OPEN ACCESS
Journal of Loomingulisus ja Innovatsioon
Vol. 3 No. 2. April 2026, pp. 127-143
DOI. 10.70177/innovatsioon.v2i1.4146
Research Article

# Generative AI as a Co-Creator: Reframing Human–Machine Collaboration in Innovation Processes

Phyu Phyu Khin[1], Nay Lin Aung[2], Khin Myo Aye[3]
[1] Yezin Agricultural University, Myanmar
[2] Myanmar Aerospace Engineering, Myanmar University, Myanmar
3 University of Computer Studies, Yangon, Myanmar

**Corresponding Author:**

Phyu Phyu Khin,
Yezin Agricultural University, Myanmar Myanmar Aerospace Engineering, Myanmar University, Myanmar University of Computer Studies, Yangon, Myanmar
Email: phyuuu@gmail.com

**Article Info**
Received: November 04, 2025
Revised: January 12, 2026
Accepted: March 13, 2026
Online Version: April 28, 2026

**Abstract**
Generative artificial intelligence is transforming organizational innovation by extending its role beyond automation toward collaborative knowledge creation and creative problem-solving. Despite rapid adoption across knowledge-intensive industries, limited evidence explains how generative AI functions as a co-creator that complements human creativity, organizational learning, and strategic decision-making during innovation processes. This study aimed to examine the relationships among generative AI utilization, collaborative intelligence, AI literacy, trust in AI, knowledge integration, organizational learning, and organizational innovation performance through a human-centered collaboration framework. A mixed-methods sequential explanatory research design was employed involving 760 professionals from thirty-eight technology-intensive organizations that had implemented generative AI in research, product development, engineering, and innovation management. Quantitative data were collected using validated measurement instruments and analyzed through Structural Equation Modeling, while qualitative evidence was obtained from semi-structured interviews, innovation project documentation, organizational observations, and AI governance records. Findings revealed that collaborative intelligence significantly mediated the relationship between generative AI utilization and organizational innovation performance. AI literacy, organizational learning, and trust in AI strengthened knowledge integration and enabled professionals to transform AI-generated outputs into contextually meaningful innovations without diminishing human judgment or ethical responsibility. Results suggest that sustainable innovation emerges from complementary human–machine collaboration in which generative AI operates as a co-creator, while human expertise remains essential for strategic interpretation, ethical governance, contextual reasoning, and organizational value creation.

**Keywords**: Artificial Intelligence Literacy, Collaborative Intelligence, Generative Artificial Intelligence.

| | |
|---|---|
| Journal Homepage | https://research.adra.ac.id/index.php/innovatsioon |
| How to cite: | Phyu , P. K., Nay, L. A., & Khin Myo, A. (2026). Generative AI as a Co-Creator: Reframing Human–Machine Collaboration in Innovation Processes. *Journal of Loomingulisus ja Innovatsioon, 3*(2), 127–143. https://doi.org/10.70177/innovatsioon.v2i1.4146 |
| Published by: | Yayasan Adra Karima Hubbi |

## INTRODUCTION

Generative artificial intelligence has transformed from an experimental computational technology into a strategic resource that increasingly influences creativity, knowledge production, product development, and organizational innovation (Laciste, 2026; Stanimirovic, 2026; Wang, 2026). Recent advances in large language models, multimodal systems, generative design, and foundation models have enabled artificial intelligence to perform sophisticated tasks including idea generation, content creation, software development, scientific discovery, and complex decision support. Organizations across technology, healthcare, manufacturing, education, finance, and creative industries are integrating generative AI into innovation workflows to accelerate knowledge creation and improve organizational adaptability. Such developments challenge conventional assumptions regarding the exclusive role of humans as creators while introducing new opportunities for collaborative intelligence between human expertise and machine-generated capabilities.

Innovation has traditionally been conceptualized as a human-centered process driven by creativity, experience, intuition, collaboration, and organizational learning (He, 2026; Kamnerddee, 2024; Zhang, 2026). Emerging generative AI technologies reshape this perspective by actively participating in ideation, experimentation, simulation, design optimization, and iterative problem-solving. Human innovators increasingly interact with AI systems not merely as computational tools but as collaborative partners capable of expanding cognitive capacity, generating alternative solutions, and facilitating interdisciplinary knowledge integration. This transition requires a fundamental reconsideration of innovation theory because human creativity is becoming increasingly intertwined with machine-generated contributions throughout multiple phases of innovation development.

Human–machine collaboration consequently represents one of the most significant organizational transformations of the digital era. Organizations are moving beyond automation-oriented applications toward collaborative ecosystems where humans and intelligent systems jointly generate knowledge, evaluate alternatives, refine concepts, and implement innovative solutions (Kwon, 2024; Nair, 2025; Verma, 2025). Effective collaboration depends upon complementary interaction among human judgment, contextual reasoning, ethical decision-making, creativity, emotional intelligence, and machine capabilities including large-scale information processing, pattern recognition, generative modeling, and computational exploration. Understanding how these complementary capabilities interact has become increasingly important for explaining innovation performance within knowledge-intensive environments characterized by rapid technological change.

Organizations increasingly invest in generative AI technologies without fully understanding how human expertise and artificial intelligence should be integrated during innovation processes. Many implementation strategies continue to treat AI primarily as an efficiency-enhancing automation tool rather than recognizing its emerging role as an active collaborative partner in creative problem-solving (El-Bassuony, 2026; Jiménez-Rodríguez, 2026; Neri, 2026). Such perspectives may limit organizational capability to realize the full innovative potential of human–AI collaboration while reinforcing traditional distinctions between human creativity and machine assistance.

Existing organizational practices also reveal uncertainty regarding responsibility, trust, creativity, decision authority, knowledge ownership, and ethical accountability within

collaborative innovation involving generative AI (Han, 2026; Kaup, 2025; Lin, 2024). Human innovators remain responsible for strategic interpretation, contextual evaluation, ethical judgment, and organizational decision-making, whereas AI systems increasingly contribute original ideas, conceptual alternatives, design recommendations, and analytical insights. Defining appropriate boundaries between human agency and machine contribution therefore represents a significant conceptual and practical challenge for innovation management.

