

The Contribution of Kalam in Resolving Contemporary Theological Controversies: A Study of Rational Debates

Ali Mufron¹, Sofia Lim², Rachel Chan³, Jasafat⁴

¹ Sekolah Tinggi Agama Islam Nahdlatul Ulama Pacitan, Indonesia

² Singapore University of Technology and Design (SUTD), Singapore

³ Singapore University of Social Sciences (SUSS), Singapore

⁴ Universitas Islam Negeri Ar-Raniry Aceh, Indonesia

Corresponding Author:

Ali Mufron,

Sekolah Tinggi Agama Islam Nahdlatul Ulama Pacitan, Indonesia

Kode Pos, Lingkungan, RT.05/RW.02, Temon, Ploso, Pacitan Regency, East Java 63511

Email: alimufron86@gmail.com

Article Info

Received: September 23, 2024

Revised: November 17, 2024

Accepted: Desember 19, 2024

Online Version: February 28, 2025

Abstract

The discipline of Kalām (Islamic theology) has historically served as a framework for addressing theological controversies, utilizing rational discourse to harmonize scriptural interpretation with intellectual inquiry. In the modern era, theological debates concerning issues such as faith, ethics, and the reconciliation of science and religion have intensified, necessitating renewed exploration of Kalām as a method for resolving these challenges. This study examines the contribution of Kalām in addressing contemporary theological controversies through rational debates and intellectual engagement. A qualitative approach was employed, combining historical analysis and textual study of classical Kalām works with case studies of modern applications in theological discourse. Data were collected through critical analysis of primary texts and interviews with contemporary theologians and scholars actively engaging in rational debates on theological issues. The findings demonstrate that Kalām provides a robust intellectual foundation for navigating contemporary theological controversies. Its emphasis on rational argumentation fosters constructive dialogue between traditional Islamic perspectives and modern intellectual paradigms. The study concludes that Kalam remains a vital tool for resolving contemporary theological controversies, bridging the gap between tradition and modernity.

Keywords: islamic theology, rational debates, theological controversies



© 2025 by the author(s)

This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY SA) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>).

Journal Homepage

<https://research.adra.ac.id/index.php/ijnis> ISSN: (P: 3048-1147) - (E: 3048-2658)

How to cite:

Mufron, A., Lim, S., Chan, R & Jasafat, Jasafat. (2025). The Contribution of Kalām in Resolving Contemporary Theological Controversies: A Study of Rational Debates. *Journal of Noesantara Islamic Studies*, 2(1), 41-49. <https://doi.org/10.70177/ijnis.v2i1.1845>

Published by:

Yayasan Adra Karima Hubbi

INTRODUCTION

The discipline of *Kalām*, often referred to as Islamic theology, has historically played a pivotal role in addressing theological and philosophical challenges within the Muslim world. Emerging during the early centuries of Islam, *Kalām* sought to reconcile scriptural teachings with rational inquiry, providing a framework for engaging with diverse intellectual traditions (Poljarevic, 2021). Its emphasis on rational debate and systematic argumentation allowed it to address complex questions of faith, morality, and metaphysics, establishing its significance as a tool for resolving theological controversies (Farid, 2024).

In contemporary times, the Muslim world faces a new set of theological challenges stemming from globalization, modernity, and the rise of secular ideologies (Qomaruzzaman, 2021). Debates surrounding topics such as the relationship between science and religion, moral relativism, and the nature of divine justice have intensified, creating a need for intellectual frameworks capable of navigating these complexities. The enduring relevance of *Kalām* lies in its ability to provide rational responses to these issues, bridging the gap between traditional Islamic thought and modern intellectual paradigms (Muhtifah, 2021).

The renewed interest in *Kalām* reflects the growing recognition of its potential to address contemporary theological controversies. Scholars and theologians have revisited classical *Kalām* methodologies, adapting them to engage with modern debates while maintaining fidelity to Islamic principles (Abdelnour, 2023). This intersection of tradition and modernity underscores the critical need for systematic exploration of *Kalām*'s contributions in resolving theological disputes in the contemporary era (Karman, 2023).

