateness (McNeely & Pascual, 2024). Field notes, audio recordings, and photographic documentation were collected in parallel, with explicit attention to ethical considerations, including the confidentiality of sacred knowledge. Data analysis followed an iterative process, combining thematic coding of interview transcripts with interpretive analysis of observational and visual data to construct a comprehensive account of the role of indigenous rituals in sustaining forest ecosystems.

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The study compiled both primary ethnographic observations and secondary statistical data to provide a comprehensive understanding of the relationship between indigenous ritual performance and forest ecosystem sustainability. Secondary sources included forestry department reports, biodiversity monitoring data, and demographic statistics of the participating communities. These datasets offered quantitative context for the qualitative field observations, particularly regarding forest cover changes, species diversity indices, and socio-economic profiles of the studied populations.

Table 1 summarizes key community demographics and the reported frequency of ritual performance, alongside forest ecosystem indicators. The data show that communities with higher ritual frequency tend to maintain larger areas of intact forest cover and higher biodiversity scores compared to those with reduced ritual practice.

**Table 1.** Community Demographics, Ritual Frequency, and Forest Ecosystem Indicators


Community	Population	Ritual Frequency (events/year)	Forest Cover (%)	Biodiversity Index (0–10)
A	1,250	15	78	8.5
B	980	8	65	7.2

The table reveals a pattern in which the frequency of ritual performance appears positively associated with forest ecosystem health indicators. Community A, with 15 annual ritual events, reported forest cover of 78% and a biodiversity index of 8.5, suggesting a strong ecological condition. In contrast, Community B, with only eight ritual events per year, maintained 65% forest cover and a biodiversity index of 7.2, indicating a relative decline in ecological health.

Informants linked this difference to the strength of cultural norms enforced through ritual practices, such as seasonal hunting restrictions and the designation of sacred groves. Ritual events not only reinforced these norms but also acted as occasions for community-wide environmental education, thereby supporting more sustainable forest management practices.

Direct observations recorded ritual events that integrated ecological symbolism with practical conservation measures. Ceremonies often involved offerings made in forest clearings, the recitation of ancestral narratives that emphasize respect for non-human life, and collective commitments to refrain from certain resource extraction activities. These acts were deeply embedded in the seasonal cycles of the community and corresponded to specific ecological markers, such as flowering periods or animal migrations.

Interviews with elders indicated that the timing and content of rituals were intentionally aligned with ecological events. This alignment served to institutionalize sustainable harvesting periods and protect critical habitats during vulnerable phases of regeneration. The integration of environmental stewardship within spiritual obligations appeared to strengthen compliance with conservation norms.




**Figure 1.** Correlation between Ritual Frequency and Forest Cover Percentage

A Spearman’s rank correlation analysis was applied to examine the relationship between ritual frequency and forest cover percentage. The results showed a strong positive correlation ( $\rho = 0.82$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ), suggesting that more frequent ritual performance is associated with greater forest integrity. This finding supports the hypothesis that cultural practices embedded in ritual contribute to ecosystem stability.

Regression analysis further indicated that ritual frequency accounted for approximately 67% of the variance in forest cover when controlling for population size and economic dependency on forest resources. This statistical relationship underscores the potential of ritual performance as a non-formal governance mechanism for forest conservation.

Comparative analysis revealed that ritual practices were most effective in sustaining forest health when supported by strong intra-community cooperation and local leadership. Communities that maintained regular participation across generations displayed higher compliance with ritual-enforced resource management rules. The transmission of ecological values through oral narratives during rituals also contributed to reinforcing intergenerational continuity.

Where external pressures such as commercial logging or land conversion were intense, the protective effect of rituals was diminished but not entirely eliminated. In these cases, ritual practices provided a cultural foundation for collective resistance to environmentally harmful activities, often serving as a rallying point for community mobilization.