Human–machine collaboration further operates within complex organizational environments involving interdisciplinary teams, digital infrastructures, organizational learning, innovation governance, technological capabilities, and evolving workplace cultures. Existing research frequently investigates technical AI performance independently from organizational, cognitive, and collaborative dimensions (Aruleba, 2025; Chandrasekera, 2025; Sapayev, 2026). Limited integration among these perspectives restricts understanding of how collaborative intelligence influences innovation quality, organizational adaptability, and long-term competitive advantage across diverse industrial settings.

This study aims to examine how generative AI functions as a collaborative co-creator within organizational innovation processes rather than merely serving as an automated productivity tool (Carlos, 2024; Hutson, 2024; Vrancken, 2026). Particular attention is directed toward understanding interactions among human creativity, generative AI capability, collaborative intelligence, organizational learning, innovation performance, trust, knowledge integration, and strategic decision-making. Achievement of these objectives is expected to strengthen theoretical understanding of collaborative innovation within increasingly AI-enabled organizational environments.

Research also seeks to investigate mechanisms through which human expertise and machine-generated intelligence complement one another throughout idea generation, opportunity evaluation, concept refinement, product development, and organizational learning (Huang, 2025; Le, 2026; Raia, 2026). Comparative examination of human-only, AI-assisted, and collaborative innovation processes will clarify conditions under which generative AI enhances creativity without reducing human autonomy, ethical responsibility, or strategic judgment. Findings are expected to identify organizational capabilities supporting effective human–AI collaboration across multiple innovation contexts.

Broader objectives include advancing interdisciplinary understanding of innovation by integrating theories from innovation management, organizational behavior, artificial intelligence, cognitive science, knowledge management, human–computer interaction, socio-technical systems, and organizational learning into a unified conceptual framework (Carl, 2024; Moussas, 2025; Weber, 2025). Results are expected to contribute both theoretical refinement and practical recommendations for executives, innovation managers, AI developers, organizational leaders, policymakers, educators, and researchers seeking responsible integration of generative AI within innovation ecosystems.

Existing literature has extensively examined artificial intelligence adoption, digital transformation, organizational innovation, human–computer interaction, and technology acceptance across diverse organizational settings (Gmeiner, 2023; McGuire, 2024; Sarna, 2025). Numerous studies report positive relationships between AI utilization and organizational efficiency, decision quality, or productivity improvement. Comparatively limited research investigates generative AI as an active co-creator operating within collaborative innovation systems where human cognition, organizational learning, and machine intelligence continuously interact. Such fragmentation limits theoretical understanding of collaborative intelligence as an emerging organizational capability.

Current investigations frequently evaluate AI applications through technological performance indicators including prediction accuracy, automation efficiency, algorithmic capability, or computational effectiveness. Comprehensive examination of reciprocal interactions among human creativity, adaptive reasoning, ethical judgment, AI-generated

knowledge, collaborative decision-making, and innovation capability remains comparatively underdeveloped. Existing analytical perspectives therefore provide only partial explanations regarding how organizations generate innovation through integrated human–machine collaboration rather than independent technological or human contributions.

Available studies also tend to emphasize either technical algorithm development or organizational AI adoption while giving comparatively limited attention to multi-level interactions among individual innovators, interdisciplinary teams, organizational learning systems, leadership practices, innovation governance, and AI-enabled collaboration. Evidence concerning dynamic relationships among these interconnected organizational elements remains limited, particularly within technology-intensive environments characterized by continuous knowledge creation, digital transformation, and interdisciplinary innovation. This study addresses these limitations by proposing an integrated conceptual framework explaining collaborative innovation through human–AI co-creation.

Novel contribution of this study lies in proposing a comprehensive framework conceptualizing generative AI as a collaborative co-creator rather than an autonomous replacement for human creativity or a conventional decision-support technology. The proposed framework integrates human creativity, collaborative intelligence, organizational learning, trust, ethical reasoning, knowledge integration, innovation governance, and AI capability into a unified model explaining innovation performance. Human–machine collaboration is therefore interpreted as a reciprocal process where complementary cognitive capabilities generate outcomes exceeding the contribution of either humans or artificial intelligence operating independently.

Scientific significance further resides in integrating theoretical perspectives from innovation management, artificial intelligence, cognitive psychology, organizational learning, socio-technical systems, knowledge management, creativity research, and human–computer interaction. Innovation is conceptualized as an emergent phenomenon resulting from continuous interaction among human expertise, machine-generated intelligence, organizational capability, ethical governance, collaborative learning, and contextual decision-making rather than isolated technological advancement. Such interdisciplinary integration contributes to broader theoretical discussions concerning collaborative intelligence, digital transformation, responsible AI, and future organizational innovation.

Practical justification emerges from rapidly expanding organizational investment in generative AI technologies across research, product development, education, healthcare, engineering, manufacturing, finance, creative industries, and public administration. Organizational leaders, innovation managers, AI developers, policymakers, educators, and technology strategists require evidence-based guidance regarding how generative AI should be integrated into innovation ecosystems while preserving human creativity, ethical responsibility, organizational learning, and strategic decision-making. Successful implementation of the proposed framework has the potential to strengthen innovation governance, collaborative intelligence, digital capability development, AI literacy, organizational adaptability, and sustainable competitive advantage within increasingly AI-enabled economies.

## RESEARCH METHOD

This study employed a mixed-methods sequential explanatory research design to investigate the role of generative artificial intelligence as a collaborative co-creator in organizational innovation processes (Gao, 2026; Lou, 2025; Thakur, 2025). Quantitative analysis was conducted to examine structural relationships among generative AI utilization, human creativity, collaborative intelligence, trust in AI, knowledge integration, organizational learning, innovation capability, and innovation performance. Qualitative inquiry subsequently explored how individuals and organizations negotiated human–machine collaboration

throughout ideation, knowledge creation, decision-making, and product development. The mixed-methods approach was selected because collaborative innovation involving generative AI encompasses technological, cognitive, organizational, behavioral, and ethical dimensions that require both statistical verification and contextual interpretation.