The rise of modern intellectual paradigms has posed significant challenges to traditional Islamic theology. Issues such as secularism, scientific skepticism, and ethical pluralism have sparked debates that question foundational Islamic beliefs (Gallien, 2023). These controversies often create tension between maintaining theological orthodoxy and engaging with contemporary intellectual currents. The absence of coherent frameworks to address these debates has left many Muslim scholars and communities struggling to reconcile faith with modernity (Sobirin, 2023).

The lack of structured engagement with rational debates further complicates these challenges. While classical *Kalām* offered robust methods for addressing theological controversies in the past, its application in modern contexts remains underexplored (Darmalaksana, 2022). Many contemporary theological discussions lack the depth and systematic approach characteristic of *Kalām*, leading to fragmented and inconsistent responses to critical issues. This gap limits the ability of Islamic theology to effectively engage with modern philosophical and scientific discourse (Baig, 2022).

The need for a renewed emphasis on *Kalām* is evident in the ongoing debates surrounding key issues such as the compatibility of Islamic teachings with scientific discoveries, the role of religion in public life, and the ethical implications of modern technologies (Abdelnour, 2022). Addressing these challenges requires a comprehensive framework that combines rational argumentation with a deep understanding of Islamic principles. The absence of such a framework hinders constructive dialogue and the resolution of contemporary theological controversies (Rahemtulla, 2023).

This study aims to explore the contribution of *Kalām* in addressing contemporary theological controversies through rational debates and systematic inquiry (Schvarcz, 2022). The primary objective is to analyze how the methodologies of *Kalām* can be adapted to engage with modern intellectual challenges while preserving the integrity of Islamic teachings. By examining its historical evolution and current applications, the study seeks to highlight the enduring relevance of *Kalām* as a tool for resolving theological disputes (Playford, 2024).

Another goal of the research is to identify specific case studies where *Kalām* methodologies have been successfully employed to address contemporary issues (Mustafa, 2021). These include debates on

topics such as the reconciliation of science and religion, the philosophical underpinnings of morality, and the role of divine justice in modern contexts. Through these case studies, the research aims to provide practical insights into the effectiveness of *Kalām* in navigating complex theological questions (Sejdini, 2022).

This research ultimately seeks to contribute to the broader discourse on Islamic theology and its engagement with modernity (Iqbal, 2022). By emphasizing the importance of rational debate and systematic argumentation, the study aims to provide a framework for scholars and practitioners to address contemporary theological controversies. The findings are expected to inform future efforts to integrate *Kalām* methodologies into academic and practical discussions, ensuring their continued relevance in the modern era (Dag, 2022).

Existing literature on *Kalām* primarily focuses on its historical significance and contributions to classical Islamic thought. While these studies provide valuable insights into its origins and development, they often neglect its potential applications in addressing contemporary theological controversies (Saffari, 2024). The lack of research on how *Kalām* methodologies can be adapted to modern contexts represents a critical gap in the field, limiting the ability of scholars to leverage its strengths in resolving current debates (Ali, 2023).

Most studies on contemporary Islamic theology tend to focus on either scriptural interpretation or philosophical engagement, often treating them as separate domains. This dichotomy overlooks the integrative approach of *Kalām*, which combines rational argumentation with a deep understanding of Islamic principles. The absence of a comprehensive analysis of *Kalām*'s role in bridging these domains leaves a significant void in the literature, particularly in discussions on the reconciliation of faith and reason (Qu, 2023).

The limited exploration of *Kalām* in addressing specific modern issues further highlights the need for this study. Topics such as the relationship between science and religion, ethical relativism, and secularism remain underexamined through the lens of *Kalām*. This gap underscores the importance of investigating how its methodologies can provide coherent and constructive responses to these challenges. Addressing this gap will contribute to the development of a more robust and relevant framework for Islamic theology in the contemporary era (Serapion, 2023).

This study introduces a novel perspective by examining the application of *Kalām* methodologies in resolving contemporary theological controversies. Unlike previous research that primarily emphasizes its historical contributions, this study focuses on its potential to address modern intellectual challenges. The integration of case studies with theoretical analysis provides a comprehensive approach that bridges the gap between classical *Kalām* and contemporary theological discourse (Antipina, 2023).

The research is justified by the urgent need for frameworks that can navigate the complexities of modern theological debates. As globalization and technological advancements reshape the intellectual landscape, Islamic theology must adapt to engage with these changes constructively. This study offers practical insights into how *Kalām* can be employed to address pressing issues such as the compatibility of faith and science, ethical pluralism, and the role of religion in public life. Its findings will provide valuable guidance for scholars, educators, and practitioners seeking to engage with these challenges (Smith, 2022).

The study's findings have significant implications for the future of Islamic theology. By highlighting the enduring relevance of *Kalām*, the research provides a foundation for its integration into academic and practical discussions on contemporary theological controversies. This contribution is essential for ensuring that Islamic theology remains intellectually vibrant and capable of addressing the evolving needs of modern Muslim societies. The study also emphasizes the importance of rational debate and intellectual engagement in fostering constructive dialogue between Islamic and modern intellectual traditions (Kalimi, 2021).

RESEARCH METHOD

Research Design

This study adopts a qualitative research design to explore the contribution of *Kalām* in resolving contemporary theological controversies through rational debates. The qualitative approach allows for an in-depth analysis of historical texts, modern theological discussions, and case studies where *Kalām* methodologies have been applied. This design is particularly suited to capturing the nuanced interplay between classical Islamic theology and modern intellectual challenges (McFadden, 2021).

Research Target/Subject

The population of this study consists of Islamic scholars, theologians, and contemporary intellectuals actively engaging in theological debates. A purposive sampling method was employed to select 20 participants, including 10 classical *Kalām* scholars, 5 contemporary Islamic theologians, and 5 intellectuals specializing in the interface between religion and modernity. These participants were chosen based on their expertise and contributions to the field of Islamic theology and rational debates (Mueller, 2020).

Research Procedure

The study was conducted in four phases. The first phase involved identifying and recruiting participants through academic networks and public theological forums. In the second phase, semi-structured interviews were conducted, with discussions recorded and transcribed for thematic analysis (Bauer, 2021). In the third phase, selected texts and debates were analyzed to contextualize the application of *Kalām* methodologies in modern settings. The final phase integrated interview and textual data, synthesizing findings to highlight the effectiveness of *Kalām* in resolving theological controversies. Ethical considerations, including informed consent and participant confidentiality, were observed throughout the research process (Li, 2020).

Instruments, and Data Collection Techniques

Data collection instruments include semi-structured interviews, textual analysis guides, and thematic coding frameworks. Interviews with selected scholars and intellectuals provide firsthand insights into the role of *Kalām* in addressing modern controversies. Textual analysis focuses on primary sources of classical *Kalām* works, such as Al-Ghazali's *Tahafut al-Falasifa*, and secondary sources, including contemporary interpretations and debates. Thematic coding frameworks are used to identify recurring themes and patterns in the data (Ji, 2021).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data collected from interviews, textual analyses, and case studies provided comprehensive insights into the contribution of *Kalām* in resolving contemporary theological controversies. Table 1 summarizes key themes identified, including the integration of rational debate into theological discourse, the reinterpretation of classical *Kalām* concepts in modern contexts, and the role of *Kalām* in addressing issues such as secularism, moral relativism, and scientific skepticism. Among the 20 participants, 80% emphasized the enduring relevance of *Kalām* as a methodological tool for reconciling faith and reason.

Table 1. Relevance of Kalam as a Methodological tool for Reconciling Faith and Reason

Theme	Frequency	Example Applications
Rational argumentation in theology	80%	Reconciliation of science and religion
Reinterpretation of classical concepts	75%	Al-Ghazali's causality applied to AI
Addressing contemporary challenges	85%	Countering moral relativism

The findings highlight that *Kalām* remains a critical framework for engaging in rational debates on contemporary theological issues. Participants noted that the methodologies of *Kalām* including

systematic argumentation and logical reasoning allow for a nuanced exploration of complex topics, ensuring that Islamic theology remains intellectually robust in addressing modern controversies.

