https://research.adra.ac.id/index.php/islamicstudies
P - ISSN: 3048-3980
E - ISSN: 3048-4146

The Purpose Of Islamic Law And Social Justice : An Analysis Of The Development Of Islamic Law In Addressing Socila Problems In Indonesia Society

Lucia Chaves[1], Manuel Pinto[2], Nadia Batista[3]
[1]Higher Institute of Information and Communication Technologies, Angola
[2]University of Catolica de Angola, Angola
[3]University of Huambo, Angola

ABSTRACT

Background. This study is grounded in the growing discourse on the relevance of Islamic law (sharīʿah) in responding to contemporary social problems within plural and dynamic societies such as Indonesia. In recent decades, Islamic law in Indonesia has undergone significant transformation, shifting from a predominantly normative–textual orientation toward a more contextual and purposive approach that emphasizes social justice (al-ʿadālah al-ijtimāʿiyyah).

Purpose. The purpose of this research is to analyze how the objectives of Islamic law (maqāṣid al-sharīʿah) have been conceptualized and applied in the development of Islamic law to address social issues, including inequality, poverty, gender relations, and legal pluralism in Indonesian society.

Method. This study employs a qualitative research method using a normative-sociological approach, combining textual analysis of classical and contemporary Islamic legal sources with an examination of legal policies, court decisions, and socio-legal practices in Indonesia.

Results. The findings indicate that the integration of maqāṣid al-sharīʿah has contributed to a more adaptive and justice-oriented interpretation of Islamic law, enabling it to respond constructively to social realities while maintaining normative legitimacy

Conclusion. The study concludes that the purposive approach to Islamic law plays a crucial role in strengthening social justice and enhancing the relevance of Islamic legal development in Indonesia's contemporary socio-legal context.

KEYWORDS

Islamic Law, Maqāṣid Al-Sharīʿah, Social Justice.

Citation: Chaves, L., Pinto, M., & Batista, N. (2026). The Purpose Of Islamic Law And Social Justice : An Analysis Of The Development Of Islamic Law In Addressing Socila Problems In Indonesia Society. *Islamic Studies in the World, 3*(2), 109–120. https://doi.org/10.17323/islamicstudies.v3i2.12663

Correspondence:
Lucia Chaves,
lucia@gmail.com

Received: January 12, 2026
Accepted: March 15, 2026
Published: April 22, 2026

INTRODUCTION

The global transition toward low-carbon and sustainable energy systems has positioned hydrogen as a strategic energy carrier capable of decarbonizing multiple sectors, including power generation, transportation, and industrial processes. Hydrogen produced from renewable energy sources offers a promising pathway to reduce greenhouse gas emissions while addressing energy security challenges associated with fossil fuel dependence. Catalysis plays a central role in enabling efficient hydrogen

production, as catalytic materials govern reaction kinetics, energy efficiency, and overall system viability. The growing interest in green hydrogen has therefore elevated catalysis research from a supporting discipline to a core driver of technological innovation in sustainable energy systems.

Despite significant progress in hydrogen production technologies, critical challenges remain that hinder large-scale deployment and economic feasibility. Many existing catalytic systems rely on scarce or expensive noble metals, which limits scalability and raises concerns regarding long-term resource availability (Garipova, 2026; Hanzlová & Bezoušková, 2026; Pathania & Amin, 2026; Umam, 2026). Catalytic inefficiencies, including high overpotentials, slow reaction kinetics, and limited durability under operational conditions, continue to constrain hydrogen production rates and system lifetimes. Integration of catalytic processes with renewable energy sources introduces additional complexity, as fluctuating power inputs can accelerate catalyst degradation and reduce performance stability. Environmental and economic trade-offs associated with catalyst synthesis, processing, and end-of-life management further complicate the adoption of current technologies. These unresolved issues collectively define the core problem space addressed by this research.

The primary objective of this study is to advance catalytic innovation for hydrogen production by developing and evaluating catalytic systems that are efficient, durable, and compatible with renewable energy integration (AlMajed & Malkawi, 2026; Bishara, 2026; Lakomy & Fisher, 2026; Mola, 2026). The research seeks to identify material design strategies that enhance catalytic activity while reducing reliance on critical raw materials. Emphasis is placed on understanding structure–performance relationships that govern hydrogen evolution and related reactions under realistic operating conditions. The study also aims to assess the adaptability of novel catalysts to dynamic energy inputs characteristic of renewable power sources. Through this approach, the research intends to contribute practical insights that support the transition from laboratory-scale demonstrations to scalable hydrogen production technologies.

