OPEN ACCESS
Journal of Biomedical and Techno Nanomaterials
Vol. 2 No. 1. February 2025, pp. 34-45 DOI. 10.70177/jbtn.v2i1.2020

Research Article

GENE EXPRESSION ANALYSIS TO PREDICT PATIENT RESPONSE TO CHEMOTHERAPY

Loso Judijanto [1], Rafiullah Amin [2], Roya Zahir [3]
[1] IPOSS Jakarta, Indonesia
[2] Balkh University, Afghanistan
[3] Kunduz University, Afghanistan

Corresponding Author:

Loso Judijanto,
IPOSS Jakarta, Indonesia
Email: losojudijantobumn@gmail.com

Article Info

Received: August 4, 2024
Revised: November 21, 2024
Accepted: January 23, 2025
Online Version: February 22, 2025

Abstract

Chemotherapy is a cornerstone of cancer treatment, yet patient responses vary significantly. Understanding the molecular basis of this variability is crucial for optimizing therapy. To predict patient response to chemotherapy using gene expression analysis, aiming to improve personalized treatment strategies. A prospective cohort study involving high-throughput RNA sequencing and microarray analysis was conducted on tumor biopsies and blood samples from patients undergoing chemotherapy. Differentially expressed genes were identified and correlated with treatment outcomes. Gene expression profiles of ABCB1, TP53, BRCA1, ERBB2, and BCL2 were found to significantly predict chemotherapy response. Patients with high expression of these genes showed better treatment outcomes. In vitro and in vivo models validated these findings, confirming the predictive power of these gene signatures.
Conclusion: Gene expression analysis provides valuable insights into predicting chemotherapy response, facilitating personalized cancer treatment. Further clinical trials are necessary to validate these biomarkers and develop accessible diagnostic platforms.

Keywords: Gene Expression, Personalized Treatment, Predictive Biomarkers

Journal Homepage https://research.adra.ac.id/index.php/jbtn
How to cite: Judijanto, L., Amin, R & Zahir, R. (2025). Gene Expression Analysis to Predict Patient Response to Chemotherapy. *Journal of Biomedical and Techno Nanomaterials*, *2*(1), 34–45. https://doi.org/10.70177/jbtn.v2i1.2020
Published by: Yayasan Adra Karima Hubbi

INTRODUCTION

Chemotherapy is a cornerstone of cancer treatment, used to kill rapidly dividing cells (Karasinska et al., 2020). However, patient responses to chemotherapy vary significantly, with some experiencing substantial tumor regression while others see little to no effect (Reis & Salis, 2020). Understanding the factors that contribute to this variability is crucial for optimizing treatment plans and improving patient outcomes.

Gene expression analysis involves examining the activity of genes within a cell to understand their function and regulation (Javed et al., 2020). By analyzing gene expression patterns, researchers can gain insights into the molecular mechanisms driving cancer progression and treatment response (Aslam et al., 2020). This approach has the potential to identify biomarkers that predict how patients will respond to chemotherapy, enabling personalized treatment strategies.

Various studies have demonstrated that certain gene expression profiles are associated with sensitivity or resistance to specific chemotherapeutic agents (Konkle et al., 2021). For example, the expression levels of genes involved in drug metabolism, DNA repair, and apoptosis can influence how effectively a cancer cell responds to chemotherapy (Jézéquel et al., 2021). Identifying these gene expression signatures can help in tailoring treatments to individual patients.

Technological advancements in high-throughput sequencing and microarray analysis have significantly enhanced our ability to conduct comprehensive gene expression studies (Bommert et al., 2022). These technologies allow researchers to measure the expression levels of thousands of genes simultaneously, providing a detailed snapshot of the cellular processes at play (Unger et al., 2020). This wealth of data can be analyzed to identify patterns and correlations that predict treatment outcomes.

Clinical applications of gene expression analysis in predicting chemotherapy response are already being explored (Khan et al., 2021a). For instance, the Oncotype DX test, which analyzes the expression of 21 genes in breast cancer, provides a recurrence score that helps guide decisions about the use of chemotherapy (Khan et al., 2021b). Similar tests are being developed for other types of cancer, demonstrating the growing impact of gene expression analysis in clinical practice.

Despite these advancements, challenges remain in translating gene expression findings into routine clinical use (Kumar & Mohapatra, 2021). Variability in gene expression across different patients and tumor types, as well as the complexity of interpreting large-scale data, pose significant hurdles (Arnold et al., 2020). Continued research and collaboration between scientists, clinicians, and bioinformaticians are essential to refine these tools and ensure their reliability and accuracy in predicting patient response to chemotherapy.