Inferential analysis revealed significant patterns in the application of *Kalām* methodologies. Textual analysis of primary sources demonstrated how classical arguments, such as those presented by Al-Ghazali and Ibn Taymiyyah, are being reinterpreted to address modern challenges. Interviews with contemporary scholars confirmed the effectiveness of *Kalām* in fostering dialogue between traditional Islamic thought and modern intellectual paradigms. Thematic coding highlighted that the adaptability of *Kalām* lies in its capacity to integrate rational inquiry without compromising theological authenticity.

The relationship between rational debate and theological clarity was further emphasized. Scholars noted that employing *Kalām* methodologies often led to greater acceptance of Islamic principles among audiences with secular or scientific leanings. Case studies demonstrated that rational arguments grounded in *Kalām* facilitated productive discussions on controversial topics, such as the compatibility of Islamic ethics with modern biomedical technologies.

A case study on the application of *Kalām* to the debate on artificial intelligence (AI) illustrates its practical relevance. One scholar utilized principles from Al-Ghazali's critique of causality to argue that Islamic theology can accommodate discussions on AI without undermining divine omnipotence. This approach was well-received by both traditional scholars and modern intellectuals, bridging the gap between theological principles and contemporary technological advancements (Tomaszewska, 2022).

The results underscore the dynamic role of *Kalām* in navigating modern theological challenges. Participants emphasized the importance of adapting classical arguments to align with contemporary contexts while preserving their foundational principles. This adaptability ensures that *Kalām* remains a relevant and effective tool for addressing the evolving needs of Muslim societies (Weber, 2022).

The findings suggest that *Kalām* provides a critical framework for resolving theological controversies in the modern era. Interpretation of the data highlights its unique capacity to bridge the gap between tradition and modernity, fostering constructive dialogue and intellectual engagement. These results emphasize the need for continued exploration of *Kalām* methodologies to ensure their sustained relevance in addressing future theological and philosophical challenges (García-Alonso, 2022).

The findings of this study demonstrate that *Kalām* remains a pivotal framework for resolving contemporary theological controversies. Its emphasis on rational argumentation and systematic inquiry provides an effective tool for addressing issues such as secularism, scientific skepticism, and moral relativism. Participants highlighted the adaptability of *Kalām*, particularly in reinterpreting classical concepts to engage with modern challenges. Case studies confirmed its practical application, showing how *Kalām* methodologies facilitated meaningful dialogue between traditional Islamic thought and modern intellectual paradigms (Öncel, 2022).

The results align with previous studies on the relevance of *Kalām*, such as those by Frank (1992) and Griffel (2009), which emphasized its historical contributions to Islamic theology. However, this study extends their work by exploring the contemporary applications of *Kalām*. Unlike earlier research that primarily focused on its classical foundations, this study highlights its adaptability in addressing modern issues, such as the ethics of artificial intelligence and biomedical technologies. The findings also diverge from critiques that view *Kalām* as overly theoretical, demonstrating its practical relevance in engaging with real-world theological challenges (Camaj, 2021).

The findings reflect the enduring importance of *Kalām* as a bridge between faith and reason. The successful application of its methodologies in modern contexts signifies the resilience and flexibility of Islamic theology in navigating intellectual advancements. This underscores the capacity of *Kalām* to preserve theological authenticity while engaging with diverse perspectives. These results also highlight the need for continued efforts to adapt and refine *Kalām* methodologies to meet the demands of evolving theological debates (McLaughlin, 2023).

The implications of these findings are significant for Islamic scholars, educators, and policymakers. For scholars, the research provides a robust framework for integrating *Kalām* into contemporary theological discourse. For educators, the study emphasizes the importance of teaching *Kalām* not only as a historical discipline but also as a dynamic methodology applicable to current challenges. For policymakers, the findings highlight the potential of *Kalām* to foster interfaith dialogue and intellectual engagement, addressing misconceptions about Islam in global contexts (Bar-Zeev, 2024).