The quantitative component adopted a cross-sectional explanatory design involving professionals working in technology-intensive and knowledge-based organizations that had integrated generative AI into innovation activities. Individual-level variables included AI literacy, creativity, trust in AI, innovation behavior, knowledge-sharing practices, and collaborative problem-solving. Team-level variables comprised interdisciplinary collaboration, collective intelligence, and AI-assisted innovation practices. Organizational-level variables included innovation capability, organizational learning, AI governance, digital transformation readiness, and innovation performance. Multi-level analysis enabled simultaneous examination of interactions among human, technological, and organizational factors shaping collaborative innovation

### *Research Design*

The qualitative component followed completion of the quantitative phase to provide deeper understanding of collaborative intelligence within AI-enabled innovation environments. Semi-structured interviews, focus group discussions, innovation workshop observations, AI-assisted project documentation, organizational policy analysis, and reflective innovation journals were conducted with employees, innovation managers, executives, AI developers, product designers, and research specialists. Qualitative investigation examined human decision-making, AI-assisted creativity, ethical considerations, organizational learning, knowledge integration, collaborative workflows, and perceptions regarding generative AI as an active innovation partner. Integration of quantitative and qualitative findings enabled comprehensive interpretation of human–machine collaboration beyond purely technological performance indicators.

### *Research Target/Subject*

The study population consisted of professionals employed in technology-intensive firms, research organizations, digital startups, software companies, creative industries, engineering firms, healthcare technology organizations, consulting companies, and innovation laboratories that actively utilized generative AI within innovation processes (Aggarwal, 2026; Chen, 2026; Liu, 2025). Participants represented diverse organizational positions, including research scientists, engineers, software developers, product designers, innovation managers, business strategists, executives, and creative professionals. Inclusion criteria required participants to have practical experience using generative AI for ideation, design, research, software development, strategic planning, or innovation-related decision-making for at least six months.

The quantitative sample comprised 760 participants selected through stratified random sampling from thirty-eight organizations implementing generative AI technologies in innovation activities. Stratification ensured proportional representation according to industrial sector, organizational size, professional role, years of work experience, and AI implementation maturity. Participating organizations had formally integrated generative AI into research, product development, creative production, innovation management, or strategic decision-support systems. The qualitative sample consisted of 45 purposively selected participants, including senior executives, innovation directors, AI specialists, project managers, software engineers, product developers, and employees recognized for extensive experience collaborating with generative AI during organizational innovation initiatives.

### *Research Procedure*

Quantitative data were collected using internationally validated instruments adapted for artificial intelligence, innovation, and organizational behavior research. Trust in AI was

measured using the Human–AI Trust Scale, collaborative intelligence through the Collaborative Intelligence Assessment Inventory, creativity using the Creative Performance Scale, innovation capability through the Organizational Innovation Capability Scale, organizational learning using the Dimensions of Learning Organization Questionnaire, AI literacy through the AI Literacy Assessment Scale, innovation behavior using the Innovative Work Behavior Inventory, knowledge integration using the Knowledge Integration Scale, and digital transformation readiness through the Digital Organizational Readiness Scale. Responses were recorded using five-point Likert scales. Secondary organizational evidence, including innovation project documentation, product development reports, AI implementation records, patent applications, digital transformation reports, research outputs, and organizational innovation indicators, complemented questionnaire findings with objective measures of collaborative innovation performance.

Qualitative evidence was obtained through semi-structured interview protocols, focus group discussions, organizational observation checklists, AI-assisted innovation project documentation, strategic planning documents, organizational AI governance policies, reflective innovation journals, and collaborative design workshop records. Interview questions explored participants' experiences of AI-assisted creativity, collaborative problem-solving, decision-making, ethical responsibility, trust formation, organizational learning, innovation governance, and knowledge co-creation. Innovation project documentation examined interactions between human expertise and AI-generated outputs throughout idea generation, evaluation, refinement, and implementation. Organizational observations documented collaborative workflows, interdisciplinary communication, AI utilization patterns, and innovation management practices.

### *Instruments, and Data Collection Techniques*

Research implementation commenced with a comprehensive review of literature concerning generative artificial intelligence, collaborative intelligence, organizational innovation, creativity, organizational learning, digital transformation, human–computer interaction, socio-technical systems, and AI governance. Research instruments were subsequently developed, evaluated by interdisciplinary experts, pilot-tested within organizations utilizing generative AI, and refined before formal data collection commenced. Participating organizations received orientation regarding research objectives, confidentiality procedures, ethical requirements, informed consent, and organizational participation protocols to ensure consistency throughout the investigation.

Quantitative data collection constituted the first phase of the study. Participants completed standardized questionnaires measuring AI literacy, trust in AI, collaborative intelligence, creativity, knowledge integration, organizational learning, innovation behavior, innovation capability, and digital transformation readiness. Organizational innovation reports, AI implementation documentation, product development records, research outputs, and innovation performance indicators were collected simultaneously. Statistical analyses included descriptive statistics, Confirmatory Factor Analysis, Structural Equation Modeling (SEM), multilevel regression analysis, mediation analysis, moderation analysis, path analysis, latent variable modeling, and effect size estimation to examine relationships among individual, team, and organizational variables.

### *Data Analysis Technique*

Qualitative investigation followed completion of the quantitative phase. Semi-structured interviews, focus group discussions, AI-assisted innovation workshop observations, organizational document analysis, project case studies, and reflective innovation journals explored collaborative intelligence, human–AI interaction, organizational learning, innovation governance, trust development, ethical decision-making, and AI-supported creativity. Quantitative and qualitative findings were integrated through triangulation, thematic synthesis,

cross-case comparison, and joint display analysis to identify convergent, complementary, and divergent evidence. Final interpretation emphasized the dynamic interaction among human creativity, generative AI capability, organizational learning, collaborative intelligence, and innovation governance in explaining how generative AI functions as a co-creator within contemporary organizational innovation processes.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Quantitative analysis involved 760 professionals representing thirty-eight technology-intensive organizations operating in software development, artificial intelligence, engineering, digital services, healthcare technology, creative industries, financial technology, and advanced manufacturing. Participants included executives, innovation managers, AI specialists, software engineers, product designers, researchers, and creative professionals who routinely collaborated with generative AI during innovation activities. Primary variables comprised generative AI utilization, collaborative intelligence, human creativity, trust in AI, AI literacy, knowledge integration, organizational learning capability, innovation behavior, digital transformation readiness, and organizational innovation performance. Secondary evidence was obtained from innovation project reports, product development documentation, patent applications, AI implementation records, digital transformation reports, research publications, and organizational innovation performance evaluations.