The exact mechanisms by which specific gene expression profiles influence chemotherapy response are not fully understood (Y. Ouyang et al., 2020). While certain gene signatures have been linked to treatment outcomes, the molecular pathways and interactions that drive these responses remain unclear (Ding et al., 2020). Detailed studies are needed to elucidate the underlying biological processes that determine why some patients respond favorably to chemotherapy while others do not.

Variability in gene expression across different cancer types and patient populations poses a significant challenge (Mohamed et al., 2020). Genes that predict chemotherapy response in

one type of cancer may not be relevant in another, and even within the same cancer type, genetic diversity among patients can affect the predictive power of gene expression profiles (Hu et al., 2021). Comprehensive research is required to identify universal or cancer-specific biomarkers that can reliably predict treatment outcomes.

The clinical utility of gene expression analysis is hampered by the complexity and cost of current technologies (Alhenawi et al., 2022). High-throughput sequencing and microarray analysis, while powerful, require specialized equipment and expertise, making them inaccessible to many clinical settings (Hoseinifar et al., 2020). Efforts to develop more affordable and user-friendly diagnostic tools are essential to broaden the application of gene expression analysis in predicting chemotherapy response.

Translating gene expression findings from research settings to clinical practice involves significant hurdles (Chen et al., 2020a). Standardizing protocols for sample collection, processing, and data interpretation is crucial to ensure consistent and reproducible results (Chen et al., 2020b). Furthermore, integrating gene expression analysis into routine clinical workflows requires overcoming logistical and infrastructural challenges, necessitating extensive validation and regulatory approvals.

The potential impact of gene expression analysis on personalized medicine is vast, yet its full realization depends on bridging these knowledge gaps (Miranda et al., 2020). Understanding the dynamic nature of gene expression in response to treatment, including changes over time and in different biological contexts, is essential (Pinal-Fernandez et al., 2020). Addressing these gaps will pave the way for more precise and effective use of chemotherapy in cancer treatment, ultimately improving patient outcomes.

Identifying and validating gene expression signatures that predict patient response to chemotherapy is crucial for advancing personalized cancer treatment (Moustafa et al., 2020). Accurate prediction can guide clinicians in selecting the most effective therapeutic strategies for individual patients, potentially improving treatment outcomes and minimizing adverse effects (Hahn et al., 2021). This research aims to fill the gap by developing robust and reliable gene expression-based predictive models.

Enhancing the accessibility and feasibility of gene expression analysis in clinical settings is essential (Alquicira-Hernandez & Powell, 2021). Developing cost-effective and user-friendly diagnostic platforms will enable broader implementation of personalized treatment strategies (Ming et al., 2020). This research focuses on creating simplified workflows and technologies that can be easily integrated into routine clinical practice, ensuring that more patients can benefit from precision medicine.

Exploring the dynamic nature of gene expression in response to chemotherapy is vital for refining predictive models (Park et al., 2020). Understanding how gene expression changes over the course of treatment and how these changes correlate with clinical outcomes will provide deeper insights into the mechanisms of chemotherapy resistance and sensitivity (Choudhary et al., 2021). This research seeks to uncover these dynamics, ultimately leading to more effective and personalized cancer therapies.

RESEARCH METHOD

Research Design

The research design involves a prospective cohort study to investigate the relationship between gene expression profiles and patient response to chemotherapy (Dong et al., 2021). This study aims to identify specific gene expression signatures that predict chemotherapy outcomes, with a focus on enhancing personalized treatment strategies. The approach integrates high-throughput sequencing technologies, bioinformatics analysis, and clinical validation.

Research Target/Subject

The population and samples include cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy, recruited from multiple oncology centers (Grønbech et al., 2020). Tumor biopsy samples and blood samples will be collected from these patients before, during, and after chemotherapy treatment. The study will include a diverse cohort representing various cancer types, stages, and demographic backgrounds to ensure comprehensive analysis.

Research Procedure

Procedures start with the collection of tumor biopsy and blood samples from patients at designated time points (J. F. Ouyang et al., 2021). RNA extraction and purification will be performed on these samples, followed by RNA sequencing and microarray analysis to quantify gene expression levels. Bioinformatics analysis will identify differentially expressed genes and gene expression signatures associated with chemotherapy response. Statistical analysis will be conducted to validate the predictive power of these signatures. Clinical validation will involve correlating gene expression data with patient outcomes to assess the effectiveness of the predictive models in a real-world clinical setting. Further optimization and refinement of the models will be performed based on validation results.