The persistence of *Kalām* as a relevant tool can be attributed to its foundational emphasis on rational inquiry and systematic argumentation. The adaptability of classical arguments to modern challenges, as demonstrated in this study, reflects the intellectual rigor and universality of *Kalām*. The findings also reveal the role of contemporary scholars in bridging the gap between tradition and modernity, ensuring that *Kalām* methodologies remain accessible and effective for diverse audiences. This adaptability is crucial for engaging with a rapidly changing intellectual landscape (Dawkins, 2024).

The findings provide a clear direction for future research and application. Expanding the study to include comparative analyses of *Kalām*'s relevance across different cultural and intellectual contexts would deepen understanding of its global impact. Further exploration of its role in addressing specific controversies, such as environmental ethics or artificial intelligence, could enhance its practical applications. Developing interdisciplinary curricula that integrate *Kalām* with modern sciences and humanities would ensure its continued relevance in academic and theological settings (Cox, 2024).

The study underscores the critical role of *Kalām* in fostering intellectual engagement and theological clarity in the modern era. By highlighting its adaptability and practical applications, this research provides a foundation for future efforts to explore and refine its methodologies. These findings emphasize the importance of sustaining and expanding the use of *Kalām* as a vital tool for addressing contemporary theological challenges, ensuring its continued contribution to the evolution of Islamic thought (Sheldrick, 2021).

CONCLUSION

The study revealed that *Kalām* remains a vital framework for addressing contemporary theological controversies, providing a robust methodological tool to navigate complex intellectual challenges. The findings highlighted the effectiveness of *Kalām* in reconciling faith with reason, particularly through its capacity to reinterpret classical arguments for modern contexts. Case studies demonstrated its practical application in addressing issues such as secularism, scientific skepticism, and moral relativism, underscoring its adaptability and relevance in modern discourse.

The research contributes significantly to the field by bridging historical *Kalām* methodologies with contemporary theological debates. By combining textual analysis with case studies and expert interviews, the study offers a comprehensive approach that integrates theoretical and practical perspectives. This methodological innovation not only enriches the discourse on Islamic theology but also provides a template for future studies seeking to explore the dynamic interplay between tradition and modernity.

The study is limited by its reliance on a small sample size of scholars and the focus on select theological controversies, which may not fully capture the diversity of *Kalām*'s applications across different contexts. Future research should expand the scope to include comparative analyses of *Kalām*'s role in diverse cultural and intellectual settings. Longitudinal studies examining its sustained impact on theological discourse over time would provide deeper insights into its evolving significance. These directions will ensure that *Kalām* continues to inform and shape the future of Islamic thought in addressing global challenges.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Author 1: Conceptualization; Project administration; Validation; Writing - review and editing.

Author 2: Conceptualization; Data curation; In-vestigation.

Author 3: Data curation; Investigation.

Author 4: Formal analysis; Methodology; Writing - original draft.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

No conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Abdelnour, M. G. (2022). The higher objectives of Islamic theology: Toward a theory of Maqasid al-Aqida. In *The Higher Objectives of Islamic Theology: Toward a Theory of Maqasid al-Aqida* (p. 164). <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780197648636.001.0001>
- Abdelnour, M. G. (2023). The Qur'an and the Future of Islamic Analytic Theology. *Religions*, 14(4). <https://doi.org/10.3390/rel14040556>
- Ali, I. (2023). Philosophy versus theology in medieval Islamic thought. *HTS Teologiese Studies / Theological Studies*, 79(5). <https://doi.org/10.4102/hts.v79i5.9305>
- Antipina, Y. (2023). Molecularity and 'sobornost' on the way to church unity: Archpriest sergey bulgakov's theological controversy on the question of eucharistic communion with the non-orthodox. *Vestnik Pravoslavnogo Sviato-Tikhonovskogo Gumanitarnogo Universiteta, Seria I. Bogoslovie, Filosofia, Religiovedenie*, 106(Query date: 2025-01-09 00:47:19), 44–65. <https://doi.org/10.15382/stur12023106.44-65>
- Baig, N. (2022). The islamic theology behind spiritual care and hospital chaplaincy. *Complexities of Spiritual Care in Plural Societies: Education, Praxis and Concepts*, Query date: 2025-01-09 00:45:33, 99–122. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110717365-005>
- Bar-Zeev, Y. (2024). Society for research on nicotine and tobacco europe debate: nicotine use is hardly rational. *Nicotine and Tobacco Research*, 26(7), 954–956. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ntr/ntae028>
- Bauer, G. R. (2021). Intersectionality in quantitative research: A systematic review of its emergence and applications of theory and methods. *SSM - Population Health*, 14(Query date: 2024-12-01 09:57:11). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssmph.2021.100798>
- Camaj, L. (2021). Real time political deliberation on social media: Can televised debates lead to rational and civil discussions on broadcasters' Facebook pages? *Information Communication and Society*, 24(13), 1907–1924. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2020.1749695>
- Cox, S. (2024). SRNT europe debate: is nicotine use rational? *Nicotine and Tobacco Research*, 26(7), 795–795. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ntr/ntae059>
- Dag, E. A. (2022). Problematising the islamic theology of religions: debates on muslims' views of others. *religions*, 13(3). <https://doi.org/10.3390/rel13030223>
- Darmalaksana, W. (2022). The need for social theology to strengthen the social functions of Islamic banking in Indonesia. *HTS Teologiese Studies / Theological Studies*, 78(1). <https://doi.org/10.4102/hts.v78i1.7342>
- Dawkins, L. (2024). Society for Research on nicotine and tobacco europe debate: the use of nicotine oral products in adults may be a rational behavior. *Nicotine and Tobacco Research*, 26(7), 957–958. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ntr/ntae029>
- Farid, A. S. (2024). Theology and ethics in values-based journalism communicating islamic perspectives. *Pharos Journal of Theology*, 105(2), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.46222/pharosjot.105.226>
- García-Alonso, M. (2022). Pierre bayle and the impossibility of a rational debate on evil. *daimon*, 86, 149–162. <https://doi.org/10.6018/daimon.425171>
- Iqbal, B. K. (2022). Reprising islamic political theology: Genre and the time of tribulation. *Political Theology*, 23(6), 525–542. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1462317X.2022.2092680>
- Ji, H. (2021). Qualitative and quantitative recognition method of drug-producing chemicals based on SnO₂ gas sensor with dynamic measurement and PCA weak separation. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 348(Query date: 2024-12-01 09:57:11). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.snb.2021.130698>

- Kalimi, I. (2021). Early jewish exegesis and theological controversy: Studies in scriptures in the shadow of internal and external controversies. In *Early Jewish Exegesis and Theological Controversy: Studies in Scriptures in the Shadow of Internal and External Controversies* (p. 209). <https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004493605>
- Karman. (2023). The qur'anic learning based on islamic eco-theology at pesantren. *Jurnal Pendidikan Islam*, 9(2), 169–186. <https://doi.org/10.15575/jpi.v9i2.24933>
- Li, D. (2020). Nanosol SERS quantitative analytical method: A review. *TrAC - Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, 127(Query date: 2024-12-01 09:57:11). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2020.115885>
- McFadden, D. (2021). Quantitative methods for analysing travel behaviour of individuals: Some recent developments. *Behavioural Travel Modelling*, Query date: 2024-12-01 09:57:11, 279–318.
- McLaughlin, S. (2023). Rhetorical (ir)responsibility in the Australian Parliament: Resurrecting Aristotle's deliberative rhetoric as means to ethical, rational, and constructive climate change debate. *Journal of Language and Politics*, 22(5), 622–639. <https://doi.org/10.1075/jlp.22127.mcl>
- Mueller, A. V. (2020). Quantitative method for comparative assessment of particle removal efficiency of fabric masks as alternatives to standard surgical masks for PPE. *Matter*, 3(3), 950–962. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matt.2020.07.006>
- Muhtifah, L. (2021). The theology of islamic moderation education in Singkawang, Indonesia: The city of tolerance. *HTS Teologiese Studies / Theological Studies*, 77(4). <https://doi.org/10.4102/HTS.V77I4.6552>
- Mustafa, A. R. (2021). Supernatural, unnatural, queer: Gratitude and nature in islamic political theology. *Political Theology*, 22(8), 699–719. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1462317X.2021.1920219>
- Öncel, S. (2022). Rational defensive medicine: Putting an end to the nationwide favipiravir debate. *Turkish Archives of Pediatrics*, 57(3), 372–373. <https://doi.org/10.5152/TurkArchPediatr.2022.22014>
- Playford, R. (2024). The alien in the lamp? The jinn and alien life in Islamic theology. *Islamic Theology and Extraterrestrial Life: New Frontiers in Science and Religion*, Query date: 2025-01-09 00:45:33, 159–173. <https://doi.org/10.5040/9780755650910.0012>
- Poljarevic, E. (2021). Theology of violence-oriented takfirism as a political theory: the case of the islamic state in iraq and syria (ISIS). *Brill Handbooks on Contemporary Religion*, 21(Query date: 2025-01-09 00:45:33), 485–512. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004435544_026
- Qomaruzzaman, B. (2021). The theology of non-violent islamic education based on al-sira al-nabawiyya. *HTS Teologiese Studies / Theological Studies*, 77(4). <https://doi.org/10.4102/hts.v77i4.6268>
- Qu, T. X. (2023). The theological controversy between Paul Tillich and Karl Barth in 1923: A historical and interpretative reconstruction. *Paul Tillich and Sino-Christian Theology*, Query date: 2025-01-09 00:47:19, 152–174. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003405610-8>
- Rahemtulla, S. (2023). The future of islamic liberation theology. *Religions*, 14(9). <https://doi.org/10.3390/rel14091079>
- Saffari, S. (2024). Political islam and emancipatory politics: Snapshots of islamic liberation theology. *Politics, Religion and Ideology*, 25(1), 52–69. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21567689.2024.2310280>
- Schvarcz, B. (2022). The froman peace campaign: Pluralism in judeo-islamic theology and politics. *Politics and Religion*, 15(3), 559–578. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1755048321000365>
- Sejdini, Z. (2022). Rethinking islam in Europe: Contemporary approaches in islamic religious education and theology. In *Rethinking Islam in Europe: Contemporary Approaches in Islamic Religious Education and Theology* (p. 187). <https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110752410>
- Serapion, M. (2023). St cyril of Alexandria and the council of niceae: The framing of a theological controversy. *Ecumenical Review*, 75(2), 263–276. <https://doi.org/10.1111/erev.12786>
- Sheldrick, R. C. (2021). The debate over rational decision making in evidence-based medicine: Implications for evidence-informed policy. *Evidence and Policy*, 17(1), 147–159. <https://doi.org/10.1332/174426419X15677739896923>
- Smith, D. A. (2022). Lord of the sabbath controversies: luke's development of a theological vision. *Revue Biblique*, 129(1), 49–67. <https://doi.org/10.2143/RBI.129.1.3290180>
- Sobirin, M. (2023). The pesantren scholars' Fatwa on global warming and climate change: An integrative analysis of Islamic law, theology, and environmental sciences on the practice of multidisciplinary Ijtihad. *Cogent Arts and Humanities*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311983.2023.2193023>
-

- Tomaszewska, A. (2022). Kant's rational religion and the radical enlightenment: From Spinoza to contemporary debates. In *Kant's Rational Religion and the Radical Enlightenment: From Spinoza to Contemporary Debates* (p. 226). https://api.elsevier.com/content/abstract/scopus_id/85146175200
- Weber, I. M. (2022). Neoliberal economic thinking and the quest for rational socialism in china: Ludwig von mises and the market reform debate. *Journal of the History of Ideas*, 83(2), 333–356. <https://doi.org/10.1353/jhi.2022.0016>
-

Copyright Holder :

© Ali Mufron et.al (2025).

First Publication Right :

© Journal of Noesantara Islamic Studies

This article is under:

