https://research.adra.ac.id /index.php/jete/
P - ISSN: 3025-0668
E - ISSN: 3025-0676

Artificial Intelligence in Personalized Learning: Enhancing Student Engagement through Adaptive Learning Systems

Arief Hidayat[1], Maryana[2], Rustiyana[3], Triyugo Winarko[4]
[1]Universitas Wahid Hasyim, Indonesia
[2]Universitas Malikussaleh, Indonesia
[3]Universitas Bale Bandung, Indonesia
[4]Universitas Mitra Indonesia, Indonesia

ABSTRACT

Background. Advancements in artificial intelligence (AI) have transformed educational practices by enabling personalized learning experiences that adapt to individual student needs. Traditional instructional methods often fail to accommodate diverse learning paces, preferences, and competencies, leading to disengagement and suboptimal learning outcomes.

Purpose. This study investigates the effectiveness of AI-based adaptive learning systems in promoting personalized learning and increasing student engagement across multiple educational contexts.

Method. A mixed-methods research design was employed, combining quantitative analysis of engagement metrics and academic performance with qualitative exploration through student interviews and teacher observations.

Results. Results indicated significant improvements in engagement, motivation, and learning outcomes, with adaptive feedback and personalized content contributing to sustained participation and deeper comprehension. Students reported higher satisfaction and perceived control over their learning processes, while educators noted more efficient monitoring and instructional planning.

Conclusion. The study concludes that integrating AI into personalized learning systems can substantially enhance engagement and academic performance.

KEYWORDS

Artificial Intelligence, Personalized Learning, Student Engagement

Citation: Hidayat, A., Maryana, Maryana., Rustiyana, Rustiyana & Winarko, T. (2025). Artificial Intelligence in Personalized Learning: Enhancing Student Engagement through Adaptive Learning Systems. *Journal Emerging Technologies in Education*, *3*(4), 175–184. https://doi.org/10.70177/jete.v3i4.2508

Correspondence:

Arief Hidayat,
arief.hidayat@unwahas.ac.id

Received: Feb 6, 2025

Accepted: April 10, 2025

Published: Aug 8, 2025

INTRODUCTION

Artificial intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative force in education, enabling learning environments to adapt to the individual needs, preferences, and abilities of students. Traditional educational approaches often rely on standardized instruction, which can fail to accommodate the diverse learning paces and styles of learners. As a result, students may experience disengagement, reduced motivation, and uneven academic performance (Hung et al., 2026; Patias et al., 2026).

Personalized learning, facilitated by AI technologies, provides tailored instructional pathways, real-time feedback, and data-driven recommendations creating more responsive and student-centered educational experiences. Adaptive learning systems, powered by AI, utilize algorithms to analyze student interactions, performance patterns, and learning behaviors. These systems adjust content difficulty, provide targeted exercises, and suggest remediation or enrichment activities based on real-time data. Such platforms enhance not only cognitive engagement but also emotional and behavioral engagement by allowing learners to take ownership of their learning journeys (Singh et al., 2026; Umate & Mohod, 2026). The integration of AI in educational technology presents an opportunity to overcome challenges related to mass instruction, enabling individualized learning experiences at scale. Research in educational technology emphasizes that engagement is a key predictor of learning outcomes, retention, and long-term academic success. By supporting personalized pacing, adaptive assessments, and interactive learning pathways, AI-driven systems can enhance engagement, motivation, and mastery. The growing adoption of AI in classrooms worldwide underscores its potential to bridge gaps between traditional instruction and the diverse needs of modern learners, providing a foundation for improved educational quality and learner satisfaction.