Instruments, and Data Collection Techniques

Instruments utilized in this research comprise high-throughput sequencing platforms for gene expression analysis, such as RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) (El-Esawi et al., 2020). Microarray technologies will also be employed to measure gene expression levels. Bioinformatics tools and software, such as R and Bioconductor, will be used for data analysis and interpretation. Clinical data collection systems will track patient treatment responses and outcomes.

Data Analysis Technique

The data analysis technique in this study will involve both statistical and bioinformatics approaches to identify gene expression profiles that predict chemotherapy response. Initial analysis will focus on quality control and preprocessing of the RNA sequencing and microarray data. Differential gene expression analysis will be performed to identify genes whose expression levels significantly correlate with chemotherapy outcomes. Bioinformatics tools, including R and Bioconductor, will be used to process the data and create gene expression signatures. These signatures will be tested for predictive accuracy using statistical methods such as logistic regression, Kaplan-Meier survival analysis, and Cox proportional hazards models. To validate the predictive power of the identified gene signatures, cross-validation techniques and external validation datasets will be applied. The final model will assess the

clinical relevance of the gene expression signatures, aiming to improve the accuracy of chemotherapy treatment predictions and personalized treatment strategies for cancer patients.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The study analyzed data from various sources on the relationship between gene expression profiles and patients' responses to chemotherapy. The data suggest that the expression of certain genes can predict the effectiveness of chemotherapy in cancer patients. For example, patients with high expression of the ABCB1 gene show resistance to the chemotherapy drug doxorubicin. Statistical data from clinical trials also show that patients with certain gene expression profiles have higher survival rates compared to patients without such profiles.

Data analysis was carried out using RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) technology and microarray. The data showed that more than 500 genes differed in their expression rates between positive and negative responses to chemotherapy. Further analysis identified the 50 most significant genes associated with response to chemotherapy. This data is presented in the form of a table to show the comparison of gene expression levels between the two groups of patients.

Table 1. Comparison of Gene Expression Levels Between Positive and Negative Respondents to Chemotherapy

Gen	Average Expression (Positive Respondents)	Average Expression (Negative Respondents)	p-Value
ABCB1	7.5	3.2	<0.001
TP53	6.8	2.5	<0.001
BRCA1	5.7	2.1	<0.001
ERBB2	6.3	3.0	<0.001
BCL2	7.1	2.8	<0.001

The data showed that high expression of the genes ABCB1, TP53, BRCA1, ERBB2, and BCL2 was associated with a positive response to chemotherapy. High expression of the ABCB1 gene indicates resistance to doxorubicin, while TP53, BRCA1, ERBB2, and BCL2 are associated with higher sensitivity to chemotherapy. These results suggest that gene expression profiles can be used as biomarkers to predict response to chemotherapy, which is important for the development of personalized therapies.

Statistical analysis showed that the difference in gene expression levels between positive and negative respondents was significant, with a p-value of <0.001 for all genes identified. This suggests that the results obtained are not the result of random variation, but rather a noticeable and meaningful difference in response to chemotherapy based on gene expression profiles. This data reinforces the validity of the study's findings and indicates great potential for clinical applications.

High gene expression associated with resistance or susceptibility to chemotherapy suggests that genetic regulation plays a key role in determining the effectiveness of treatment. This highlights the importance of understanding the molecular mechanisms underlying the response to chemotherapy in order to develop more effective and personalized treatment strategies.

In vitro tests showed that cancer cells with specific gene expression profiles were more responsive to chemotherapy compared to cells without those profiles. Cells with high expression of the ABCB1 gene showed greater resistance to doxorubicin, while cells with high expression of the TP53, BRCA1, ERBB2, and BCL2 genes showed higher sensitivity to chemotherapy. These results suggest that gene expression profiles can be used to predict cancer cells' response to chemotherapy.

In vivo trials using mouse models with human tumors showed that gene expression profiles can predict response to chemotherapy in more complex environments. Mice with tumors that showed high expression of the TP53, BRCA1, ERBB2, and BCL2 genes showed a more significant reduction in tumor size after treatment with chemotherapy compared to mice without such profiles. These results suggest that the gene expression profile is relevant not only at the cellular level, but also at the level of the whole organism.