**Table 1.** Descriptive Statistics of Human–AI Collaboration and Innovation Variables

| Human–AI Collaboration Variable | Mean Score | Standard Deviation |
|---|---|---|
| Generative AI Utilization (1–5) | **4.42** | 0.45 |
| Collaborative Intelligence (1–5) | **4.39** | 0.47 |
| Human Creativity (1–5) | **4.31** | 0.49 |
| Trust in AI (1–5) | **4.24** | 0.53 |
| AI Literacy (1–5) | **4.37** | 0.46 |
| Knowledge Integration (1–5) | **4.35** | 0.48 |
| Organizational Learning Capability (1–5) | **4.40** | 0.45 |
| Innovation Behavior (1–5) | **4.33** | 0.50 |
| Organizational Innovation Performance (1–5) | **4.44** | 0.43 |

Descriptive statistics indicate consistently high levels of AI-assisted collaboration, organizational learning, collaborative intelligence, and innovation performance across participating organizations. Organizational innovation performance recorded the highest mean value, followed closely by generative AI utilization and organizational learning capability. Secondary organizational documentation further revealed increasing numbers of AI-assisted product designs, accelerated research cycles, interdisciplinary innovation projects, patent submissions, digital service innovations, and collaborative design initiatives, indicating widespread integration of generative AI within organizational innovation processes.

Observed improvements resulted from the complementary interaction between human expertise and generative AI capabilities rather than substitution of human decision-making. Participants consistently utilized AI to expand ideation, accelerate information synthesis,

generate alternative design concepts, and support complex problem-solving while retaining responsibility for strategic interpretation, contextual evaluation, ethical reasoning, and final innovation decisions. Collaborative intelligence therefore emerged through integration of complementary cognitive strengths rather than technological replacement.

Organizational learning substantially reinforced collaborative innovation by enabling continuous refinement of AI-assisted workflows, interdisciplinary knowledge sharing, and iterative experimentation (Berg, 2025; Kyatimanyari, 2026; Ravarini, 2024). Organizations implementing structured AI governance, professional AI literacy development, collaborative design reviews, and reflective innovation practices demonstrated stronger innovation outcomes than organizations relying exclusively on technological deployment. Organizational capability consequently functioned as an important moderator connecting AI utilization with innovation performance.

Subgroup analysis demonstrated meaningful variation across organizational roles. Innovation managers and research specialists reported the highest levels of collaborative intelligence and AI-assisted creativity, whereas software engineers demonstrated comparatively stronger AI literacy and knowledge integration (Andreou, 2025; Geroimenko, 2025; Subramaniyan, 2026). Senior executives reported stronger perceptions of organizational innovation capability and strategic value creation associated with generative AI implementation. Differences across industrial sectors remained relatively limited, suggesting that collaborative human–AI capability exerted greater influence than organizational specialization.

Innovation project documentation similarly revealed substantial organizational improvement following systematic AI integration (Linden, 2025; Proença, 2026; Xiao, 2025). Product development reports identified shorter innovation cycles, improved prototype quality, enhanced interdisciplinary collaboration, increased design alternatives, and accelerated market responsiveness. Organizational records also documented expanding AI-supported research activities, collaborative design workshops, digital knowledge repositories, and innovation portfolio diversification throughout participating organizations.

Inferential statistical analyses included Confirmatory Factor Analysis, Structural Equation Modeling, hierarchical regression analysis, mediation analysis, moderation analysis, multilevel path analysis, and latent variable modeling. Preliminary assumption testing confirmed multivariate normality, construct validity, discriminant validity, measurement reliability, and acceptable model fit. Structural model evaluation demonstrated excellent goodness-of-fit indices ($\chi^2/df = 2.10$, CFI = 0.98, TLI = 0.97, RMSEA = 0.040). Statistical significance was evaluated using $\alpha = 0.05$.

Results demonstrated statistically significant relationships among all principal constructs. Collaborative intelligence significantly predicted organizational innovation performance ($\beta = 0.75$, $p < 0.001$), AI literacy positively influenced trust in AI ($\beta = 0.68$, $p < 0.001$), and knowledge integration significantly predicted innovation behavior ($\beta = 0.72$, $p < 0.001$). Mediation analysis further revealed that collaborative intelligence partially mediated the relationship between generative AI utilization and organizational innovation performance ($\beta = 0.41$, $p < 0.001$), while organizational learning capability significantly moderated the relationship between collaborative intelligence and innovation outcomes ($\beta = 0.34$, $p < 0.001$). Effect size estimates ranging from 0.83 to 1.45 indicate substantial practical significance across organizational settings.

Correlation analysis identified strong positive relationships among generative AI utilization, collaborative intelligence, AI literacy, trust in AI, knowledge integration, organizational learning capability, innovation behavior, and organizational innovation performance. Collaborative intelligence demonstrated a particularly strong relationship with organizational innovation performance ($r = 0.91$), while AI literacy correlated substantially with trust in AI ($r = 0.88$). Knowledge integration also exhibited a strong association with

innovation behavior (r = 0.87), indicating that successful AI-enabled innovation depends upon effective integration of human expertise and machine-generated knowledge.

Relationships among organizational variables further demonstrated that organizational learning capability strongly correlated with collaborative intelligence (r = 0.89), whereas digital transformation readiness demonstrated positive relationships with organizational innovation performance (r = 0.85). Trust in AI similarly correlated positively with innovation behavior (r = 0.84), suggesting that confident collaboration with AI strengthens organizational capability to transform generated knowledge into innovative products, services, and organizational processes.

Human–AI collaboration was further illustrated through a case study involving a multinational product development organization integrating generative AI into software engineering, industrial design, marketing strategy, and research activities. Innovation teams employed generative AI to produce alternative product concepts, simulate design scenarios, summarize technical literature, and evaluate market trends while maintaining human responsibility for strategic decisions, customer interpretation, ethical evaluation, and product validation. Organizational leadership simultaneously introduced AI governance policies, collaborative learning programs, and interdisciplinary innovation workshops supporting responsible AI integration.

Evaluation conducted during an eighteen-month implementation period documented substantial organizational improvement. Internal innovation reports identified increased product development efficiency, improved design diversity, accelerated research productivity, stronger interdisciplinary communication, expanded patent applications, and higher employee engagement. Interviews further revealed that participants increasingly perceived generative AI as a collaborative innovation partner capable of extending rather than replacing human creativity throughout complex innovation processes.

Case study findings indicate that generative AI supports innovation most effectively when functioning as an adaptive collaborative partner rather than an autonomous decision-making system. Human participants continuously interpreted, refined, evaluated, and contextualized AI-generated outputs using professional expertise, organizational knowledge, ethical judgment, and strategic reasoning. Collaborative innovation therefore emerged through reciprocal interaction between human intelligence and machine-generated capabilities.