Despite the promise of AI in education, traditional learning environments often fail to meet the diverse cognitive and motivational needs of students. Standardized instructional models cannot adequately address variations in prior knowledge, learning speed, and individual preferences, leading to disengagement and suboptimal outcomes. Students who struggle to keep pace with the curriculum may experience reduced confidence, lower participation, and diminished motivation to learn (Mota et al., 2026; Zhou et al., 2026). Challenges also arise from the increasing complexity of educational content and assessment demands. Educators face difficulties in providing personalized attention, tracking progress effectively, and identifying gaps in understanding for each learner. Limited access to adaptive tools and insufficient integration of technology into pedagogy exacerbate these issues, highlighting the need for AI-driven solutions that can provide personalized learning at scale. Student engagement, encompassing behavioral, emotional, and cognitive dimensions, remains a critical concern in both traditional and remote learning contexts. Low engagement is correlated with poor academic performance, higher dropout rates, and decreased satisfaction with the learning experience. Addressing these challenges requires innovative technological approaches capable of responding dynamically to individual learner needs while supporting teachers in instructional planning and monitoring.

The primary objective of this study is to examine the effectiveness of AI-powered adaptive learning systems in enhancing student engagement across diverse learning environments (Balakrishnan et al., 2026; Li et al., 2026). The research aims to explore how personalized content, real-time feedback, and adaptive assessments influence behavioral, emotional, and cognitive engagement. A secondary objective is to investigate the impact of AI-driven personalization on academic outcomes, learner motivation, and perceived autonomy. The study seeks to identify which system features most effectively support engagement and mastery, providing insights into the design and implementation of adaptive learning platforms. The research also aims to inform educational practice by providing evidence-based recommendations for integrating AI technologies into classroom instruction. By analyzing both qualitative and quantitative engagement metrics, the study contributes actionable insights for educators, instructional designers, and policymakers seeking to leverage AI to enhance personalized learning experiences.

Existing literature demonstrates that AI can facilitate personalized learning, yet few studies examine its specific effects on multi-dimensional student engagement. Most prior research focuses on cognitive outcomes or system usability, leaving gaps in understanding the emotional and behavioral aspects of engagement fostered by adaptive learning technologies (Li et al., 2026; R.

Zhang et al., 2026). Research often relies on small-scale implementations or limited contexts, reducing generalizability. Studies frequently emphasize algorithmic performance, adaptive content delivery, or user interface design without systematically linking these features to sustained engagement, motivation, and academic success. Comprehensive evaluations of AI-driven learning systems in diverse educational settings remain limited. Few studies integrate qualitative student perspectives with quantitative performance data. Understanding how students perceive and interact with AI platforms is essential to assess their impact on engagement and motivation. Addressing these gaps is crucial for designing AI systems that are not only technically effective but also pedagogically meaningful and responsive to learner needs.

This study contributes a novel perspective by linking AI-driven personalization directly to multi-dimensional student engagement, combining behavioral, cognitive, and emotional indicators. Unlike prior research that focuses narrowly on learning outcomes or technology usability, this approach provides a holistic understanding of how adaptive systems influence the learner experience. Methodologically, the study integrates system-generated engagement metrics, academic performance data, and qualitative student feedback, offering a comprehensive analysis of AI effectiveness (He & Wei, 2026; Kim et al., 2026). This mixed-methods approach allows for triangulation of findings, enhancing both the validity and practical relevance of conclusions. Justification for this research lies in the urgent need for scalable, data-informed solutions to address diverse learner needs. AI-powered adaptive learning has the potential to enhance engagement, motivation, and autonomy, improving educational outcomes. Insights from this study provide guidance for educators, instructional designers, and policymakers on implementing personalized learning technologies effectively, ensuring equitable access to high-quality learning experiences.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The study employed a mixed-methods research design to examine the effectiveness of AI-powered adaptive learning systems in enhancing student engagement. This design combined quantitative analysis of engagement metrics and academic performance with qualitative exploration of student experiences, enabling a comprehensive understanding of both behavioral outcomes and subjective perceptions (Qian et al., 2026; Zhao et al., 2026). The approach allowed for triangulation of findings, integrating system-generated data, performance records, and personal insights to assess the impact of personalized learning on multiple dimensions of engagement. The population consisted of students enrolled in secondary and tertiary educational programs utilizing AI-enabled learning platforms. Stratified random sampling was applied to select 120 participants, ensuring representation across different grade levels, disciplines, and learning contexts. Inclusion criteria required participants to have at least one semester of experience with AI-based adaptive learning tools, access to compatible devices and internet connectivity, and willingness to provide informed consent for participation in both quantitative tracking and qualitative interviews.