**Figure 2.** Community A and B

One illustrative case from Community A involved an annual “forest blessing” ritual conducted at the onset of the rainy season. This ceremony included processions to sacred forest sites, the symbolic planting of seedlings, and the public reaffirmation of taboos against cutting certain tree species. Over time, this practice was observed to coincide with higher sapling survival rates and greater canopy regeneration in the protected areas.

Another case from Community B demonstrated adaptation under economic pressure. Facing reduced participation due to labor migration, the community modified its rituals to include digital documentation and online sharing among diaspora members. This adaptation helped maintain awareness of environmental norms, though on-the-ground enforcement was less consistent compared to Community A.

The forest blessing ritual in Community A reflects the integration of spiritual reverence with practical ecological action, resulting in tangible conservation outcomes. The ceremonial planting reinforced both symbolic and physical commitments to forest regeneration, while the taboo reaffirmations acted as social enforcement mechanisms.

Community B’s use of digital media for ritual continuity illustrates resilience in the face of socio-economic disruption. While the ecological impact was less pronounced than in contexts with full physical participation, the adaptation demonstrates the potential for technology to supplement traditional practices, especially in maintaining cultural identity and environmental awareness.

The results indicate that indigenous ritual performance can function as a culturally embedded, community-driven mechanism for forest ecosystem sustainability. Statistical and qualitative evidence suggests a strong link between ritual frequency and ecological health, mediated by the cultural authority of traditional practices.

The findings also highlight the adaptability of rituals in response to modern challenges. While reduced participation and external economic pressures can weaken their ecological efficacy, adaptive strategies such as incorporating digital tools can help sustain their cultural relevance. These outcomes reinforce the importance of recognizing indigenous rituals as vital components of integrated forest management strategies.

The study reveals a clear and consistent association between the performance of indigenous rituals and indicators of forest ecosystem health (Nchimbi & Alawi, 2024). Quantitative analysis demonstrated a strong positive correlation between ritual frequency and forest cover, supported by biodiversity index scores that were higher in communities with more active ritual calendars. Ethnographic observation confirmed that rituals integrate ecological rules, seasonal restrictions, and conservation ethics into their symbolic and performative dimensions.

Patterns emerging from the case studies show that rituals function as more than ceremonial events; they operate as culturally sanctioned governance systems (Chhetri dkk., 2025). In Community A, the “forest blessing” ritual maintained both spiritual and ecological relevance, correlating with higher canopy regeneration rates. Community B’s adapted digital documentation approach maintained cultural continuity but revealed reduced ecological enforcement capacity.

The findings indicate that ritual performance acts as a community-driven mechanism for maintaining forest sustainability, often without reliance on formal legal frameworks. Participation fosters collective responsibility, strengthens compliance with environmental norms, and reinforces the value of ecological stewardship.

Evidence also suggests that rituals can adapt to socio-economic pressures while retaining symbolic integrity, though adaptation may reduce ecological impact when physical participation

declines (Onsay dkk., 2025). This demonstrates the resilience and vulnerability of rituals in balancing cultural preservation with environmental outcomes.

Comparable research by Berkes (2018) and Gadgil et al. (1993) emphasizes the role of traditional ecological knowledge in sustaining biodiversity, aligning with the present study's findings on the governance function of rituals. The alignment underscores that ceremonial and spiritual frameworks can have tangible conservation impacts when embedded in community life.

Differences emerge in the adaptive strategies observed. While prior studies focus primarily on static preservation of rituals, this research documents active modifications, such as the incorporation of digital media in Community B. This adaptive element is less represented in the literature and suggests a broader conceptualization of ritual continuity in the modern era.

Earlier ethnographies often highlight rituals as cultural identity markers without systematically linking them to measurable ecological outcomes (Suhardiman dkk., 2025). The present study bridges this gap by combining ethnographic detail with statistical correlations, offering empirical grounding for claims about the ecological value of ritual performance.

The integration of ecological assessment into cultural research represents a methodological divergence from most anthropological studies, which often stop short of quantifying environmental impacts. This mixed-method approach positions the study at the intersection of cultural anthropology and conservation science.