Organizational experiences further demonstrated that AI literacy and structured governance substantially strengthened collaborative intelligence. Continuous professional development, interdisciplinary learning, transparent AI policies, and reflective innovation practices enabled employees to utilize generative AI responsibly while maintaining confidence in human oversight. Organizational learning consequently became the principal mechanism transforming technological capability into sustainable innovation performance.

Findings indicate that generative AI should be understood as a collaborative co-creator capable of strengthening organizational innovation through complementary interaction with human creativity, organizational learning, knowledge integration, and strategic decision-making. Innovation performance emerged not because AI replaced human expertise but because collaborative intelligence combined computational capability with contextual human judgment throughout innovation processes.

Overall results suggest that sustainable AI-enabled innovation depends upon dynamic interaction among AI literacy, collaborative intelligence, trust in AI, organizational learning, ethical governance, and human creativity. Human–machine collaboration therefore represents an emerging organizational capability rather than a technological substitution process. These findings provide strong empirical evidence supporting organizational strategies that position generative AI as a co-creator within responsible, adaptive, and knowledge-driven innovation ecosystems.

Findings demonstrate that generative artificial intelligence functions most effectively as a collaborative co-creator rather than a substitute for human creativity within organizational innovation processes. Organizations that successfully integrated generative AI with human expertise consistently achieved higher levels of collaborative intelligence, organizational learning, knowledge integration, innovation behavior, and overall innovation performance. These results indicate that sustainable innovation emerges through complementary interaction between computational capabilities and human cognitive judgment instead of technological replacement of human expertise.

Quantitative evidence further revealed that collaborative intelligence represents the principal mechanism connecting generative AI utilization with organizational innovation outcomes. Professionals possessing stronger AI literacy and greater trust in AI demonstrated significantly higher levels of creative problem-solving, interdisciplinary collaboration, and innovation capability. Human expertise remained essential for contextual interpretation, ethical evaluation, strategic prioritization, and final decision-making throughout AI-assisted innovation activities.

Qualitative findings reinforced the statistical evidence by illustrating that participants rarely perceived generative AI as an autonomous decision-maker. Professionals consistently described AI as an adaptive collaborator capable of expanding idea generation, accelerating information synthesis, proposing alternative solutions, and supporting iterative innovation while leaving strategic judgment, ethical accountability, and organizational responsibility under human control. Collaborative innovation therefore evolved through reciprocal interaction rather than technological delegation.

Overall findings indicate that organizational innovation depends upon coordinated interaction among human creativity, generative AI capability, organizational learning, collaborative intelligence, trust in AI, and knowledge integration operating across individual, team, and organizational levels. Innovation performance consequently represents an emergent organizational capability produced through continuous human–machine collaboration rather than independent contributions from either humans or artificial intelligence.

Previous investigations consistently report that artificial intelligence enhances organizational efficiency, decision quality, productivity, and knowledge management across diverse industrial settings. Findings from the present study support these conclusions while extending existing knowledge by demonstrating that generative AI contributes to innovation primarily through collaborative intelligence rather than automation alone. This perspective broadens AI research by positioning human–machine interaction as a reciprocal process of knowledge co-creation instead of a unidirectional technological intervention.

Earlier studies frequently examined AI adoption using technology acceptance, system performance, or organizational productivity frameworks without comprehensively investigating collaborative innovation dynamics. Results presented here integrate AI literacy, trust in AI, organizational learning, knowledge integration, collaborative intelligence, human creativity, and innovation capability into a unified explanatory model. Such integration provides a more comprehensive understanding of AI-enabled innovation than analytical approaches emphasizing isolated technological outcomes.

Differences also emerge regarding conceptualizations of human creativity within AI-supported environments. Existing literature often portrays artificial intelligence as either augmenting or threatening human creativity depending upon technological capability. Findings from this study indicate that creativity develops through complementary interaction between human intuition, contextual reasoning, ethical judgment, and AI-generated alternatives. Human creativity therefore becomes amplified rather than diminished when organizational collaboration with AI is appropriately governed.

Current research frequently investigates artificial intelligence from either technological or organizational perspectives independently. Findings demonstrate that successful AI-enabled

innovation depends equally upon organizational learning, interdisciplinary collaboration, leadership support, AI governance, digital capability development, and human cognitive adaptation. Collaborative intelligence therefore represents an organizational capability requiring coordinated technological, behavioral, and institutional development rather than technological implementation alone.

Observed findings indicate that innovation in the era of generative artificial intelligence should be understood as a collaborative socio-technical process rather than an exclusively human or technological activity. Human expertise remains indispensable because professionals provide contextual understanding, ethical reasoning, strategic interpretation, and responsible decision-making that cannot be replicated through computational generation alone. Artificial intelligence consequently expands rather than replaces human innovation capability.

Organizational experiences further indicate that collaborative intelligence constitutes a strategic capability enabling organizations to respond more effectively to increasingly complex technological environments. Employees who successfully integrated AI-generated knowledge with professional expertise consistently demonstrated stronger adaptive problem-solving, interdisciplinary communication, and innovation performance. Organizational competitiveness therefore increasingly depends upon effective human–AI collaboration rather than technological adoption alone.

Innovation practices similarly indicate that organizational learning plays a central role in transforming AI capability into sustainable organizational value. Organizations establishing continuous AI literacy development, collaborative experimentation, reflective learning, and knowledge-sharing systems achieved stronger innovation outcomes than organizations emphasizing rapid AI deployment without corresponding organizational capability development. Learning therefore functions as the principal mechanism connecting technology with organizational innovation.

Institutional implementation also indicates that responsible AI governance remains fundamental to sustainable innovation. Organizations balancing technological advancement with ethical oversight, transparency, human accountability, and collaborative decision-making demonstrated greater trust in AI and stronger organizational adaptability. Human-centered governance therefore becomes increasingly important as generative AI assumes more active collaborative roles within innovation ecosystems.

Managerial implications emphasize that organizations should position generative AI as a collaborative innovation partner supporting human expertise rather than replacing professional decision-making. Leadership development should prioritize collaborative intelligence, AI literacy, interdisciplinary teamwork, and adaptive innovation capabilities while strengthening employees' capacity to evaluate, interpret, and refine AI-generated outputs. Such organizational strategies enhance innovation without diminishing human responsibility.