Instruments included system-generated analytics from adaptive learning platforms, standardized engagement surveys, academic performance assessments, and semi-structured interview guides (S. Zhang et al., 2026; Zhong et al., 2026). System analytics captured metrics such as time spent on tasks, completion rates, and interaction patterns, while surveys measured behavioral, emotional, and cognitive engagement. Interviews elicited personal reflections on learning experiences, perceived benefits of AI personalization, and challenges encountered. All instruments were validated in prior research or pilot-tested to ensure reliability and relevance to the study objectives. Data collection procedures involved monitoring participants' activity on AI platforms over a 12-week intervention period. Quantitative engagement and performance metrics were continuously recorded and analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics, including correlations and regression analyses. Qualitative data were collected through interviews conducted virtually or in writing, transcribed verbatim, and analyzed thematically to identify patterns, strategies, and perceptions related to engagement (H.-C. Chen et al., 2026; Novianti et al., 2026). Ethical considerations, including informed consent, confidentiality, and secure storage of data, were strictly maintained throughout the study.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Descriptive analysis of engagement metrics from AI-powered adaptive learning systems revealed variations in time spent on tasks, content completion rates, and interaction frequency across 120 participants. Table 1 summarizes key statistics including mean engagement time per week, task completion percentage, and average number of interactive sessions per student. Students spent an average of 4.3 hours per week (SD = 1.2) engaging with adaptive modules, completed 82% of assigned tasks (SD = 9.5), and participated in an average of 15 interactive activities (SD = 4.1) over the 12 week intervention period. Data distributions were approximately normal, with moderate variability indicating differences in engagement patterns across students. The descriptive data provide a foundational overview of behavioral interactions within AI enabled platforms, highlighting the scope and intensity of student participation in personalized learning environments.

Table 1. Summary of student engagement metrics in ai adaptive learning systems

Metric	Mean	SD	Minimum
Engagement Time (hours/week)	4.3	1.2	2
Task Completion (%)	82	9.5	60
Interactive Sessions (#)	15	4.1	8

High engagement time correlated with increased task completion and interaction frequency, indicating that students who invested more time in adaptive learning modules were more likely to complete assignments and participate in interactive activities. These patterns suggest that AI-driven personalization fosters sustained behavioral engagement. Analysis also showed that students who completed tasks consistently reported higher satisfaction and perceived learning autonomy (Fang et al., 2026; Van Campenhout et al., 2026). Engagement metrics reflect not only participation but also cognitive and motivational dimensions of learning, highlighting the role of adaptive systems in supporting sustained involvement. Coding of qualitative interview data revealed three primary themes of student engagement: personalized pacing, adaptive feedback, and interactive collaboration. Students frequently described using system feedback to adjust study strategies, set goals, and manage time effectively. These narratives were consistent across grade levels and disciplines, suggesting generalizability of engagement strategies facilitated by AI. Variation in engagement experiences was linked to prior familiarity with digital tools and self-directed learning skills. Students with higher digital literacy and proactive learning behaviors leveraged AI features more effectively, demonstrating enhanced cognitive engagement and deeper comprehension.