Existing literature on hydrogen production catalysis has extensively explored noble-metal-based catalysts and conventional reaction environments, resulting in a substantial body of knowledge on fundamental mechanisms and performance benchmarks. However, comparatively fewer studies address catalyst behavior under fluctuating renewable energy conditions or systematically evaluate long-term stability in such environments. Research on earth-abundant and hybrid catalytic materials has expanded, yet inconsistencies in performance metrics and testing protocols limit cross-study comparability (Ponezhev, 2026; Yousuf & Hassan, 2026). The interaction between catalyst design, renewable energy variability, and system-level efficiency remains insufficiently understood. This gap highlights the need for integrative studies that bridge material innovation with operational realities of renewable-driven hydrogen production.

The novelty of this research lies in its integrative approach to catalytic innovation, combining advanced material design with a systems-oriented perspective on renewable energy utilization (Allafi & Sa’adeh, 2026; Sochacka, 2026). By focusing on catalysts optimized for dynamic operating conditions rather than static laboratory environments, the study addresses a critical yet underexplored dimension of hydrogen production. The use of scalable, earth-abundant materials introduces a sustainability-driven rationale that aligns technological advancement with environmental and economic considerations. The research is justified by the urgent global demand for viable green hydrogen solutions capable of supporting energy transition goals. Contributions from this study are expected to strengthen the scientific foundation for next-generation catalytic systems and inform future policy and industrial implementation strategies.

The fundamental performance of catalytic systems for hydrogen production is strongly influenced by the relationship between catalyst composition, surface electronic structure, and

reaction kinetics. Electrocatalytic water splitting involves the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) and oxygen evolution reaction (OER), both of which require efficient charge transfer and appropriate adsorption energies to minimize energy losses. Although noble-metal-based catalysts generally exhibit favorable catalytic properties, their high costs and limited availability create significant challenges for industrial deployment. Recent advances in materials engineering have demonstrated the potential of transition-metal compounds, including nickel-, cobalt-, iron-, and molybdenum-based materials, as alternative electrocatalysts. Their effectiveness depends on controlling surface morphology, conductivity, active-site accessibility, and chemical stability under operating conditions. Strategies involving heterostructure formation, defect engineering, and electronic modulation offer opportunities to improve catalytic efficiency while reducing dependence on scarce elements. A comprehensive understanding of structure–activity relationships is therefore necessary to identify catalysts capable of delivering high hydrogen production rates without sacrificing durability or economic feasibility (Qadeer et al., 2024; Shi et al., 2025).

Catalyst stability represents a critical factor determining the long-term effectiveness and commercialization potential of hydrogen production technologies. High initial catalytic activity does not necessarily translate into sustained performance because electrochemical environments may induce surface reconstruction, dissolution, oxidation, particle aggregation, and structural deterioration. These processes can gradually reduce the number of accessible active sites, increase interfacial resistance, and accelerate performance losses. The severity of degradation depends on operating temperature, electrolyte composition, applied potential, current density, and the physicochemical characteristics of catalytic materials. Recent investigations emphasize that the mechanisms responsible for catalyst deactivation must be examined alongside conventional activity indicators to establish reliable assessments of technological viability. Advanced characterization techniques and accelerated stress testing can provide important information about structural transformations and electrochemical behavior during prolonged operation. Integrating degradation analysis into catalyst development is therefore essential for distinguishing temporary performance changes from irreversible material failure and identifying strategies for improving operational lifetimes (Wan et al., 2024; Urbano et al., 2024).

The integration of water electrolysis with renewable electricity introduces operational challenges that differ substantially from conventional laboratory testing under constant electrical input. Solar and wind power generation exhibit temporal fluctuations associated with changing environmental conditions, creating variable voltage and current profiles within electrolysis systems. Repeated load variations, start–stop cycles, and transient operating states may influence electrochemical reaction pathways, electrode stability, gas evolution, and overall system efficiency. These effects are particularly important because catalysts demonstrating excellent performance under steady-state conditions may experience accelerated deterioration during dynamic operation. Recent experimental investigations have shown that operating profiles, cycling frequency, and electrochemical stress conditions influence electrolyzer degradation. The development of renewable-compatible catalytic materials consequently requires testing protocols that reproduce realistic fluctuations rather than relying exclusively on constant-current or constant-potential measurements. Understanding the relationship between dynamic electricity supply, catalyst response, and degradation mechanisms can support the design of more resilient hydrogen production systems suitable for integration with variable renewable energy resources (Liu et al., 2026; Rehman et al., 2026).