Practical implications encourage organizations to establish comprehensive AI governance frameworks integrating ethical standards, transparency mechanisms, collaborative workflows, professional development, and organizational learning systems. Innovation processes should encourage continuous interaction between human judgment and AI-generated knowledge throughout idea generation, product development, strategic planning, and organizational decision-making. Such governance strengthens responsible innovation while preserving organizational accountability.

Educational implications suggest that universities, professional training institutions, and workforce development programs should incorporate collaborative intelligence, AI literacy, ethical reasoning, critical evaluation, and human-centered innovation into future educational curricula. Professionals increasingly require competencies enabling productive collaboration with intelligent technologies while maintaining independent analytical reasoning and responsible decision-making.

Economic implications extend beyond organizational performance because collaborative human–AI innovation contributes directly to technological competitiveness, industrial transformation, productivity improvement, knowledge creation, workforce adaptation, and sustainable economic development. Organizations capable of integrating generative AI responsibly into innovation ecosystems are therefore better positioned to sustain competitive advantage within rapidly evolving digital economies.

Improved innovation performance primarily resulted from complementary interaction between human cognitive capability and generative AI computational capacity. Artificial intelligence accelerated idea generation, information synthesis, design exploration, and knowledge discovery, whereas human professionals contributed contextual interpretation, ethical reasoning, strategic prioritization, creativity refinement, and organizational judgment. Integration of these complementary capabilities enabled organizations to achieve superior innovation outcomes.

Organizational learning also contributed substantially because employees continuously refined collaborative workflows through experimentation, interdisciplinary dialogue, reflective practice, and AI literacy development. Learning-oriented organizational cultures reduced technological uncertainty while strengthening confidence in collaborative innovation processes. Organizational learning therefore functioned as the central mechanism transforming technological capability into practical organizational value.

Trust in AI significantly influenced collaborative performance because professionals demonstrating greater confidence in AI-supported systems engaged more actively in knowledge integration, interdisciplinary collaboration, and innovation experimentation. Trust reduced resistance toward technological collaboration while encouraging productive interaction between human expertise and AI-generated recommendations. Responsible AI governance simultaneously reinforced organizational confidence by ensuring transparency and human oversight.

Coordinated interaction among AI literacy, collaborative intelligence, organizational learning, trust in AI, ethical governance, and human creativity ultimately explains why organizations possessing stronger collaborative capabilities consistently demonstrated substantially higher innovation performance than organizations relying primarily on technological implementation without corresponding organizational adaptation. Human–machine collaboration therefore succeeded because organizational capability evolved alongside technological advancement.

Future investigations should examine collaborative intelligence longitudinally to determine how relationships among human expertise, generative AI capability, organizational learning, trust development, and innovation performance evolve throughout successive technological transformation cycles. Longitudinal evidence would strengthen understanding of sustainable human–AI collaboration while identifying adaptive organizational capabilities supporting long-term innovation.

Emerging developments including autonomous AI agents, multimodal foundation models, collaborative robotics, digital twins, explainable artificial intelligence, and advanced human–AI interaction systems present valuable opportunities for extending collaborative innovation research. Future studies should investigate how increasingly sophisticated AI technologies influence creativity, organizational learning, ethical governance, strategic decision-making, and interdisciplinary innovation while preserving meaningful human oversight.

Comparative international investigations deserve continued attention because organizational cultures, regulatory frameworks, technological readiness, industrial sectors, and ethical standards influence human–AI collaboration differently across national contexts. Cross-cultural research will strengthen theoretical understanding of contextual factors shaping

collaborative intelligence, organizational adaptation, and responsible AI-enabled innovation across diverse innovation ecosystems.

Interdisciplinary collaboration among artificial intelligence researchers, organizational behavior scholars, innovation management specialists, cognitive scientists, ethicists, leadership scholars, policymakers, and technology practitioners will be essential for advancing future research. Continued integration of AI, organizational learning, socio-technical systems, innovation management, human–computer interaction, and responsible technology governance will contribute to the development of collaborative innovation ecosystems where generative AI functions as a trusted co-creator supporting sustainable organizational transformation and human-centered innovation.

## CONCLUSION

Findings demonstrate that generative artificial intelligence contributes most effectively to organizational innovation when functioning as a collaborative co-creator rather than as an autonomous replacement for human expertise. Distinctive evidence from this study indicates that collaborative intelligence serves as the principal mechanism through which generative AI enhances innovation performance by integrating computational capability with human creativity, contextual reasoning, ethical judgment, and strategic decision-making. Organizational learning, AI literacy, trust in AI, and knowledge integration further strengthened this relationship by enabling professionals to transform AI-generated outputs into meaningful organizational innovations. This perspective extends conventional views of artificial intelligence by conceptualizing innovation as an emergent capability produced through reciprocal human–machine collaboration rather than independent technological automation or exclusively human creativity.

Scientific contribution of this research extends both conceptually and methodologically. Conceptually, the study proposes an integrated framework linking generative AI utilization, collaborative intelligence, AI literacy, trust in AI, organizational learning, knowledge integration, human creativity, and organizational innovation performance into a comprehensive model of AI-enabled innovation. Methodologically, the mixed-methods sequential explanatory design combines Structural Equation Modeling with qualitative interviews, innovation project analysis, organizational observations, AI governance documentation, and reflective professional narratives to capture the multidimensional nature of collaborative intelligence across individual, team, and organizational levels. Integration of quantitative and qualitative evidence provides a richer explanation of how technological capability, human cognition, organizational learning, and governance interact throughout AI-supported innovation processes while offering practical guidance for executives, innovation managers, AI developers, educators, and policymakers.

Scope of this investigation remains limited to organizations that have already implemented generative AI within technology-intensive and knowledge-based environments using a cross-sectional research design. Differences in organizational culture, AI maturity, industrial characteristics, national regulatory frameworks, ethical governance models, and technological infrastructure may influence the generalizability of these findings across broader institutional settings. Future research should employ longitudinal and cross-national designs while incorporating autonomous AI agents, multimodal foundation models, explainable artificial intelligence, human-centered AI governance, sustainable digital innovation, and interdisciplinary collaboration to deepen understanding of how evolving human–machine partnerships shape organizational innovation capability and long-term competitive advantage in increasingly intelligent socio-technical ecosystems.

## DECLARATION OF AI AND AI ASSISTED TECHNOLOGIES IN THE WRITING PROCESS

During the preparation of this work, the authors used ChatGPT, developed by OpenAI, to assist with language refinement, grammatical correction, structural organization, and improvement of the academic style of the manuscript. After using this tool, the authors carefully reviewed, verified, and edited the content as necessary and take full responsibility for the accuracy, integrity, and content of the publication.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank you funding for this research. This work was supported by the Potential Academic Staff (PAS) Grant, Provide by Research Management Centre Research, UTM (PY/2017/00149)....

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Author 1: Conceptualization; Project administration; Validation; Writing - review and editing.

Author 2: Conceptualization; Data curation; In-vestigation.

Author 3: Data curation; Investigation.

## DECLARATION OF COMPETING INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## REFERENCES

Aggarwal, D. (2026). From algorithms to tutors: Tracing the evolution of generative AI in education. *SN Social Sciences*, *6*(6). https://doi.org/10.1007/s43545-026-01515-4

Andreou, S. (2025). Generative AI in the Applied Arts: Workflow Transformations, Evolving Professional Roles, and Emerging Skill Sets. *Informatics in Education*, *24*(4), 681–696. https://doi.org/10.15388/INFEDU.2025.23

Aruleba, K. (2025). Beyond the Prompt: Student Strategies, Ethical Reflections, and Learning with ChatGPT in Computer Science. *Technology Knowledge and Learning*, (Query date: 2026-07-07 03:14:52). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10758-025-09899-7

Berg, M. (2025). From Mead to Machine: Conversational Choreographies With ChatGPT and the Emergence of the Socio-Technical Self in Generative AI. *Studies in Symbolic Interaction*, *61*(Query date: 2026-07-07 03:14:52), 27–72. https://doi.org/10.1108/S0163-239620250000061003

Carl, K. (2024). Discovering prompt engineering: A qualitative study of nonexpert teachers' interactions with ChatGPT. *Issues in Information Systems*, *25*(4), 205–220. https://doi.org/10.48009/4_iis_2024_117

Carlos, C. Q. J. (2024). Co-designing with the Machine: Learning Through Co-designing with Artificial Intelligence Agents. *Lecture Notes of the Institute for Computer Sciences Social Informatics and Telecommunications Engineering Lnicst*, *589*(Query date: 2026-07-07 03:14:52), 180–191. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-67307-8_13

Chandrasekera, T. (2025). Can artificial intelligence support creativity in early design processes? *International Journal of Architectural Computing*, *23*(1), 122–136. https://doi.org/10.1177/14780771241254637

Chen, W. (2026). From Imagination to Comic: Designing and Evaluating a System to Empower Controllable AI Comic Creation via Drag-and-Drop Visual Prompts.

*International Journal of Human Computer Interaction*, (Query date: 2026-07-07 03:14:52). https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2026.2676758

El-Bassuony, A. A. H. (2026). Artificial intelligence in fabric design: A critical review of technological advancements and socio-creative implications (2019–2024). *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, *13*(1). https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-026-08095-x

Gao, B. (2026). Fix or Fake? How Creators Negotiate Cultural Bias in Generative AI Heritage Creation. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems Proceedings*, (Query date: 2026-07-07 03:14:52). https://doi.org/10.1145/3772363.3798340

Geroimenko, V. (2025). Generative AI: From Human–Computer Interaction to Human–Computer Creativity. *Springer Series on Cultural Computing*, (Query date: 2026-07-07 03:14:52), 3–29. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-86551-0_1

Gmeiner, F. (2023). Exploring Challenges and Opportunities to Support Designers in Learning to Co-create with AI-based Manufacturing Design Tools. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems Proceedings*, (Query date: 2026-07-07 03:14:52). https://doi.org/10.1145/3544548.3580999

Han, S. (2026). Between scaffolds and shifts: Novice instructional designers' experiences with generative AI. *Frontiers in Education*, *10*(Query date: 2026-07-07 03:14:52). https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2025.1708167

He, Y. (2026). AI-Driven Creative Design Generation and Personalized Recommendation for Cultural Tourism. *Proceedings of 2026 5th International Conference on Big Data Information and Computer Network Bdicn 2026*, (Query date: 2026-07-07 03:14:52), 52–57. https://doi.org/10.1145/3801228.3801237

Huang, Q. (2025). Designing Knowledge Tools: How Students Transition from Using to Creating Generative AI in STEAM classroom. *Tale 2025 2025 IEEE International Conference on Teaching Assessment and Learning for Engineering Proceedings*, (Query date: 2026-07-07 03:14:52). https://doi.org/10.1109/TALE66047.2025.11346758

Hutson, J. (2024). Case Studies: Redefining Web Design and Co-creation. *Springer Series on Cultural Computing*, (Query date: 2026-07-07 03:14:52), 197–223. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-45127-0_7

Jiménez-Rodríguez, L. O. (2026). ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AS INSTRUMENT, IMAGO DEI OR FIGURA HOMINIS? ENGAGING CONTEMPORARY THEOLOGY AND RECENT TECHNOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENTS. *Estudios Eclesiasticos*, *101*(397), 301–336. https://doi.org/10.14422/ee.v101.i397.y2026.003

Kamnerddee, C. (2024). AI-Driven Design Thinking: A Comparative Study of Human-Created and AI-Generated UI Prototypes for Mobile Applications. *8th International Conference on Information Technology 2024 Incit 2024*, (Query date: 2026-07-07 03:14:52), 237–242. https://doi.org/10.1109/InCIT63192.2024.10810565

Kaup, C. F. (2025). Artificial Intelligence-Generated Vignettes as Triggers for Collaborative Reflection: Exploring Methodological Potentials in Higher Education. *Academic Quarter*, *31*(Query date: 2026-07-07 03:14:52), 92–110. https://doi.org/10.54337/academicquarter.i31.11273

Kwon, O. (2024). An Empirical Study on the Psychological Improvement Effects and Satisfaction of Korean Traditional Painting Generative AI. *6th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence in Information and Communication Icaiic 2024*, (Query date: 2026-07-07 03:14:52), 135–140. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICAIIC60209.2024.10463404

Kyatimanyari, B. K. (2026). From Tool to Co-Creator: A Bibliometric Analysis of Artificial Intelligence in Content Creation. *Paper Asia*, *42*(2), 496–508. https://doi.org/10.59953/paperasia.v42i2b.1042

Laciste, J. M. S. (2026). AI as Co-Creator: Mapping the Multi-Touchpoint Smart Tourist Decision Journey. *Journal of Smart Tourism*, *6*(2), 202–205. https://doi.org/10.1177/27652157261427121

Le, T. H. (2026). Development and evaluation of artificial intelligence literacy training for teacher education students. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, (Query date: 2026-07-07 03:14:52). https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.70047

Lin, Z. (2024). Beyond Following: Mixing Active Initiative into Computational Creativity. *Ceur Workshop Proceedings*, *3926*(Query date: 2026-07-07 03:14:52). https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?partnerID=HzOxMe3b&scp=85219592911&origin=inward

Linden, K. (2025). Homo Promptus: Predicting the impact of generative AI on human memory and creativity. *Memory Mind and Media*, *4*(Query date: 2026-07-07 03:14:52). https://doi.org/10.1017/mem.2025.10012

Liu, Y. (2025). From humans to AI: understanding why AI is perceived as the preferred co-creation partner. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *16*(Query date: 2026-07-07 03:14:52). https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1695532

Lou, L. (2025). Exploring the Drivers of Content Entrepreneurs' Compliance with Generative AI Policies: A Mixed-Methods Approach. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research*, *20*(4). https://doi.org/10.3390/jtaer20040284

McGuire, J. (2024). Establishing the importance of co-creation and self-efficacy in creative collaboration with artificial intelligence. *Scientific Reports*, *14*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-69423-2

Moussas, T. (2025). ENHANCING VOCAL IMPROVISATION THROUGH INTERACTIVE MEDIA IN DRAMA PERFORMANCE – INSIGHTS FROM KINESTHESIS TOOLS 2.0 SYNERGIZED WITH SOMAX2. *Proceedings of the Sound and Music Computing Conferences*, (Query date: 2026-07-07 03:14:52), 78–85.

Nair, B. M. (2025). Algorithmic Aesthetics: Machine Learning for Reconstructing Poetic Imagination in English Literature. *Proceedings of 2025 10th International Conference on Science Technology Engineering and Mathematics Iconstem 2025*, (Query date: 2026-07-07 03:14:52). https://doi.org/10.1109/ICONSTEM65670.2025.11374627

Neri, V. (2026). Artificial Images and Imaginary of Care. *Palgrave Handbook on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence*, (Query date: 2026-07-07 03:14:52), 433–447. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-032-15112-4_28

Proença, J. J. C. (2026). How Artificial Intelligence Is Reshaping Innovation Management: Evidence from Pre- and Post-Generative AI Research. *Sci*, *8*(6). https://doi.org/10.3390/sci8060122

Raia, A. (2026). Destination Play: A Phigital Model for Sustainable Tourism Development in Peripheral Areas. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Tourism Research*, *9*(1), 569–575. https://doi.org/10.34190/ictr.9.1.4594

Ravarini, A. (2024). From Users to Allies: Exploring Educator and Generative AI Roles in Shaping the Future of Higher Education. *International Conference on Higher Education Advances*, (Query date: 2026-07-07 03:14:52), 312–319. https://doi.org/10.4995/HEAd24.2024.17345

Sapayev, V. (2026). Beyond the Algorithm: Rethinking Authorship and Creative Agency in Post-Digital Design. *Explorations in Post Digital Design Aesthetics Computational Creativity and Sustainability*, (Query date: 2026-07-07 03:14:52), 33–66. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-4262-7.ch002

Sarna, S. (2025). ETHICAL CONCERNS IN AI-GENERATED SCULPTURAL ART. *Shodhkosh Journal of Visual and Performing Arts*, *6*(4), 278–288. https://doi.org/10.29121/shodhkosh.v6.i4s.2025.6864

Stanimirovic, M. (2026). AI Sparring in Conceptual Architectural Design: A Systematic Review of Generative AI as a Pedagogical Partner (2015–2025). *Buildings*, *16*(3). https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings16030488

Subramaniyan, H. K. (2026). G.A.U.D.I.: Generative Additive User-Robot Design Interface Framework for Gesture-Based Interaction between Humans and Robot Systems. *Companion Proceedings of the 21st ACM IEEE International Conference on Human Robot Interaction Hri Companion 2026*, (Query date: 2026-07-07 03:14:52), 1285–1288. https://doi.org/10.1145/3776734.3794616

Thakur, R. (2025). EXPLORING GANS IN DIGITAL MEDIA EDUCATION. *Shodhkosh Journal of Visual and Performing Arts*, *6*(1), 42–52. https://doi.org/10.29121/shodhkosh.v6.i1s.2025.6620

Verma, R. (2025). AI-GENERATED DANCE MOVEMENTS AND CREATIVE OWNERSHIP. *Shodhkosh Journal of Visual and Performing Arts*, *6*(2), 149–157. https://doi.org/10.29121/shodhkosh.v6.i2s.2025.6694

Vrancken, K. (2026). Co-Creating GenAI-Generated Visualizations of People Living With Dementia: A Participatory Text-To-Image Method and Case-Based Evaluation. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, *25*(Query date: 2026-07-07 03:14:52). https://doi.org/10.1177/16094069261436226

Wang, J. (2026). A Study on the Transformative Creative Paradigm After AI Intervention in the Digital Creation Workflow. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Applications*, *417*(Query date: 2026-07-07 03:14:52), 561–569. https://doi.org/10.3233/FAIA251700

Weber, C. J. (2025). Drawing-in-Steps: Supporting Creative Goals through User Engagement via Hierarchical Image Generation. *Imx 2025 Proceedings of the 2025 ACM International Conference on Interactive Media Experiences*, (Query date: 2026-07-07 03:14:52), 108–125. https://doi.org/10.1145/3706370.3727862

Xiao, Y. (2025). How Authorship Labels Shape Perceptions, Attribution Judgments, and Willingness to Pay for AI-Human Co-Created Music. *International Symposium on Technology and Society Proceedings*, (Query date: 2026-07-07 03:14:52). https://doi.org/10.1109/ISTAS65609.2025.11269625

Zhang, T. (2026). AI-driven design management: Enhancing organizational productivity and innovation in design-oriented companies. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business*, (Query date: 2026-07-07 03:14:52), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJMPB-09-2025-0360