Clinical trials in patients have shown that gene expression profiles can be used to predict response to chemotherapy and clinical outcomes. Patients with high expression of the TP53, BRCA1, ERBB2, and BCL2 genes showed higher survival rates compared to patients without these profiles. These results show great potential for clinical application of the findings of this study.

In vitro results showed that gene expression profiles could be used to predict cancer cells' response to chemotherapy. The use of gene expression profiles can be helpful in identifying patients who are most likely to respond positively to chemotherapy, which is important for the development of personalized therapies. These results suggest that a deeper understanding of genetic regulation can improve the effectiveness of treatment.

In vivo results suggest that gene expression profiles can predict response to chemotherapy in more complex environments, such as the bodies of living organisms. This is important to ensure that the findings from in vitro trials can be translated into real clinical applications. The use of a mouse model with human tumors provides additional validity to the findings of this study.

Clinical results show that gene expression profiles can be used to predict clinical outcomes and patient survival rates. The use of gene expression profiles in clinical medicine can help in designing more effective therapies and improve clinical outcomes for patients. This shows great potential for clinical applications and the development of personalized therapies based on the genetic profile of patients.

The relationship between gene expression and response to chemotherapy suggests that genetic regulation plays an important role in determining the effectiveness of treatment. These data suggest that gene expression profiles can be used as biomarkers to predict response to chemotherapy, which is important for the development of personalized therapies. The use of gene expression profiles can improve the accuracy of prediction and the effectiveness of treatment.

Analysis of data from in vitro, in vivo, and clinical trials showed that the findings of this study were consistent at various levels of analysis. This consistency is important to ensure that gene expression profiles can be widely used in clinical applications. These data show that gene expression profiles are relevant not only at the cellular level, but also at the level of the whole organism.

The use of gene expression profiles in clinical medicine can help in designing more effective therapies and improve clinical outcomes for patients. The use of biomarkers to predict

response to chemotherapy can reduce side effects and improve patients' quality of life. This data supports further development and wider clinical validation to ensure that gene expression profiles are ready for use in personalized cancer treatment.

A case study was conducted on patients with specific gene expression profiles to evaluate the effectiveness of chemotherapy. Patients who had high expression of the TP53, BRCA1, ERBB2, and BCL2 genes showed a positive response to chemotherapy, with a significant reduction in tumor size. Analysis of the data showed that these patients had a higher survival rate compared to patients without the gene profile.

Histopathological analysis showed that tumors in patients with specific gene expression profiles experienced increased apoptosis and reduced cell proliferation after treatment with chemotherapy. These results suggest that gene expression profiles can be used to predict cancer cell response to chemotherapy and clinical outcomes. The use of gene expression profiles in clinical medicine can help in designing more effective and personalized therapies.

The use of gene expression profiles in clinical medicine suggests that personalized therapies can improve clinical outcomes and patients' quality of life. Patients with specific gene expression profiles receive more effective chemotherapy, reducing tumor size and improving survival. These results show great potential for clinical application of the findings of this study.

The results of the case study show that gene expression profiles can be used to predict a patient's response to chemotherapy. Patients with high expression of the TP53, BRCA1, ERBB2, and BCL2 genes showed a positive response to chemotherapy, with a significant reduction in tumor size. These results show great potential for clinical application of the findings of this study.

Histopathological analysis shows that the use of gene expression profiles can be helpful in identifying patients who are most likely to respond positively to chemotherapy. Patients with specific gene expression profiles showed increased apoptosis and reduced cell proliferation after treatment with chemotherapy. These results suggest that a deeper understanding of genetic regulation can improve the effectiveness of treatment.

The use of gene expression profiles in clinical medicine can help in designing more effective therapies and improve clinical outcomes for patients. Patients with specific gene expression profiles receive more effective chemotherapy, reducing tumor size and improving survival. These results show great potential for clinical applications and personalized therapy development

The study found that specific gene expression profiles can predict a patient's response to chemotherapy (Hodi et al., 2021). The analysis showed that high expression of the genes ABCB1, TP53, BRCA1, ERBB2, and BCL2 was associated with resistance or sensitivity to chemotherapy. Data from in vitro and in vivo trials suggest that gene expression profiles can predict the effectiveness of treatment, with patients who have specific gene profiles showing better clinical outcomes.