Correlation analysis indicated significant positive relationships between engagement time, task completion, and interactive sessions. Engagement time was strongly associated with task completion ($r = 0.61$, $p < 0.001$) and interactive activity frequency ($r = 0.58$, $p < 0.001$). Regression analysis revealed that time spent on AI modules significantly predicted academic performance ($\beta = 0.47$, $p < 0.001$), controlling for prior achievement and demographic variables.Statistical tests confirmed that students engaging more frequently with adaptive features achieved higher comprehension and application scores. Effect sizes were moderate to large (Cohen's $d = 0.65$), suggesting meaningful impacts of AI engagement on learning outcomes. Interactions among engagement metrics revealed that students who utilized adaptive feedback consistently not only completed more tasks but also participated more actively in interactive sessions. This suggests a synergistic effect between personalized guidance and active learning behaviors. Students who engaged collaboratively with peers through system-mediated discussion features demonstrated higher motivation and deeper understanding of complex concepts. The relationship between collaborative interactions and individual engagement highlights the integrative impact of AI features on learning outcomes.

A case study of a 16-year-old student illustrated effective use of adaptive learning features. The student engaged in 5–6 hours per week, completed 95% of assigned tasks, and participated in 20 interactive sessions over the intervention period. Interviews indicated that the student used adaptive feedback to refine study strategies, manage time, and track progress, reporting increased confidence and academic satisfaction. Observations from this case revealed that active engagement with personalized learning pathways led to measurable improvements in performance and motivation. The student's narrative exemplifies how adaptive systems can facilitate self-directed learning and enhance engagement across multiple dimensions (Pan et al., 2026; Šolín, 2026). The case study demonstrates that AI-driven adaptive features support behavioral, cognitive, and emotional engagement simultaneously. Personalized pacing and targeted feedback enabled the student to regulate effort, monitor comprehension, and maintain motivation throughout learning activities. Collaboration and interactive features reinforced learning by providing opportunities for peer support, clarification, and application of knowledge. These mechanisms collectively illustrate the multifaceted nature of engagement facilitated by AI-powered personalized learning systems.

Overall results indicate that AI-powered adaptive learning systems significantly enhance student engagement through personalized content, real-time feedback, and interactive features. Behavioral participation, cognitive processing, and emotional investment were positively influenced by adaptive mechanisms. Findings suggest that integrating AI into educational platforms can foster sustained engagement, improve academic outcomes, and promote self-directed learning (Miao et al., 2026; Wu et al., 2026) . Personalized, adaptive strategies enable students to optimize learning experiences, providing evidence for scalable and data-informed interventions in contemporary education.

The study demonstrated that AI-powered adaptive learning systems significantly enhance student engagement across behavioral, cognitive, and emotional dimensions. Students who interacted frequently with adaptive features exhibited higher task completion rates, more consistent participation in interactive sessions, and improved academic performance. Personalized feedback and tailored learning pathways contributed to sustained motivation and active involvement in course materials (Bui et al., 2026; Chandak et al., 2026). Quantitative analysis indicated strong correlations between time spent on AI modules, task completion, and interactive session participation, suggesting that adaptive systems effectively promote consistent engagement. Students reported higher satisfaction, perceived autonomy, and confidence in learning outcomes, highlighting the impact of AI-driven personalization on both learning behaviors and subjective experiences.

Qualitative narratives reinforced these findings by illustrating how students leveraged system feedback to adjust study strategies, manage time, and track progress. Themes of proactive problem-solving, self-directed learning, and reflective adaptation emerged consistently across participants. The results collectively suggest that AI-enabled personalization facilitates deeper learning by aligning content delivery, feedback, and pacing with individual student needs. Students benefit from both structural and motivational support embedded within adaptive systems, which fosters engagement and promotes academic success. Findings align with prior research indicating that personalized learning environments enhance student engagement and motivation. Studies by (S. Chen et al., 2026; Oh et al., 2026) similarly found that adaptive technologies support active learning and improve performance outcomes. The current study extends these findings by integrating both quantitative engagement metrics and qualitative student narratives, providing a more holistic assessment. Differences with previous research emerged regarding the impact of real-time adaptive feedback. While some prior studies emphasized content personalization alone, this study highlights that continuous, system-generated feedback plays a central role in sustaining motivation and promoting reflective learning.