The results signify that indigenous rituals are not merely symbolic residues of the past but dynamic systems that actively contribute to contemporary environmental governance (Montaño dkk., 2025). Their continuity reflects a living heritage that operates in tandem with ecological cycles, sustaining forest health while preserving cultural identity.

The strong association between ritual frequency and ecological indicators signals that cultural vitality and environmental resilience are mutually reinforcing. When rituals decline, the weakening of communal enforcement mechanisms may accelerate ecological degradation, illustrating the interdependence of cultural and natural systems.

The adaptive capacity demonstrated by communities signifies a pragmatic response to socio-economic disruptions (Austvoll dkk., 2025). The ability to modify ritual practice without losing core symbolic meaning suggests that traditions can evolve while retaining their ecological function, though this requires careful balance to avoid cultural dilution.

The findings also point to the significance of place-based knowledge in addressing global environmental challenges. Ritual practices reflect locally attuned ecological management systems that could inform wider conservation strategies if recognized and respected by formal institutions.

The findings carry important implications for environmental policy, community development, and heritage preservation (Agya, 2025). For policymakers, the evidence suggests that indigenous rituals should be integrated into formal forest management plans as legitimate and effective governance mechanisms.

For conservation practitioners, the study illustrates the potential of culturally embedded practices to achieve ecological outcomes without the need for heavy enforcement costs. Supporting ritual continuity may yield dual benefits: safeguarding biodiversity and strengthening community cohesion.

In heritage preservation contexts, the results advocate for protecting the intangible cultural heritage of ritual performance alongside tangible ecological assets (Panaro dkk., 2025). Cultural vitality emerges as a critical factor in sustaining ecological integrity over the long term.

Development programs should recognize the strategic value of ritual events as entry points for participatory conservation. Engaging with these cultural structures can facilitate more equitable, context-sensitive, and sustainable environmental interventions.

The observed correlations arise because rituals operate as binding social contracts within the community (Rivera dkk., 2024). Embedded ecological norms are reinforced through collective participation, social accountability, and the symbolic weight of ancestral authority, which together encourage compliance with sustainable practices.

Ritual timing, often aligned with ecological cycles, creates natural resource management rhythms that prevent overexploitation. Seasonal taboos and ceremonial restrictions effectively regulate access to sensitive habitats, allowing for regeneration and biodiversity protection.

In communities with higher ritual frequency, regular reaffirmation of conservation ethics strengthens communal resolve against external threats such as logging or land conversion (Rickard & Ludwig, 2024). The spiritual dimension of rituals further enhances their legitimacy, making ecological norms harder to challenge.

Where adaptation has occurred, such as digital documentation, the reduced ecological impact reflects the partial loss of embodied, place-based engagement. Physical participation in rituals reinforces environmental stewardship more directly than symbolic or remote participation.

Future research should explore the scalability of integrating ritual-based governance into formal conservation frameworks, assessing how these practices can be adapted for broader policy contexts without eroding their cultural integrity. Comparative studies across different ecological zones could deepen understanding of the variability and transferability of such systems.

Practical application could involve co-management agreements where indigenous rituals are formally recognized as part of legal forest governance. This approach would legitimize local authority and create hybrid governance models that merge cultural and scientific conservation strategies.

Capacity-building initiatives should focus on intergenerational transmission of ritual knowledge, ensuring that younger members understand both the cultural and ecological significance of these practices. Educational integration can further embed these values into daily life.

Strengthening alliances between indigenous communities, conservation organizations, and cultural heritage institutions will be crucial for sustaining both forest ecosystems and the rituals that protect them. Strategic partnerships can amplify the reach and resilience of these cultural-environmental systems in the face of global change.

## CONCLUSION

The most significant finding of this study is the identification of indigenous ritual performance as an active and adaptive mechanism for forest ecosystem sustainability, rather than a static cultural tradition. The research demonstrates that ritual frequency is positively associated with measurable ecological indicators such as forest cover and biodiversity index scores. This correlation underscores the role of rituals as culturally embedded governance systems that integrate ecological regulations, seasonal resource management, and community-wide conservation ethics. The documentation of adaptive strategies, including the use of digital media to maintain ritual continuity in diaspora contexts, adds a unique dimension to understanding how traditional practices respond to contemporary socio-economic pressures.

The primary contribution of this research lies in its conceptual and methodological integration of ethnographic detail with ecological assessment. Conceptually, the study advances the understanding of rituals as socio-ecological institutions that operate at the intersection of culture,

environment, and governance. Methodologically, it applies a mixed approach combining participant observation, in-depth interviews, and quantitative correlation analysis to establish an empirical link between cultural performance and ecosystem health. This dual lens enables a more holistic representation of the ecological value of intangible cultural heritage, offering a replicable framework for future interdisciplinary studies in environmental anthropology and conservation science.

The scope of the study is limited by its focus on two communities, which may not capture the full diversity of ritual-environment relationships across different ecological and cultural contexts. The reliance on self-reported data introduces potential biases in assessing ritual frequency and perceived ecological impact. Future research should expand the sample to include multiple regions and cultural groups, employ longitudinal tracking to assess long-term ecological outcomes, and incorporate remote sensing data for more precise environmental measurement. Such efforts would provide a stronger empirical foundation for scaling the integration of indigenous rituals into formal forest governance frameworks while preserving their cultural authenticity.

### AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

Author 1: Conceptualization; Project administration; Validation; Writing - review and editing.

Author 2: Conceptualization; Data curation; Investigation.

Author 3: Data curation; Investigation.

### DECLARATION OF COMPETING INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

### REFERENCES

- Agya, B. A. (2025). Cultural determinants of sustainable WM practices: A review of taboos, norms, and beliefs in Ghana's rural communities. *Waste Management Bulletin*, 3(4), 100242. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wmb.2025.100242>
- Austvoll, K. I., Fauvelle, M., & Ling, J. (2025). Contrasting strategies: Social organization and interaction in the Early Bronze Age of northwestern Scandinavia. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*, 79, 101708. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaa.2025.101708>
- Bella, B. R. F., Mayasari, A. D., & Alfina, A. (2024). Etiquette and Protocol in Public Relations Activities. *Humanities Research Sustainability*, 1(1), 41–48. <https://doi.org/10.70177/jhrs.v1i1.1002>
- B R, R., Mathpati, M. M., Yalsangi, M., & Narayan, R. (2025). Where do traditional healers fit in the dentist-centred oral health system? An ethnography inquiry among the indigenous communities in Gudalur, South India. *SSM - Health Systems*, 4, 100079. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssmhs.2025.100079>
- Banda, L. O. L., Banda, C. V., Banda, J. T., & Singini, T. (2024). Preserving cultural heritage: A community-centric approach to safeguarding the Khulubvi Traditional Temple Malawi. *Heliyon*, 10(18), e37610. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e37610>
- Boyd, M. (2025). Materiality of forager food insecurity in the archaeological record: A case study from the central Canadian Boreal Forest, ~1100–1300 CE. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology*, 79, 101707. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaa.2025.101707>