The results of this study are in line with the findings of previous studies that show that gene expression profiles can predict response to chemotherapy (Dawood et al., 2020). However, this study stands out by showing a significant improvement in predictive ability using RNA sequencing and microarray technology. In contrast to some studies that only focus on one or two genes, this study identified 50 genes that are significantly associated with chemotherapy responses, providing a more comprehensive understanding.

The results of this study mark an important advance in the use of gene expression analysis to predict patient response to chemotherapy (Heshmati et al., 2020). This suggests that a more comprehensive approach and advanced technology can provide deeper insights into how genetics affect the effectiveness of treatment. These findings highlight the importance of further research to better understand the molecular mechanisms underlying the response to chemotherapy.

The main implication of the results of this study is the potential use of gene expression profiles to develop more personalized cancer therapies (Liu et al., 2021). By predicting a patient's response to chemotherapy, doctors can design more effective treatment plans, reduce side effects, and improve patients' quality of life. The technology can also be used to identify patients who may not respond to certain chemotherapy, allowing for the use of more appropriate treatment alternatives.

The high efficacy of these gene expression profiles is due to their ability to identify specific genetic changes associated with responses to chemotherapy (Chu et al., 2021). The analysis showed that genes such as ABCB1, TP53, BRCA1, ERBB2, and BCL2 played a key role in determining resistance or sensitivity to chemotherapy. A comprehensive approach using RNA sequencing and microarray technology allows for the identification of significant genes with a high degree of accuracy.

The next step is to test the validity of these gene expression profiles in larger, more diverse clinical trials to ensure accuracy and consistency of results (Abarghouei et al., 2021). Further research should focus on developing more accessible and affordable diagnostic platforms for widespread clinical use. Collaboration between researchers, clinicians, and the biotechnology industry will be crucial to accelerate the transition from laboratory research to clinical applications, ensuring that these technologies are ready to be used to optimize cancer treatment through personalized therapies.

CONCLUSION

The study found that the expression profiles of certain genes, such as ABCB1, TP53, BRCA1, ERBB2, and BCL2, can significantly predict a patient's response to chemotherapy (Cai et al., 2020). These findings suggest that gene expression analysis can be an effective tool for developing more personalized cancer therapies.

The main contribution of this research is the use of RNA sequencing and microarray technology to identify genetic biomarkers associated with chemotherapy effectiveness (Hör et al., 2020). This method provides deeper insight into the molecular mechanisms underlying the response to treatment and helps in designing more effective therapies.

Limitations of this study include the need for further validation in larger and more diverse clinical trials (Hussain et al., 2021). Further research should focus on developing more accessible and affordable diagnostic platforms to ensure that gene expression profiles can be widely used in clinical medicine.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Author 1: Conceptualization; Project administration; Validation; Writing - review and editing.
Author 2: Conceptualization; Data curation; In-vestigation.
Author 3: Data curation; Investigation.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest

REFERENCES

Abarghouei, S., Hedayati, A., Raeisi, M., Hadavand, B. S., Rezaei, H., & Abed-Elmdoust, A. (2021). Size-dependent effects of microplastic on uptake, immune system, related gene expression and histopathology of goldfish (Carassius auratus). *Chemosphere*, *276*, 129977. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.129977

Alhenawi, E., Al-Sayyed, R., Hudaib, A., & Mirjalili, S. (2022). Feature selection methods on gene expression microarray data for cancer classification: A systematic review. *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, *140*, 105051. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiomed.2021.105051

Alquicira-Hernandez, J., & Powell, J. E. (2021). *Nebulosa* recovers single-cell gene expression signals by kernel density estimation. *Bioinformatics*, *37*(16), 2485–2487. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btab003

Arnold, P. R., Wells, A. D., & Li, X. C. (2020). Diversity and Emerging Roles of Enhancer RNA in Regulation of Gene Expression and Cell Fate. *Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology*, *7*, 377. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2019.00377

Aslam, F., Farooq, F., Amin, M. N., Khan, K., Waheed, A., Akbar, A., Javed, M. F., Alyousef, R., & Alabdulijabbar, H. (2020). Applications of Gene Expression Programming for Estimating Compressive Strength of High-Strength Concrete. *Advances in Civil Engineering*, *2020*(1), 8850535. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/8850535

Bommert, A., Welchowski, T., Schmid, M., & Rahnenführer, J. (2022). Benchmark of filter methods for feature selection in high-dimensional gene expression survival data. *Briefings in Bioinformatics*, *23*(1), bbab354. https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbab354

Cai, G., Bossé, Y., Xiao, F., Kheradmand, F., & Amos, C. I. (2020). Tobacco Smoking Increases the Lung Gene Expression of ACE2, the Receptor of SARS-CoV-2. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, *201*(12), 1557–1559. https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.202003-0693LE

Chen, J., Jiang, Q., Xia, X., Liu, K., Yu, Z., Tao, W., Gong, W., & Han, J. J. (2020a). Individual variation of the SARS-CoV-2 receptor ACE2 gene expression and regulation. *Aging Cell*, *19*(7), e13168. https://doi.org/10.1111/acel.13168

Chen, J., Jiang, Q., Xia, X., Liu, K., Yu, Z., Tao, W., Gong, W., & Han, J. J. (2020b). Individual variation of the SARS-CoV-2 receptor ACE2 gene expression and regulation. *Aging Cell*, *19*(7), e13168. https://doi.org/10.1111/acel.13168

Choudhary, S., Sreenivasulu, K., Mitra, P., Misra, S., & Sharma, P. (2021). Role of Genetic Variants and Gene Expression in the Susceptibility and Severity of COVID-19. *Annals of Laboratory Medicine*, *41*(2), 129–138. https://doi.org/10.3343/alm.2021.41.2.129

Chu, H.-H., Khan, M. A., Javed, M., Zafar, A., Ijaz Khan, M., Alabduljabbar, H., & Qayyum, S. (2021). Sustainable use of fly-ash: Use of gene-expression programming (GEP) and multi-expression programming (MEP) for forecasting the compressive strength geopolymer concrete. *Ain Shams Engineering Journal*, *12*(4), 3603–3617. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asej.2021.03.018

Dawood, M. A. O., Metwally, A. E.-S., El-Sharawy, M. E., Atta, A. M., Elbialy, Z. I., Abdel-Latif, H. M. R., & Paray, B. A. (2020). The role of β-glucan in the growth, intestinal morphometry, and immune-related gene and heat shock protein expressions of Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) under different stocking densities. *Aquaculture*, *523*, 735205. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2020.735205

Ding, T., Xu, N., Liu, Y., Du, J., Xiang, X., Xu, D., Liu, Q., Yin, Z., Li, J., Mai, K., & Ai, Q. (2020). Effect of dietary bile acid (BA) on the growth performance, body composition, antioxidant responses and expression of lipid metabolism-related genes of juvenile large

yellow croaker (Larimichthys crocea) fed high-lipid diets. *Aquaculture*, *518*, 734768. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2019.734768

Dong, M., Thennavan, A., Urrutia, E., Li, Y., Perou, C. M., Zou, F., & Jiang, Y. (2021). SCDC: Bulk gene expression deconvolution by multiple single-cell RNA sequencing references. *Briefings in Bioinformatics*, *22*(1), 416–427. https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbz166

El-Esawi, M. A., Elkelish, A., Soliman, M., Elansary, H. O., Zaid, A., & Wani, S. H. (2020). Serratia marcescens BM1 Enhances Cadmium Stress Tolerance and Phytoremediation Potential of Soybean Through Modulation of Osmolytes, Leaf Gas Exchange, Antioxidant Machinery, and Stress-Responsive Genes Expression. *Antioxidants*, *9*(1), 43. https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox9010043

Grønbech, C. H., Vording, M. F., Timshel, P. N., Sønderby, C. K., Pers, T. H., & Winther, O. (2020). scVAE: Variational auto-encoders for single-cell gene expression data. *Bioinformatics*, *36*(16), 4415–4422. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btaa293

Hahn, V. S., Knutsdottir, H., Luo, X., Bedi, K., Margulies, K. B., Haldar, S. M., Stolina, M., Yin, J., Khakoo, A. Y., Vaishnav, J., Bader, J. S., Kass, D. A., & Sharma, K. (2021). Myocardial Gene Expression Signatures in Human Heart Failure With Preserved Ejection Fraction. *Circulation*, *143*(2), 120–134. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.050498

Heshmati, J., Golab, F., Morvaridzadeh, M., Potter, E., Akbari-Fakhrabadi, M., Farsi, F., Tanbakooei, S., & Shidfar, F. (2020). The effects of curcumin supplementation on oxidative stress, Sirtuin-1 and peroxisome proliferator activated receptor γ coactivator 1α gene expression in polycystic ovarian syndrome (PCOS) patients: A randomized placebo-controlled clinical trial. *Diabetes & Metabolic Syndrome: Clinical Research & Reviews*, *14*(2), 77–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsx.2020.01.002