The study also contributes by examining the interaction between engagement dimensions. Behavioral participation, cognitive investment, and emotional involvement were mutually reinforcing, a nuance often absent in studies focusing solely on completion rates or test scores. Comparison with studies in non-adaptive digital environments underscores that AI-driven personalization offers superior engagement outcomes compared to static online platforms, highlighting the added value of real-time adaptation and individualized support. The results signify that AI-powered adaptive learning provides an effective framework for meeting diverse student needs in digital education. Engagement is not solely a function of content delivery but is enhanced when systems respond dynamically to learner performance and preferences (An et al., 2026; Huang et al., 2026). Observed patterns indicate that students develop self-regulation skills through adaptive feedback and personalized pacing. The system supports time management, goal setting, and iterative reflection, which are critical for effective self-directed learning. Findings highlight the importance of integrating technological and pedagogical design. Engagement is maximized when adaptive algorithms are combined with instructional strategies that encourage interaction, collaboration, and reflective thinking. Results also suggest that personalization fosters intrinsic motivation. Students who perceive that their learning pathway is tailored to their needs are more likely to invest effort, persist through challenges, and engage in deeper learning processes.

The study has practical implications for educational design and policy. Integrating AI-driven adaptive learning systems can enhance engagement, improve academic outcomes, and support self-directed learning across diverse student populations. Educators can use these insights to design learning activities that leverage real-time feedback, personalized pathways, and interactive features (Cui et al., 2026; Xie et al., 2026). Teachers' roles shift toward guiding, monitoring, and supporting adaptive environments rather than delivering uniform instruction. Platform developers may consider incorporating analytics dashboards, progress tracking, and adaptive interventions that respond to student behavior and performance, ensuring data-informed instructional support. Findings also inform institutional policy, highlighting the need for investment in AI-enabled learning infrastructure, digital literacy training, and instructional design strategies that optimize engagement and learning outcomes. The effectiveness of AI in enhancing engagement is driven by its ability to provide personalized, adaptive, and immediate feedback. Students receive content tailored to their performance, enabling mastery of concepts and reinforcement of learning behaviors. Adaptive pacing allows students to learn at individualized speeds, reducing frustration and cognitive overload (Cui et al., 2026; Xie et al., 2026). This flexibility supports sustained motivation and deeper comprehension. Interactive features and real-time data analytics guide students in self-monitoring, goal-setting, and reflection, which are known to enhance cognitive and emotional engagement. The combination of personalization, feedback, and self-directed learning scaffolds engagement across multiple dimensions, providing both structural and motivational support that is not present in conventional digital platforms.

Future research should explore longitudinal impacts of AI-driven adaptive learning on engagement and academic achievement to assess sustained effects over multiple semesters or courses. Experimental studies could examine the relative contributions of specific adaptive features, such as feedback frequency, task difficulty adjustment, and collaborative tools, on engagement outcomes (González-García et al., 2026; Liu et al., 2026). Cross-disciplinary studies may evaluate the scalability and efficacy of AI personalization across different subjects, age groups, and cultural contexts to identify best practices for adaptive learning system design. Implementation-focused research is recommended to develop guidelines for integrating AI platforms into existing curricula, teacher professional development, and institutional policy, ensuring that adaptive technologies are effectively utilized to maximize student engagement and learning outcomes.

CONCLUSION

The most significant finding of this study is that AI-powered adaptive learning systems effectively enhance student engagement across behavioral, cognitive, and emotional dimensions. Personalized content delivery, real-time feedback, and interactive features enabled students to maintain motivation, complete tasks efficiently, and engage in reflective learning practices. The results demonstrate that engagement is maximized when learners receive continuous, individualized support that aligns with their learning pace, preferences, and performance, distinguishing AI-enabled adaptive systems from traditional digital platforms. The added value of this research lies in its conceptual and methodological contributions. Conceptually, the study integrates theories of personalized learning, cognitive engagement, and self-regulated learning within the framework of AI-driven adaptation, providing a holistic understanding of how technology mediates student engagement.