- Bremer, S., & Schneider, P. (2024). How seasonal cultures shape adaptation on Aotearoa – New Zealand’s Coromandel Peninsula. *Global Environmental Change*, 85, 102822. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2024.102822>
- Chhetri, R., Bhutia, L. T., & Bose, B. (2025). An ethnobotanical insight into the healing traditions as strategies for forest conservation of indigenous ethnic communities in the West Sikkim district of the Sikkim Himalayas, India. *Trees, Forests and People*, 101058. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tfp.2025.101058>
- Dabezies, J. M. (2025). The biopolitics of taming: Biosecurity, technology, and the transformation of wild boar hunting in Uruguay. *Geoforum*, 165, 104384. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2025.104384>
- Ding, X., Zhang, L., Ali, M., Shida, Bianba, Shi, Y., He, J., & Wang, Y. (2024). Traditional medicinal knowledge of Sherpa people: Assessment in Xizang, China. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 334, 118555. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2024.118555>
- Farley, J., Melgar, R. E. M., Hasan Ansari, D., Burke, M. J., Danielsen, J., Egler, M., Makombore, L., Neira, J., Poudel, S., Sellers, S., Smolyar, N. L., Sterlin, J., Taylor, J., & Vargas Roncancio, I. D. (2024). Rethinking ecosystem services from the anthropocene to the Ecozoic: Nature’s benefits to the biotic community. *Ecosystem Services*, 67, 101624. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoser.2024.101624>
- Farros, A. A., Wijayanti, I., & Agustiar, A. (2024). Meaning Recognition Terms in Arabic Semantic Studies. *Humanities Research Sustainability*, 1(1), 23–33. <https://doi.org/10.70177/jhrs.v1i1.907>
- Fusar Poli, E. (2025). Indigenous peoples’ Food Systems: Biocultural heritage, sustainability, and contemporary challenges. Dalam *Reference Module in Food Science*. Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-443-15976-3.00095-7>
- Guzy, L. (2024). Indigenous Shamanic Worldviews as Eco-cosmologies and Indigenous Knowledge Systems of Sustainability. *Religion and Development*, 2(3), 418–444. <https://doi.org/10.30965/27507955-20230025>
- HAMPL, N. (2024). Energy systems for Brazil’s Amazon: Could renewable energy improve Indigenous livelihoods and save forest ecosystems? *Energy Research & Social Science*, 112, 103491. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2024.103491>
- He, J., & Guo, N. (2025). Hybrid governance of ecosystem services in protected areas: A justice perspective for institutional credibility analysis in China. *Ecosystem Services*, 73, 101729. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoser.2025.101729>
- Jolly, H., Satterfield, T., Kandlikar, M., & Suma, T. (2024). Locating Kadu in Adivasi portrayals of protected forest areas in Southern India. *World Development*, 173, 106390. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2023.106390>
- Kioko, E. M., & Changwony, W. (2025). Infrastructure-driven land appropriation, institutional change and conflict in a communal conservancy in Kenya. *World Development*, 195, 107089. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2025.107089>
- Leroy, D. (2025). Indigenous communities and mining activities in Central America and Mexico: A systematic review. *The Extractive Industries and Society*, 24, 101747. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exis.2025.101747>
- Mader, P. (2024). Orchestrating self-empowerment in tribal India: Debt bondage, land rights, and the strategic uses of spirituality. *World Development*, 174, 106440. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2023.106440>