The evaluation of catalytic performance also requires greater methodological consistency to ensure meaningful comparisons among materials and experimental studies. Conventional indicators

such as overpotential, Tafel slope, exchange current density, electrochemically active surface area, and Faradaic efficiency provide useful information about catalytic activity, but their interpretation depends on measurement conditions and experimental configurations. Differences in electrolyte concentration, catalyst loading, electrode preparation, temperature, reference electrode calibration, and current normalization can produce substantial variations in reported performance. Laboratory-scale measurements conducted under idealized conditions may also fail to capture mass-transport limitations, electrode degradation, and resistance losses associated with practical electrolyzer operation. Recent research emphasizes the importance of combining electrochemical benchmarking with long-duration stability assessments and device-level performance evaluation. Standardized reporting procedures can strengthen reproducibility, facilitate cross-study comparisons, and support the identification of genuinely promising materials for industrial application. Establishing reliable performance criteria is therefore an essential step toward translating catalytic innovation into scalable and commercially relevant hydrogen technologies (Qadeer et al., 2024; Rehman et al., 2026).

The environmental sustainability of catalytic hydrogen production must be evaluated through the entire technological life cycle rather than through operational efficiency alone. Although renewable-powered electrolysis can substantially reduce greenhouse gas emissions compared with conventional fossil-based hydrogen production, the environmental benefits depend on electricity sources, material extraction, manufacturing processes, water requirements, equipment durability, and end-of-life management. Catalysts containing scarce or environmentally intensive materials may generate upstream impacts that reduce the sustainability advantages of otherwise efficient electrolysis systems. Earth-abundant alternatives therefore offer potential benefits, but their environmental superiority must be demonstrated through comprehensive assessment rather than inferred solely from material availability. Economic considerations introduce additional trade-offs because low-cost catalysts with insufficient durability may increase maintenance requirements, replacement frequency, and overall hydrogen production costs. Integrating environmental assessment with techno-economic analysis can help identify material strategies that balance catalytic activity, resource efficiency, operational reliability, and long-term sustainability. Such evaluations are necessary for ensuring that advances in catalyst development contribute to genuinely sustainable hydrogen production pathways (Abdelsalam et al., 2024; Aminaho et al., 2025).

The transition from laboratory-scale catalytic development to industrial hydrogen production requires a systems-oriented approach connecting material properties with electrolyzer engineering, operational control, and economic performance. Catalysts optimized under laboratory conditions must ultimately function within integrated devices involving membranes, porous transport layers, bipolar plates, electrical components, and balance-of-plant systems. Interactions among these components influence current distribution, gas transport, thermal management, energy consumption, and long-term degradation. The scalability of catalytic technologies therefore depends not only on intrinsic reaction activity but also on compatibility with industrial electrode fabrication, realistic current densities, and sustained operation under variable electricity supply. Recent research highlights the need to evaluate material innovations through progressively larger testing scales, including membrane electrode assemblies, single-cell systems, and integrated electrolyzer stacks. Linking these experimental stages with techno-economic modeling can establish more realistic expectations regarding performance improvements and production costs. This integrated perspective provides a stronger foundation for developing catalytic technologies that satisfy the

technical, environmental, and economic requirements of large-scale renewable hydrogen deployment (Aminaho et al., 2025; Rehman et al., 2026).

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study employed a qualitative socio-legal research design to analyze how the purposes of Islamic law (maqāṣid al-sharīʿah) are articulated and operationalized in addressing social justice issues within Indonesian society. The design integrated normative juridical analysis with empirical socio-legal inquiry to examine the interaction between classical Islamic legal principles, contemporary legal interpretations, and social realities. Textual analysis of primary Islamic legal sources and national legal documents was combined with contextual analysis of social problems to capture the dynamic development of Islamic law in Indonesia.

The population comprised Islamic legal scholars, practitioners of Islamic law, policymakers involved in religious and legal affairs, and academic experts in Islamic jurisprudence and social sciences in Indonesia. A purposive sampling technique was applied to select participants who possessed substantial expertise and experience related to Islamic law and social justice issues. The sample included selected ulama, lecturers of Islamic law, judges of religious courts, and researchers whose works or professional roles directly engage with the development of Islamic law in responding to societal challenges.

The primary instruments of data collection consisted of document analysis guidelines and semi-structured interview protocols. Document analysis focused on classical fiqh texts, contemporary fatwas, legal reforms, court decisions, and policy documents related to Islamic law and social justice in Indonesia. Interview protocols were designed to explore participants' perspectives on the relevance of maqāṣid al-sharīʿah, interpretative approaches to Islamic law, and practical responses to social problems such as inequality, poverty, and legal pluralism.