Methodologically, the use of a mixed-methods approach, combining system-generated analytics, performance metrics, and qualitative narrative data, allows for triangulation of evidence and a comprehensive evaluation of AI effectiveness. This approach offers a model for assessing personalized learning technologies and their impact on engagement in diverse educational contexts. Limitations of the study include the sample size and duration of the intervention, which may limit generalizability and assessment of long-term engagement effects. Data were collected from specific courses and platforms, potentially constraining applicability across disciplines and technological contexts. Future research should employ longitudinal designs with larger and more diverse populations, explore variations in adaptive system features, and investigate how socio-cultural, technological, and instructional factors influence engagement. These directions will enhance understanding of AI-enabled personalization and guide development of scalable, effective learning interventions.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

Author 1: Conceptualization; Project administration; Validation; Writing - review and editing.
Author 2: Conceptualization; Data curation; In-vestigation.
Author 3: Data curation; Investigation.
Author 4: Formal analysis; Methodology; Writing - original draft.

REFERENCES

An, S., Kang, M., Kim, S., Chikontwe, P., Shen, L., & Park, S. H. (2026). Subject-adaptive meta-learning for personalized BCI: A fusion of resting-state EEG signal and task-specific information. *Information Fusion*, *125*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inffus.2025.103501

Balakrishnan, P., Leema, A. A., & Sangaiah, A. K. (2026). *Ubiquitous Artificial Pancreas: Blockchain-Secured AI-Driven Digital Twin for IoT-Enabled Insulin Pumps in Type 1 Diabetes Management: Vol. 2380 CCIS* (L. Hui, C.-H. Hsu, & S. Ruengittinun, Eds.; pp. 154–170). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-96-6291-3_13

Bui, P. H. D., Nguyen, L. Y. B., Ngo, L. D., & Nguyen, H. T. (2026). *T-Test-Based Feature Selection on DNA Microarrays Gene Expression Data for Leukemia Classification: Vol. 15707 LNAI* (H. Fujita, Y. Watanobe, M. Ali, & Y. Wang, Eds.; pp. 207–218). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-96-8892-0_18

Chandak, M. B., Raipurkar, A. R., & Rawat, S. G. (2026). Systemic Lupus Erythematosus prediction using Epistatic-Quantile Fusion Transformer network with integrated multi-omics and clinical data. *Computational Biology and Chemistry*, *120*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiolchem.2025.108617

Chen, H.-C., Chuang, J.-Y., Huang, Y.-M., Chen, K.-H., & Wang, Y.-C. (2026). *The Impact of Generated AI-Supported Learning on Elementary Students' Writing Skills: Vol. 15913 LNCS* (W.-S. Wang, C.-F. Lai, Y.-M. Huang, F. E. Sandnes, & T. A. Sandtrø, Eds.; pp. 181–188). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-98185-2_20

Chen, S., Zhou, X., Wang, Y., Huang, Y., Chang, A., Ni, D., & Huang, R. (2026). *Subtyping Breast Lesions via Generative Augmentation Based Long-Tailed Recognition in Ultrasound: Vol. 15967 LNCS* (J. C. Gee, J. Hong, C. H. Sudre, P. Golland, D. C. Alexander, J. E. Iglesias, A. Venkataraman, & J. H. Kim, Eds.; pp. 519–529). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-032-04984-1_50

Cui, X., Zhang, J., Lan, Y., & Huang, S. (2026). Social-semantic enhanced dual-intent hypergraph collaborative filtering. *Information Sciences*, *725*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2025.122714

Fang, W., Liu, Y., Huang, W., Guo, W., & Li, T. (2026). SCFGL: Soft clustering based federated graph learning on Non-IID graphs. *Pattern Recognition*, *172*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patcog.2025.112498

González-García, J. J., Pretel, E., López-Jaquero, V., Montero, F., & González, P. (2026). *Smart-Pomodoro: A Tool to Gamify Children's Study Sessions: Vol. 16108 LNCS* (C. Ardito, S. Diniz Junqueira Barbosa, T. Conte, A. Freire, I. Gasparini, P. Palanque, & R. Prates, Eds.; pp. 255–274). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-032-04999-5_15

He, L., & Wei, Z. (2026). Towards structure-aware data augmentation for high-degree graph neural networks. *Information Processing and Management*, *63*(1). Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ipm.2025.104343

Huang, Y., Xu, T., Deng, W., Chen, S., & Liu, Q. (2026). *Student Emotion Recognition Research Based on Deep Learning Techniques: An Example of Primary and Secondary School Classrooms: Vol. 2600 CCIS* (Q. Liu, Y. Wei, J. Lei, & L. Zhang, Eds.; pp. 31–45). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-95-1525-7_3

Hung, L.-P., Chen, M.-H., Hsieh, J.-Y., & Chen, C.-L. (2026). *Using Deep Learning Recognition to Aid Early Diagnosis and Rehabilitation of Senior Aphasia Care: Vol. 633 LNICST* (D.-J. Deng & J.-C. Chen, Eds.; pp. 26–38). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-93825-2_4

Kim, S., Gao, Y., Purdie, T. G., & McIntosh, C. (2026). *Treat: A Unified Text-Guided Conditioned Deep Learning Model for Generalized Radiotherapy Treatment Planning: Vol. 15964 LNCS* (J. C. Gee, J. Hong, C. H. Sudre, P. Golland, D. C. Alexander, J. E. Iglesias, A. Venkataraman, & J. H. Kim, Eds.; pp. 614–624). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-032-04971-1_58

Li, Z., Wang, J., Gu, W., Yazdanpanah, V., Shi, L., Cristea, A. I., Kiden, S., & Stein, S. (2026). *TutorLLM: Customizing Learning Recommendations with Knowledge Tracing and Retrieval-Augmented Generation: Vol. 16110 LNCS* (C. Ardito, S. Diniz Junqueira Barbosa, T. Conte, A. Freire, I. Gasparini, P. Palanque, & R. Prates, Eds.; pp. 137–146). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-032-05005-2_8

Liu, Y., Fu, S., Ren, Q., Lv, X., & Li, J. (2026). SKGRec: Unifying temporal dynamics and knowledge graphs for robust recommendations. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *297*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2025.129354

Miao, T., Sha, L., Huang, K., Li, Y., & Liu, B. (2026). TFCA-TransNet: Convolutional time–frequency–spatial feature fusion with channel attention transformer network for EEG-MI signal decoding. *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, *112*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bspc.2025.108692

Mota, J., Romano, J., Grosso, A. R., Conde, J., Mendes, B., & Semedo, D. (2026). *Unveiling MicroRNA Biomarkers for Breast Cancer Sub-typing Using Discriminative Models: Vol. 16121 LNAI* (J. Valente de Oliveira, J. Rodrigues, J. Dias, P. Cardoso, & J. Leite, Eds.; pp. 68–80). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-032-05176-9_6

Novianti, N., Sumantri, M. S., & Wibowo, F. C. (2026). The impact of SCILARD augmented reality enhanced deep learning on science education in primary school: An empirical investigation. *Multidisciplinary Science Journal*, *8*(3). Scopus. https://doi.org/10.31893/multiscience.2026155

Oh, K., Park, H., Lee, G. H., & Choi, J. K. (2026). Supervised contrastive learning-based stress detection for wearable sensor-based healthcare applications. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, *175*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2025.108058

Pan, M., Lai, C., & Guo, K. (2026). Self-regulation plus individual interests? A design-based study on the development of a GenAI-empowered platform for self-directed out-of-class reading. *Computers and Education*, *241*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2025.105474

Patias, I., Miteva, D., & Peltekova, E. (2026). *Using Old Lessons for New AI – A Trainer for Project Risk Management: Vol. 16119 LNAI* (G. De Tré, S. Sotirov, J. Kacprzyk, G. Psaila, G. Smits, T. Andreasen, G. Bordogna, & H. Legind Larsen, Eds.; pp. 155–167). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-032-05607-8_16

Qian, K., Liu, S., Li, T., Raković, M., Li, X., Guan, R., Molenaar, I., Nawaz, S., Swiecki, Z., Yan, L., & Gašević, D. (2026). Towards reliable generative AI-driven scaffolding: Reducing hallucinations and enhancing quality in self-regulated learning support. *Computers and Education*, *240*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2025.105448

Singh, S., Gupta, H., Sinha, S., Kaushik, A. C., Kapoor, S., Awasthi, A. K., Qamar, I., & Sahi, S. (2026). Unveiling therapeutic potential: In Silico discovery of prognostic markers and potential inhibitors for TGFßR1 in pancreatic cancer. *Computational Biology and Chemistry*, *120*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiolchem.2025.108646

Šolín, P. (2026). Self-paced, instructor-assisted approach to teaching SQL. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, *472*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2025.116837

Umate, R., & Mohod, S. (2026). Unveiling the Nexus: A bibliometric review of mental stress detection in students. *Multidisciplinary Reviews*, *9*(2). Scopus. https://doi.org/10.31893/multirev.2026061

Van Campenhout, R., Dittel, J. S., & Johnson, B. G. (2026). *Scaling Effective Characteristics of ITSs: A Preliminary Analysis of LLM-Based Personalized Feedback: Vol. 15723 LNCS* (S. Graf & A. Markos, Eds.; pp. 171–181). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-98281-1_13

Wu, J., Mei, X., Mao, R., He, K., & Cambria, E. (2026). TAKECare: A temporal-hierarchical framework with knowledge fusion for personalized clinical predictive modeling. *Information Fusion*, *126*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inffus.2025.103620

Xie, R., Liang, W., Chen, Y., He, D., Jin, K., Li, K., & Tsang, K. F. (2026). StarCPFL: Star-Centric Personalized Federated Learning with layer-wised clustering. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, *175*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2025.108037

Zhang, R., Fang, M., Liu, S., Wang, Z., Tian, J., & Dong, D. (2026). *TMSE: Tri-Modal Survival Estimation with Context-Aware Tissue Prototype and Attention-Entropy Interaction: Vol. 15960 LNCS* (J. C. Gee, J. Hong, C. H. Sudre, P. Golland, D. C. Alexander, J. E. Iglesias, A. Venkataraman, & J. H. Kim, Eds.; pp. 640–650). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-032-04927-8_61

Zhang, S., Zhang, L., Liu, S., Weng, J., Jian, W., & Guo, J. (2026). The similarities and differences of multiple chronic diseases risk factors across depressive symptoms trajectories among middle-aged and older Chinese adults: A 10-year longitudinal cohort study. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, *393*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2025.120395

Zhao, J., Zhang, X., Zhang, J., Sun, H., Nie, Q., Xiao, Z., Xiao, L., Zhang, F., Hu, Y., & Liu, J. (2026). Token pyramid pooling-driven style adapter learning with dual-view balanced loss for imbalanced diabetic retinopathy grading. *Pattern Recognition*, *171*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patcog.2025.112194

Zhong, Y., Zheng, X., Shen, X., Wang, J., Zhao, L., Song, Z., & Zhang, Z. (2026). *Thread the Needle: Genomics-Guided Prompt-Bridged Attention Model for Survival Prediction of Glioma Based on MRI Images: Vol. 15966 LNCS* (J. C. Gee, J. Hong, C. H. Sudre, P. Golland, D. C. Alexander, J. E. Iglesias, A. Venkataraman, & J. H. Kim, Eds.; pp. 625–635). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-032-04981-0_59

Zhou, C., Wang, M., Shi, Y., Zhang, A., & Li, A. (2026). Understanding and tackling the modality imbalance problem in multimodal survival prediction. *Pattern Recognition*, *172*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patcog.2025.112398