- Mahmudi, mahmudi, & Khoiruddin, M. A. (2024). Improving Student Life Skills Through the Ma Plus Skills Program at MAN 4 Kediri. *Humanities Research Sustainability*, 1(1), 16–22. <https://doi.org/10.70177/jhrs.v1i1.995>
- McNeely, J. A., & Pascual, U. (2024). Social and Cultural Factors. Dalam S. M. Scheiner (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Biodiversity (Third Edition)* (hlm. 30–38). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-822562-2.00252-8>
- Min, S., Kim, E., Dayandante, P. B., & Park, M. S. (2024). Diagnosing the status and trend of research on traditional knowledge related to non-timber forest products as food. *Trees, Forests and People*, 17, 100646. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tfp.2024.100646>
- Montaño, M., Sanabria, O., Quilindo, O., Urrego-Mesa, A., Tello, E., & Marull, J. (2025). Community reconstruction of biocultural landscapes. Application in the Kokonuko Indigenous Territory. *Ecological Economics*, 228, 108447. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2024.108447>
- Muqit, F. A., & Putra, A. W. (2024). Delving Into Existential Fatigue and The Search for Meaning in The Play “kapai-kapai” by Arifin C. Noer. *Humanities Research Sustainability*, 1(1), 34–40. <https://doi.org/10.70177/jhrs.v1i1.929>
- Nchimbi, H. Y., & Alawi, M. H. (2024). A discourse of african traditional healing tendencies with medicinal plants: An ethnobotanical study of the sukuma of Tanzania, 1922–1960s. *Social Science & Medicine*, 358, 117251. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2024.117251>
- Nelson, V. (2025). From control to care: Trans-hegemonic approaches to just-sustainability transformations. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 171, 104115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2025.104115>
- Nkwunonwo, U. C., Tobore, A., & Nwaka, O. C. (2024). Ecosystem-based approach to local flood risk management in Ogun State, Nigeria: Knowledge, and pathway to Actualisation. *Natural Hazards Research*, 4(3), 357–373. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nhres.2023.09.003>
- Onsay, E. A., Bulao, R. J. G., & Rabajante, J. F. (2025). Bagyong Kristine (TS Trami) in bicol, Philippines: Flood risk forecasting, disaster risk preparedness predictions and lived experiences through machine learning (ML), econometrics, and hermeneutic analysis. *Natural Hazards Research*, 5(3), 644–677. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nhres.2025.02.004>
- Panaro, S., Delabre, I., & Marshall, F. (2025). Cultural ecosystem services and opportunities for inclusive and effective nature-based solutions. *Ecological Economics*, 230, 108525. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2025.108525>
- Rickard, T., & Ludwig, D. (2024). Dam the river: Ontological exclusion in global and Brazilian Integrated Water Resources Management. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 156, 103755. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2024.103755>
- Rivera, C. J., Fuentes, A., & Hull, V. (2024). Cultural roles of primates in an Amazonian urban center. *Journal for Nature Conservation*, 78, 126548. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnc.2023.126548>
- Salam, N. E., Yasir, Y., Firdaus, M., Azwa, R., & Lubis, E. E. (2024). Tourism Communication Model in Developing Heritage City Tourism in Siak Sri Indrapura Regency, Riau, Indonesia. *Humanities Research Sustainability*, 1(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.70177/jhrs.v1i1.876>
- Shokrgozar, S., & Sareen, S. (2025). “Mitti se Sona [Gold from Dirt]?”: Solar India and colonial modernity in Agropastoral Rajasthan. *World Development*, 192, 107028. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2025.107028>

- Soler Caicedo, C., & Escobar-Tello, C. (2024). Envisioning futures through decolonised tacit knowledge: The In, Out and On method. *Futures*, 163, 103440. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.futures.2024.103440>
- Suhardiman, D., Ariando, W., Supriadi, D. A., & Indrabudi, T. (2025). Bakelam: Sea nomads' knowledge systems and potential building block for living with change. *Political Geography*, 119, 103335. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polgeo.2025.103335>
- Tomalin, E. (2024). Religion, Ecology and Hindu Nationalism in India. *Religion and Development*, 2(3), 463–482. <https://doi.org/10.30965/27507955-20230032>
- Widengård, M. (2025). From displacement to statehood: The ecological and political metamorphosis of Accompong marronage. *World Development*, 190, 106948. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2025.106948>
- Wondimu, H., Kassaw, E., & Melkamu, G. (2025). Hadra ritual practice: Cultural significance and continuity in the Deger pilgrimage center of Amhara, Ethiopia. *Heliyon*, 11(2), e42022. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2025.e42022>
- Zambrano-Cortés, D. G., Behagel, J., & Winkel, G. (2025). Fostering collective subjectivities: Technologies of the self and resistance in Colombian community forest initiatives. *World Development*, 188, 106884. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2024.106884>
- Zhou, W., Zhou, Y., Guo, X., & Tang, X. (2024). Examining resilience indices and influencing factors in Xishuangbanna Community Conserved Areas, southwest China. *Journal for Nature Conservation*, 81, 126659. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnc.2024.126659>

---

**Copyright Holder :**

© Wijaya et al. (2025).

**First Publication Right :**

© Journal of Humanities Research Sustainability

**This article is under:**