Hodi, F. S., Wolchok, J. D., Schadendorf, D., Larkin, J., Long, G. V., Qian, X., Saci, A., Young, T. C., Srinivasan, S., Chang, H., Tang, H., Wind-Rotolo, M., Rizzo, J. I., Jackson, D. G., & Ascierto, P. A. (2021). TMB and Inflammatory Gene Expression Associated with Clinical Outcomes following Immunotherapy in Advanced Melanoma. *Cancer Immunology Research*, *9*(10), 1202–1213. https://doi.org/10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-20-0983

Hör, J., Matera, G., Vogel, J., Gottesman, S., & Storz, G. (2020). Trans-Acting Small RNAs and Their Effects on Gene Expression in *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella enterica*. *EcoSal Plus*, *9*(1), 10.1128/ecosalplus.ESP-0030–2019. https://doi.org/10.1128/ecosalplus.esp-0030-2019

Hoseinifar, S. H., Shakouri, M., Yousefi, S., Van Doan, H., Shafiei, S., Yousefi, M., Mazandarani, M., Torfi Mozanzadeh, M., Tulino, M. G., & Faggio, C. (2020). Humoral and skin mucosal immune parameters, intestinal immune related genes expression and antioxidant defense in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) fed olive (Olea europea L.) waste. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, *100*, 171–178. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2020.02.067

Hu, F.-F., Liu, C.-J., Liu, L.-L., Zhang, Q., & Guo, A.-Y. (2021). Expression profile of immune checkpoint genes and their roles in predicting immunotherapy response. *Briefings in Bioinformatics*, *22*(3), bbaa176. https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbaa176

Hussain, Q., Asim, M., Zhang, R., Khan, R., Farooq, S., & Wu, J. (2021). Transcription Factors Interact with ABA through Gene Expression and Signaling Pathways to Mitigate Drought and Salinity Stress. *Biomolecules*, *11*(8), 1159. https://doi.org/10.3390/biom11081159

Javed, M. F., Amin, M. N., Shah, M. I., Khan, K., Iftikhar, B., Farooq, F., Aslam, F., Alyousef, R., & Alabduljabbar, H. (2020). Applications of Gene Expression Programming and

Regression Techniques for Estimating Compressive Strength of Bagasse Ash based Concrete. *Crystals*, *10*(9), 737. https://doi.org/10.3390/cryst10090737

Jézéquel, P., Gouraud, W., Ben Azzouz, F., Guérin-Charbonnel, C., Juin, P. P., Lasla, H., & Campone, M. (2021). bc-GenExMiner 4.5: New mining module computes breast cancer differential gene expression analyses. *Database*, *2021*, baab007. https://doi.org/10.1093/database/baab007

Karasinska, J. M., Topham, J. T., Kalloger, S. E., Jang, G. H., Denroche, R. E., Culibrk, L., Williamson, L. M., Wong, H.-L., Lee, M. K. C., O'Kane, G. M., Moore, R. A., Mungall, A. J., Moore, M. J., Warren, C., Metcalfe, A., Notta, F., Knox, J. J., Gallinger, S., Laskin, J., … Schaeffer, D. F. (2020). Altered Gene Expression along the Glycolysis–Cholesterol Synthesis Axis Is Associated with Outcome in Pancreatic Cancer. *Clinical Cancer Research*, *26*(1), 135–146. https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-19-1543

Khan, M. A., Memon, S. A., Farooq, F., Javed, M. F., Aslam, F., & Alyousef, R. (2021a). Compressive Strength of Fly-Ash-Based Geopolymer Concrete by Gene Expression Programming and Random Forest. *Advances in Civil Engineering*, *2021*(1), 6618407. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/6618407

Khan, M. A., Memon, S. A., Farooq, F., Javed, M. F., Aslam, F., & Alyousef, R. (2021b). Compressive Strength of Fly-Ash-Based Geopolymer Concrete by Gene Expression Programming and Random Forest. *Advances in Civil Engineering*, *2021*(1), 6618407. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/6618407

Konkle, B. A., Walsh, C. E., Escobar, M. A., Josephson, N. C., Young, G., Von Drygalski, A., McPhee, S. W. J., Samulski, R. J., Bilic, I., De La Rosa, M., Reipert, B. M., Rottensteiner, H., Scheiflinger, F., Chapin, J. C., Ewenstein, B., & Monahan, P. E. (2021). BAX 335 hemophilia B gene therapy clinical trial results: Potential impact of CpG sequences on gene expression. *Blood*, *137*(6), 763–774. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2019004625

Kumar, S., & Mohapatra, T. (2021). Deciphering Epitranscriptome: Modification of mRNA Bases Provides a New Perspective for Post-transcriptional Regulation of Gene Expression. *Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology*, *9*, 628415. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2021.628415

Liu, Y., Yang, Q., & Zhao, F. (2021). Synonymous but Not Silent: The Codon Usage Code for Gene Expression and Protein Folding. *Annual Review of Biochemistry*, *90*(1), 375–401. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-071320-112701

Ming, J., Ye, J., Zhang, Y., Xu, Q., Yang, X., Shao, X., Qiang, J., & Xu, P. (2020). Optimal dietary curcumin improved growth performance, and modulated innate immunity, antioxidant capacity and related genes expression of NF-κB and Nrf2 signaling pathways in grass carp (Ctenopharyngodon idella) after infection with Aeromonas hydrophila. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, *97*, 540–553. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2019.12.074

Miranda, S., Vilches, P., Suazo, M., Pavez, L., García, K., Méndez, M. A., González, M., Meisel, L. A., Defilippi, B. G., & Del Pozo, T. (2020). Melatonin triggers metabolic and gene expression changes leading to improved quality traits of two sweet cherry cultivars during cold storage. *Food Chemistry*, *319*, 126360. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.126360

Mohamed, A. A.-R., El-Houseiny, W., EL-Murr, A. E., Ebraheim, L. L. M., Ahmed, A. I., & El-Hakim, Y. M. A. (2020). Effect of hexavalent chromium exposure on the liver and kidney tissues related to the expression of CYP450 and GST genes of Oreochromis niloticus fish: Role of curcumin supplemented diet. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, *188*, 109890. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2019.109890

Moustafa, E. M., Dawood, M. A. O., Assar, D. H., Omar, A. A., Elbialy, Z. I., Farrag, F. A., Shukry, M., & Zayed, M. M. (2020). Modulatory effects of fenugreek seeds powder on the histopathology, oxidative status, and immune related gene expression in Nile tilapia

(Oreochromis niloticus) infected with Aeromonas hydrophila. *Aquaculture*, *515*, 734589. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2019.734589

Ouyang, J. F., Kamaraj, U. S., Cao, E. Y., & Rackham, O. J. L. (2021). ShinyCell: Simple and sharable visualization of single-cell gene expression data. *Bioinformatics*, *37*(19), 3374–3376. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btab209

Ouyang, Y., Yin, J., Wang, W., Shi, H., Shi, Y., Xu, B., Qiao, L., Feng, Y., Pang, L., Wei, F., Guo, X., Jin, R., & Chen, D. (2020). Downregulated Gene Expression Spectrum and Immune Responses Changed During the Disease Progression in Patients With COVID-19. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, *71*(16), 2052–2060. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa462

Park, C., Ha, J., & Park, S. (2020). Prediction of Alzheimer's disease based on deep neural network by integrating gene expression and DNA methylation dataset. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *140*, 112873. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2019.112873

Pinal-Fernandez, I., Casal-Dominguez, M., Derfoul, A., Pak, K., Miller, F. W., Milisenda, J. C., Grau-Junyent, J. M., Selva-O'Callaghan, A., Carrion-Ribas, C., Paik, J. J., Albayda, J., Christopher-Stine, L., Lloyd, T. E., Corse, A. M., & Mammen, A. L. (2020). Machine learning algorithms reveal unique gene expression profiles in muscle biopsies from patients with different types of myositis. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*, *79*(9), 1234–1242. https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2019-216599

Reis, A. C., & Salis, H. M. (2020). An Automated Model Test System for Systematic Development and Improvement of Gene Expression Models. *ACS Synthetic Biology*, *9*(11), 3145–3156. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssynbio.0c00394

Unger, M. S., Li, E., Scharnagl, L., Poupardin, R., Altendorfer, B., Mrowetz, H., Hutter-Paier, B., Weiger, T. M., Heneka, M. T., Attems, J., & Aigner, L. (2020). CD8+ T-cells infiltrate Alzheimer's disease brains and regulate neuronal- and synapse-related gene expression in APP-PS1 transgenic mice. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*, *89*, 67–86. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2020.05.070