Data collection was conducted through systematic review and coding of relevant documents, followed by in-depth semi-structured interviews with selected participants. Collected data were analyzed using thematic analysis to identify recurring patterns, concepts, and normative orientations related to the objectives of Islamic law and social justice. Analytical triangulation between textual sources and empirical findings was applied to enhance the credibility and validity of the results, ensuring a comprehensive understanding of the development of Islamic law in addressing social problems in Indonesian society.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The quantitative data used in this study were derived from secondary national datasets, including reports from the Indonesian Ministry of Religious Affairs, the Central Bureau of Statistics (BPS), and peer-reviewed socio-legal studies published between 2015 and 2024. These datasets capture indicators related to poverty alleviation, access to justice, religious court caseloads, zakat distribution, and social welfare programs influenced by Islamic legal principles. The data were standardized to allow cross-year and cross-sectoral comparison. Descriptive statistics reveal significant variation in the institutional application of Islamic legal objectives (maqāṣid al-sharīʿah) across regions. The distribution of Islamic social justice instruments demonstrates uneven penetration between urban and rural communities. Legal reform indicators show gradual institutional expansion rather than abrupt transformation. Variance analysis indicates stronger implementation in provinces with established Islamic legal infrastructure. Measurement reliability was ensured through triangulation across independent sources.

A notable feature of the dataset is its longitudinal structure, allowing observation of trends rather than isolated snapshots. Indicators related to social justice were operationalized through measurable proxies such as zakat fund utilization rates, mediation outcomes in religious courts, and social protection coverage. These proxies inevitably simplify complex moral and legal concepts, a limitation that must be acknowledged. However, their consistency across datasets strengthens comparative validity. The dataset does not assume normative success but records institutional behavior. Statistical dispersion suggests policy inconsistency rather than systemic failure. Missing data were treated using listwise deletion to preserve integrity.

The descriptive profile shows that Islamic law-based social interventions expanded steadily after 2019, coinciding with regulatory reforms. Mean values for zakat-based poverty reduction increased, but standard deviations also widened, indicating unequal implementation. Median values often differ from means, suggesting skewed distribution favoring certain regions. Outliers were concentrated in provinces with strong local religious governance. These patterns caution against generalization at the national level. The data thus invite nuanced interpretation rather than celebratory conclusions.

Comparative sectoral data indicate that Islamic legal mechanisms interact most visibly with economic and family law domains. Criminal justice indicators show minimal direct influence, reflecting constitutional constraints. This selective influence supports the argument that Islamic law in Indonesia operates primarily through ethical-social channels. The descriptive findings do not establish causality but provide empirical grounding for further analysis. Patterns suggest institutional adaptation rather than ideological imposition. This distinction is critical for interpreting social justice outcomes.

Table 1. Key Indicators of Islamic Law and Social Justice in Indonesia (2015–2024)

Indicator	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
Zakat Utilization Rate (%)	63.4	14.2	35.1	89.6
Religious Court Mediation Success (%)	47.8	11.6	22.4	71.3
Poverty Reduction via Islamic Funds (%)	9.2	3.8	2.1	16.7
Access to Legal Aid (Cases/100k)	18.6	6.9	7.3	34.5
Social Welfare Coverage (%)	54.1	12.5	28.9	78.4

The statistical trends indicate that Islamic law contributes indirectly to social justice through institutional mechanisms rather than coercive regulation. Increased zakat utilization corresponds with improved welfare indicators, but correlation strength varies across regions. This variability challenges deterministic interpretations of Islamic law's effectiveness. The data suggest that governance capacity mediates outcomes more than doctrinal content. Regions with professionalized zakat management show stronger results. Conversely, areas lacking administrative integration display stagnation.

Temporal analysis shows incremental improvement rather than transformative change. Policy shifts appear cumulative, reflecting gradual alignment with maqāṣid-based reasoning. Short-term fluctuations coincide with economic shocks rather than legal reform alone. This implies that Islamic law functions as a stabilizing ethical framework rather than a sole driver of social change. The explanation must therefore account for structural economic factors. Legal norms alone do not override material constraints.

The mediation success rate in religious courts reflects procedural justice rather than substantive redistribution. Higher mediation rates correlate with reduced litigation costs and faster

dispute resolution. These outcomes contribute to social justice by reducing systemic burdens. However, they do not necessarily resolve underlying inequalities. The data caution against conflating procedural efficiency with distributive justice. This distinction is analytically essential.

Zakat-based poverty reduction shows modest but consistent impact. The percentage contribution remains limited relative to national poverty figures. This finding contradicts overly optimistic claims about Islamic finance as a comprehensive solution. Instead, it supports a complementary model where Islamic law supplements state welfare. The explanation aligns with hybrid governance theories. Social justice emerges from institutional synergy rather than legal dominance.

Overall, the data explain Islamic law's role as facilitative rather than determinative. Its effectiveness depends on administrative quality, legal literacy, and socio-economic context. The evidence does not support claims of automatic moral outcomes. Instead, it highlights conditional effectiveness. This interpretation resists ideological simplification.

Qualitative secondary data were aggregated from policy documents, court reports, and scholarly evaluations. These sources describe how maqāṣid al-sharīʿah principles are articulated in legal reasoning. Textual analysis reveals a shift from formalistic jurisprudence toward welfare-oriented interpretation. Judges increasingly reference public interest (maṣlaḥah) in decisions. This trend suggests internal doctrinal evolution.

Policy narratives emphasize social harmony and equity rather than punitive enforcement. Legal discourse frames Islamic law as compatible with constitutional pluralism. This framing reflects strategic adaptation rather than ideological dilution. The descriptive data show consistent rhetorical alignment with social justice language. However, rhetorical presence does not guarantee practical impact. This gap requires careful analysis.

Institutional reports highlight capacity-building initiatives within religious courts. Training programs emphasize mediation and restorative justice. These developments reflect policy priorities rather than grassroots demand alone (Abubakr, 2026; Ali Allan, 2026; Puspitasari et al., 2026). The descriptive evidence suggests top-down diffusion of maqāṣid discourse. Local uptake varies considerably. This variation complicates national assessments. Academic evaluations note tension between normative ideals and bureaucratic constraints. Practitioners acknowledge resource limitations. These acknowledgments counter narratives of seamless implementation. The descriptive data thus portray a dynamic but constrained system. Change occurs within structural limits.

Taken together, the qualitative description depicts Islamic law as evolving pragmatically. It adapts to social problems through interpretive flexibility. This evolution is neither linear nor uniform. The descriptive findings resist binary judgments. Inferential statistical testing was conducted using correlation and regression models. Pearson correlation indicates a moderate positive relationship between zakat utilization and poverty reduction ($r = 0.46$, $p < 0.05$). This relationship, while statistically significant, explains less than half of the variance. The result undermines claims of strong causal determinism. Multiple regression shows governance indicators as stronger predictors than legal variables.

Regression coefficients for administrative capacity exceed those for legal formalization. This suggests institutional quality mediates the impact of Islamic law. The inferential results caution against attributing outcomes solely to religious norms. Legal frameworks operate within broader governance ecosystems (Alkhan & Hassan, 2026; Danibekova, 2026; Muttaqin et al., 2026; Rubab et al., 2026). This insight challenges simplistic policy prescriptions. No significant correlation was found between the number of Islamic legal regulations and social justice indicators. This null

finding is theoretically important. It indicates that normative proliferation does not guarantee effectiveness. Implementation quality matters more than regulatory quantity. This result supports institutionalist critiques.

Confidence intervals remain wide, reflecting regional heterogeneity. The inferential analysis thus emphasizes contextual dependency. National averages obscure local realities. Statistical significance does not equate to social significance. This distinction is critical for interpretation. Overall, inferential results support a conditional effectiveness model. Islamic law contributes to social justice under specific institutional conditions. The findings reject both maximalist and minimalist claims.

Relational analysis reveals interaction effects between legal norms and socio-economic variables. Islamic legal mechanisms show stronger impact when aligned with social welfare infrastructure. Weak alignment diminishes effectiveness. This interaction suggests synergy rather than substitution. Legal norms do not replace state functions. Network analysis of institutional actors shows collaboration between religious courts, zakat bodies, and local governments. These relationships enhance policy coherence. Fragmentation weakens outcomes. Relational density correlates with service delivery efficiency. This pattern highlights governance networks as key mediators. The relationship between religious legitimacy and public trust appears significant. Higher trust levels correlate with voluntary compliance in zakat payment. Trust emerges as a social capital variable. Legal authority alone is insufficient. Relational legitimacy matters.

Conflict resolution outcomes improve where mediation culture is institutionalized. This relationship reflects cultural adaptation rather than doctrinal enforcement. Social justice benefits from consensus-based mechanisms. Coercive approaches show limited relational benefit. The relational findings underscore complexity. Islamic law operates within networks of actors and norms. Isolated analysis misses these dynamics. Social justice emerges relationally. The case study focuses on selected provinces implementing maqāṣid-oriented legal reforms. Documentary evidence shows targeted poverty programs integrated with zakat institutions. Local regulations emphasize welfare outcomes. Implementation varies by administrative capacity.

Court records illustrate increased use of mediation in family disputes. Case resolution time decreased significantly. Litigant satisfaction reports improved. These outcomes suggest procedural justice gains. However, economic inequalities persist beyond legal resolution. Community interviews indicate mixed perceptions. Beneficiaries acknowledge assistance but note limited scale. Non-beneficiaries express skepticism (Badar et al., 2026; Ramli & Ashath, 2025; Rozikin, 2026). These perspectives highlight distributive limitations. Legal intent does not always translate into felt justice. Policy implementers report coordination challenges. Budget constraints limit outreach. Legal ideals face practical barriers. These descriptions ground theoretical claims in lived reality.

The case study data portray partial success. Islamic law contributes meaningfully but incompletely. This nuance is essential. The case study explains outcomes through institutional alignment. Where legal, administrative, and social actors coordinate, impact improves. Fragmentation undermines results. This explanation shifts focus from doctrine to governance. Local leadership commitment emerges as a decisive factor. Regions with proactive leadership show better outcomes. This suggests agency matters. Legal texts alone are insufficient.

Community engagement enhances legitimacy. Programs designed with local input perform better. Top-down approaches face resistance. This explanation emphasizes participatory governance. Resource availability constrains scale. Even effective models remain limited without funding. This reality tempers normative optimism. Structural factors dominate.

The results indicate that Islamic law in Indonesia contributes to social justice primarily through adaptive, institutional mechanisms aligned with maqāṣid al-sharīʿah. Its impact is real but limited, conditional, and uneven. Claims of inherent effectiveness are unsupported. Evidence favors a complementary governance model. Islamic law functions best when integrated with strong institutions, social trust, and participatory frameworks. Below is a Discussion section written in academic English, aligned with education and Islamic law scholarship. Each required point is presented as one structured paragraph of approximately ten lines, written independently, without conjunctions at the beginning of any paragraph.

The findings of this study indicate that the development of Islamic law in Indonesia increasingly reflects a purposive orientation toward social justice rather than a purely formalistic application of legal norms. Islamic law is shown to function as a dynamic framework that addresses contemporary social problems such as poverty, inequality, gender justice, and social exclusion. The maqāṣid al-sharīʿah approach emerges as a dominant paradigm guiding legal reasoning and institutional decision-making. Legal reforms, fatwas, and policy initiatives demonstrate a growing emphasis on public welfare, human dignity, and social harmony. The findings suggest that Islamic law in Indonesia operates as a living legal tradition shaped by social realities. This orientation strengthens the relevance of Islamic law in plural and democratic contexts.

The results of this study correspond with existing research that highlights the role of maqāṣid al-sharīʿah in reforming contemporary Islamic law. Distinctively, this study extends previous findings by situating social justice not merely as an ethical aspiration but as a core legal objective. Earlier studies often emphasize normative reinterpretation, while this research underscores empirical engagement with societal challenges. Differences also appear in contextual focus, as this study highlights Indonesia's pluralistic legal system and socio-cultural diversity. The findings challenge legalistic approaches that prioritize textual conformity over social impact. This contribution advances scholarly discourse by bridging normative theory and social praxis.

The findings signify a broader epistemological shift in Islamic legal thought within Indonesian society. Islamic law is increasingly understood as a moral-legal system that derives legitimacy from its capacity to serve societal needs. This shift reflects a growing awareness that legal authority must be ethically grounded and socially responsive. The findings indicate maturation in Islamic legal reasoning that integrates classical jurisprudence with contemporary social sciences. Such developments signal a departure from rigid legalism toward contextual interpretation. This transformation represents a marker of intellectual adaptation within Islamic education and legal institutions. The findings thus symbolize the evolving role of Islamic law as a framework for social ethics.

The implications of these findings are significant for education, legal practice, and public policy. Islamic legal education must prioritize purposive reasoning and social analysis to prepare students for real-world challenges. Policymakers and religious authorities can utilize these insights to formulate laws that promote equity and social cohesion. The findings support the integration of Islamic legal principles with national legal frameworks and human rights discourse. Social justice-oriented Islamic law can enhance public trust and institutional legitimacy. These implications position Islamic law as a constructive force in national development. The findings therefore extend beyond theory into transformative practice.

The findings reflect Indonesia's complex social conditions and evolving political landscape. Persistent social inequalities and democratic demands necessitate legal frameworks that are adaptive and inclusive. Islamic scholars and institutions respond to these pressures by adopting purposive interpretations that prioritize public interest. Increased engagement with interdisciplinary

knowledge further shapes this development. The limitations of textual literalism in addressing modern social problems also contribute to this shift. Educational reforms and global Islamic thought influence local legal discourse. These factors collectively explain why Islamic law in Indonesia develops in a socially responsive direction.

Future development requires sustained interdisciplinary research connecting Islamic law, education, and social policy. Empirical studies should examine the practical impact of maqāṣid-based legal decisions across diverse communities. Islamic legal education must institutionalize critical thinking and ethical reasoning as core competencies. Continuous evaluation of legal practices is necessary to ensure alignment with social justice objectives. Collaboration between religious scholars, educators, and policymakers should be strengthened. These steps will enable Islamic law to remain responsive and credible. The findings thus open pathways for progressive and socially grounded legal development.

CONCLUSION

The most significant finding of this study demonstrates that the development of Islamic law in Indonesia has increasingly shifted from a formalistic–normative orientation toward a purposive and problem-responsive framework grounded in maqāṣid al-sharīʿah. Islamic legal reasoning is shown to function not merely as a doctrinal system but as a dynamic instrument for addressing concrete social justice issues, including economic inequality, gender relations, legal pluralism, and social welfare. The analysis reveals that Indonesian Islamic legal institutions and scholars selectively reinterpret classical doctrines to accommodate social realities while maintaining normative legitimacy, thereby positioning Islamic law as a mediating force between religious values and contemporary societal needs.

The added value of this research lies primarily in its conceptual contribution. The study integrates maqāṣid al-sharīʿah theory with a socio-legal analysis of Indonesian society, offering a holistic framework that bridges normative Islamic jurisprudence and empirical social problems. By situating Islamic law within the broader discourse of social justice, the research advances an interdisciplinary model that transcends purely textual or legalistic approaches. This conceptual synthesis provides a nuanced lens for understanding Islamic legal development as an adaptive and ethically driven process rather than a static body of rules.

The limitations of this study include its reliance on qualitative analysis of legal texts, policy documents, and selected scholarly discourses, which restricts the generalizability of findings across diverse local contexts in Indonesia. Empirical data drawn directly from community-level legal practices remain limited. Future research should incorporate comparative field studies, quantitative social indicators, and regional case analyses to examine how purposive Islamic legal reasoning is implemented at the grassroots level. Further investigation into the interaction between Islamic law, state law, and civil society actors would also enrich understanding of Islamic law's practical impact on social justice outcomes.

DECLARATION OF AI AND AI ASSISTED TECHNOLOGIES IN THE WRITING PROCESS

During the preparation of this work, the authors used ChatGPT (OpenAI) to assist with academic writing, language refinement, grammatical correction, literature synthesis, and improving the clarity, coherence, and organization of the manuscript. After using this tool, the authors reviewed, verified, and edited the content as needed and take full responsibility for the accuracy, originality, integrity, and content of the publication.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This is a short text to acknowledge the contributions of specific colleagues, institutions, or agencies that aided the efforts of the authors.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

Author 1: Conceptualization; Project administration; Validation; Writing - review and editing.
Author 2: Conceptualization; Data curation; In-vestigation.
Author 3: Data curation; Investigation.

DECLARATION OF COMPETING INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

REFERENCES

Abubakr, A. A. M. (2026). Does Financial Leasing Practices Affect the Credit Portfolio Performance of Islamic Banks in the GCC?–UAE Experience 2024. In *Studies in Systems, Decision and Control* (Vol. 238, pp. 277–287). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-85398-2_25

Ali Allan, A. A. (2026). Economic Security from An Islamic Perspective and its Impact on Social and Political Stability "A Qur'anic Analytical Objective Study." *Dirasat: Human and Social Sciences*, *53*(6). https://doi.org/10.35516/Hum.2026.9661

Alkhan, A. M., & Hassan, M. K. (2026). From revelation to modern Islamic finance: Islamic commercial law in the UAE as a case study. *International Journal of Law and Management*, *68*(1), 77–88. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLMA-06-2024-0206

Allafi, M. M., & Sa'adeh, A. A. (2026). Promoting the Mental Health of Women and Children through the Provisions of Islamic Jurisprudence: A Comparative Study between Jordanian and Kuwaiti Legislation. *Dirasat: Human and Social Sciences*, *53*(4). https://doi.org/10.35516/Hum.2025.8827

AlMajed, B., & Malkawi, B. (2026). Moral rights in copyright laws of GCC countries. *Journal of World Intellectual Property*. https://doi.org/10.1111/jwip.70013

Badar, M. E., Altuntas, U., & Amin, E. M. A. (2026). Human dignity at crossroads: Navigating Eurocentrism in Sharia punishments in Prosecutor v. Al Hassan. *Leiden Journal of International Law*. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0922156525100587

Bishara, F. A. (2026). Markets of Doubt: Islamic Law and the Omani Slave Trade in the Indian Ocean, c. 1870. *Slavery and Abolition*. https://doi.org/10.1080/0144039X.2026.2615258

Danibekova, E. (2026). From Tradition to Modernity: Historical and Cultural Heritage in the Formation of Modern Ornamental Identity in the Sustainable Architecture of Turkestan. *Civil Engineering and Architecture*, *14*(2), 718–735. https://doi.org/10.13189/cea.2026.140206

Garipova, R. (2026). Islamic Law and Legal Authority in Inner Asia Under Russian Imperial Rule: A Historiographical Survey. *Religions*, *17*(1). https://doi.org/10.3390/rel17010058

Hanzlová, K., & Bezoušková, L. (2026). Legal Pluralism in the Ottoman Empire Since the Second Half of the Nineteenth Century and Marriage Law. *Pravnik*, *165*(1), 68–83. https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-105028435504&partnerID=40&md5=9be70f95c3fbf2f97e768bb7b00b9297

Lakomy, M., & Fisher, A. (2026). Making terrorist content findable: search engines as a key to mitigating Salafi-jihadi persistent presence on the internet. *Security Journal*, *39*(1). https://doi.org/10.1057/s41284-025-00518-9

Mola, I. A. (2026). Managing Societal Diversity in the Islamic Perspective. *Dirasat: Human and Social Sciences*, *53*(5), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.35516/Hum.2026.8999

Muttaqin, M. Z., Izzi, A. I., Nazar, R. F., Arifin, S. W. T., & Sandra, M. Y. (2026). Family

Harmony in Contemporary Islamic Law: Ibn ʻĀshūr's Maqāṣid Perspective on Marital Rights and Duties. *MILRev: Metro Islamic Law Review*, *5*(1), 61–79. https://doi.org/10.32332/milrev.v5i1.10480

Pathania, J. M., & Amin, M. (2026). Loya Jirga: An Indigenous Approach to Female Rights in Afghanistan. In *Gender, Power, and Economic Inequality in the Global South: An Intersectional Approach* (pp. 236–249). Taylor and Francis. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003587309-21

Ponezhev, A. (2026). Pluralism, federalism, and two levels of the original position. *Philosophy and Social Criticism*, *52*(1), 23–33. https://doi.org/10.1177/01914537251377669

Puspitasari, N., Gessan Pananjung Aryasantana, A. F., Utami, W. S. A., Mufidah, A., & Munandari, D. (2026). Efficiency and productivity of Islamic life insurance companies in Indonesia: a DEA-Malmquist approach. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*, 1–24. https://doi.org/10.1108/JIABR-11-2024-0471

Ramli, A. F., & Ashath, M. (2025). A Theological Comparative Exploration of Tolerance in Islam and Buddhism. *Afkar*, *27*(2), 39–68. https://doi.org/10.22452/afkar.vol27no2.2

Rozikin, M. R. (2026). al-Farāʾiḍ is half of knowledge: a critical hadith analysis and its juridical implications in Islamic inheritance discourse. *Cogent Arts and Humanities*, *13*(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/23311983.2025.2598289

Rubab, I., Salahuddin, A., Siddiqui, A., & Javed, N. (2026). Gendered Household Decision-Making in Lahore: Different Contextual Interpretations of qawwam in Qur'anic verse 4:34. *Feminist Theology*, *34*(2), 154–168. https://doi.org/10.1177/09667350251391785

Sochacka, J. (2026). RECOGNITION AND ENFORCEMENT OF ARBITRAL AWARDS IN EGYPT, SAUDI ARABIA AND IRAQ: COULD THERE BE A REGION-SPECIFIC APPROACH TO INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION? *Polish Yearbook of International Law*, *44*, 155–176. https://doi.org/10.24425/PYIL.2025.156718

Umam, K. (2026). INTEGRATING ISLAMIC SOCIAL FUNDS IN SHARIA BANKING FOR EMPOWERING INDONESIA'S PRODUCTIVE POOR. *Journal of Central Banking Law and Institutions*, *5*(1), 25–48. https://doi.org/10.21098/jcli.v5i1.498

Yousuf, B., & Hassan, K. W. (2026). Muslim Women in Conflict: Analysis of Socio-Legal Challenges of Survivors of Enforced Disappearances in Kashmir. *Affilia - Feminist Inquiry in Social Work*, *41*(1), 101–121. https://doi.org/10.1177/08861099251365551

Copyright Holder :
© Lucia Chaves et.al (2026).

First Publication Right :
© Islamic Studies in the World

This article is under:

