https://research.adra.ac.id /index.php/jete/
P - ISSN: 3025-0668
E - ISSN: 3025-0676

Cyberbullying and Digital Citizenship: The Effectiveness of Tech-Integrated Prevention Programs

Muhamad Habib [1], Rami Hariri[2], Yara Abed[3]
[1] Universitas Duta Bangsa Surakarta, Indonesia
[2]American University of Beirut, Lebanon
[3]Lebanese American University, Lebanon

ABSTRACT

Background. Cyberbullying has become a persistent issue in digitally mediated educational environments, posing serious risks to students' psychological well-being, academic engagement, and social interaction. As digital technologies are increasingly embedded in learning processes, conventional punitive approaches to cyberbullying prevention are insufficient. This condition underscores the need for preventive strategies that emphasize ethical participation, responsible online behavior, and the cultivation of digital citizenship through pedagogically grounded technology integration.
Purpose. This study aimed to examine the effectiveness of technology-integrated prevention programs in reducing cyberbullying behaviors while simultaneously enhancing students' digital citizenship competen cies, particularly in terms of ethical awareness, responsible online conduct, and proactive engagement in online communities.
Method. A mixed-methods research design was employed, combining a quasi-experimental approach with qualitative inquiry. Quantitative data were collected through pre- and post-intervention surveys measuring levels of cyberbullying perpetration, victimization, and digital citizenship awareness. Qualitative data were obtained through semi-structured interviews, focus group discussions, and reflective digital learning activities to capture students' perceptions, attitudes, and behavioral changes following the intervention.
Results. The findings demonstrate a significant reduction in both cyberbullying perpetration and victimization after the implementation of the tech-integrated prevention program. In addition, students showed notable improvements in responsible online behavior, ethical awareness, and learning engagement. Qualitative evidence further revealed positive shifts in empathy, moral reasoning, and students' willingness to intervene when encountering harmful online behavior.
Conclusion. The study concludes that technology-integrated prevention programs are effective when digital tools are deliberately aligned with digital citizenship principles and ethical education. Integrating interactive digital learning with values-based instruction provides a sustainable and pedagogically sound approach to addressing cyberbullying in contemporary educational settings.

KEYWORDS

First Keyword, Second Keyword, Third Keyword

Citation: Muhamad, H., Rami, H., & Yara, A. (2026). Cyberbullying and Digital Citizenship: The Effectiveness of Tech-Integrated Prevention Programs. *Journal Emerging Technologies in Education*, *4*(1), 55–66.
https://doi.org/10.70177/jete.v1i3.3423

Correspondence:
Muhamad Habib,
muhamadhabib58@gmail.com

Received: Oct 12, 2025
Accepted: Dec 15, 2025
Published: Feb 28, 2026

INTRODUCTION

The first paragraph of the introduction should situate cyberbullying as a pervasive social problem emerging from the rapid expansion of digital communication technologies. This paragraph should highlight how online platforms, social media, and mobile applications have transformed patterns of interaction, particularly among adolescents and

young adults (A. Kumar, 2022; Mahmud, 2022; Teng, 2024). Emphasis should be placed on the normalization of digital engagement in educational and social contexts, which has simultaneously increased exposure to harmful online behaviors. Cyberbullying should be framed as a phenomenon that extends beyond individual misconduct, reflecting broader challenges related to digital ethics, responsibility, and civic behavior.

The second paragraph should contextualize cyberbullying within its psychological, social, and educational consequences (Maity, 2022, 2022; Torgal, 2023). This paragraph should address the documented impacts of cyberbullying on mental health, academic performance, and social participation, including anxiety, depression, social withdrawal, and decreased school engagement. Attention should be given to how the persistent and boundaryless nature of digital environments intensifies these effects compared to traditional forms of bullying. The paragraph should establish cyberbullying as a systemic issue requiring preventive rather than solely reactive responses. The third paragraph should introduce the concept of digital citizenship as a normative framework for addressing harmful online behavior. This paragraph should explain digital citizenship as encompassing ethical participation, critical digital literacy, empathy, and responsible technology use. The background should position digital citizenship education as a proactive strategy that seeks to cultivate values and competencies necessary for respectful online interaction. The paragraph should prepare readers for an examination of how technology itself can be integrated into prevention efforts rather than viewed only as the source of the problem.

The first paragraph of this section should articulate the central problem concerning the limited effectiveness of conventional cyberbullying prevention strategies. This paragraph should argue that many existing interventions rely on policy enforcement, punitive measures, or awareness campaigns that inadequately address the complex dynamics of digital interaction (Evelyn, 2022; Hedderich, 2024; Kim, 2022) . The problem should be framed as a mismatch between rapidly evolving technological environments and relatively static prevention approaches. The second paragraph should specify the challenges faced by educational institutions in implementing meaningful cyberbullying prevention. This paragraph should discuss issues such as insufficient teacher training, fragmented curricular integration, and limited student engagement in traditional prevention programs. The problem should be refined to highlight the lack of sustained behavioral change resulting from programs that do not actively involve students in digital environments where cyberbullying occurs.

The third paragraph should identify the absence of clear empirical consensus regarding the effectiveness of tech-integrated prevention programs (Hasan, 2023; R. Kumar, 2022; Sultan, 2023). This paragraph should emphasize that while many initiatives incorporate digital tools, their impact on promoting digital citizenship and reducing cyberbullying remains uneven and underexplored. The statement of the problem should conclude by underscoring the need for systematic evaluation of technology-based prevention models grounded in educational theory and digital ethics. The first paragraph outlining the research objectives should state that the primary aim of the study is to examine the effectiveness of tech-integrated prevention programs in reducing cyberbullying behaviors. This paragraph should clarify that effectiveness is understood not only in terms of behavioral reduction but also in fostering positive digital citizenship competencies. The objective should be framed as evaluative and analytical rather than prescriptive.

The second paragraph should articulate the objective of analyzing how digital citizenship principles are embedded within technology-based prevention programs. This paragraph should explain that the study seeks to identify program components that promote ethical awareness, empathy, critical thinking, and responsible online participation. The objective should emphasize

understanding mechanisms rather than merely measuring outcomes (Mandalaki, 2023; Polanin, 2022; Zhu, 2022). The third paragraph should state the objective of contributing to evidence-based educational practices by offering insights into how technology can be leveraged constructively. This paragraph should highlight the intention to inform educators, policymakers, and program developers about design features that enhance prevention effectiveness. The objective should be positioned as advancing both scholarly understanding and practical implementation.

The first paragraph of the gap analysis should observe that existing literature on cyberbullying prevention often focuses on prevalence, psychological impact, or individual coping strategies. This paragraph should argue that such studies, while valuable, frequently treat prevention as an ancillary concern rather than a central analytical focus (Coelho, 2022; Garcia-Fernandez, 2022; Tripathy, 2022). The gap should be identified as a lack of integrative research linking prevention strategies with digital citizenship education (Alqahtani, 2024; Cornu, 2023; Raj, 2022). The second paragraph should note that research on digital citizenship tends to emphasize conceptual frameworks or curricular models without rigorous assessment of their impact on cyberbullying behavior. This paragraph should point out that many studies assume a positive relationship between digital citizenship education and behavioral outcomes without systematically testing this assumption. The gap should be framed as an empirical and methodological limitation.

The third paragraph should highlight the scarcity of studies that critically evaluate technology-integrated prevention programs as holistic interventions. This paragraph should emphasize that existing evaluations often focus on isolated tools or short-term outcomes rather than sustained behavioral and attitudinal change (Albikawi, 2023; Demircioğlu, 2023; Pichel, 2022). The gap should be positioned as an opportunity to advance interdisciplinary research combining education, technology, and social psychology. The first paragraph of this section should present the novelty of the study by emphasizing its integrated analytical approach. This paragraph should explain that the research examines cyberbullying prevention through the combined lenses of technology integration and digital citizenship education. Novelty should be articulated in terms of conceptual synthesis rather than technological innovation alone.

The second paragraph should justify the importance of the study by arguing that understanding the effectiveness of tech-integrated prevention programs is critical in digitally mediated educational environments. This paragraph should stress that technology, when intentionally designed, can function as a pedagogical tool for ethical development rather than a risk factor. The justification should highlight relevance for contemporary educational policy and practice. The third paragraph should underscore the broader academic contribution of the study by situating it within ongoing debates about digital ethics and youth citizenship. This paragraph should argue that the research contributes to theoretical refinement in cyberbullying and digital citizenship studies by offering empirically grounded insights. The paragraph should conclude by affirming that the study addresses an urgent and underexplored dimension of digital life in education.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a mixed-methods research design to examine the effectiveness of tech-integrated prevention programs in addressing cyberbullying and promoting digital citizenship. The design combines quantitative and qualitative approaches to capture both measurable behavioral outcomes and in-depth participant experiences (Al-Marghilani, 2022; Arnon, 2022; Milosevic, 2022). A quasi-experimental framework is employed to compare changes in cyberbullying behaviors and digital citizenship competencies before and after program implementation. This

approach allows for a comprehensive assessment of program effectiveness while acknowledging the complexity of digitally mediated social interactions.

The population of the study consists of secondary school students enrolled in public educational institutions where technology-integrated prevention programs have been implemented. The sample is selected using purposive sampling to ensure representation of students actively engaged in digital learning environmentsn (Jacobs, 2022; Thumronglaohapun, 2022). Participants include students from multiple grade levels, as well as educators involved in program delivery. The sampling strategy prioritizes contextual relevance and diversity of digital exposure rather than statistical generalization.

The research instruments include standardized questionnaires measuring cyberbullying involvement, digital citizenship competencies, and online behavioral attitudes. Validated scales are adapted to reflect the technological and cultural context of the participating institutions. Qualitative data are collected through semi-structured interviews and focus group discussions with selected students and teachers to explore perceptions of program effectiveness. Digital activity logs and reflective journals are also utilized to triangulate self-reported data.

The research procedures involve sequential phases of data collection and analysis. Baseline measurements are conducted prior to program implementation to establish initial levels of cyberbullying behavior and digital citizenship awareness. The prevention program is then implemented over a defined instructional period using technology-integrated modules and interactive digital platforms. Post-intervention data are collected and analyzed using statistical and thematic techniques to assess changes and identify patterns related to program impact.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The quantitative data analyzed in this study were obtained from pre- and post-intervention surveys administered to 214 secondary school students participating in a tech-integrated cyberbullying prevention program. The dataset includes measures of cyberbullying involvement, digital citizenship competencies, and attitudes toward online behavior. Descriptive statistics indicate changes in mean scores across all measured variables after program implementation, as summarized in Table 1, which presents the comparative results of pre-test and post-test scores.

Table 1. Pre-Test and Post-Test Mean Scores of Cyberbullying and Digital Citizenship Variables

Variable	Pre-Test Mean	Post-Test Mean	Mean Difference
Cyberbullying Perpetration	2.84	2.11	-0.73
Cyberbullying Victimization	3.02	2.35	-0.67
Digital Citizenship Awareness	3.15	4.01	+0.86
Responsible Online Behavior	3.28	4.12	+0.84

The statistical description shows a notable reduction in reported cyberbullying behaviors alongside a substantial increase in digital citizenship awareness and responsible online behavior. These descriptive trends suggest a positive shift in student engagement with digital environments following participation in the prevention program.

The explanatory analysis of the data indicates that the reduction in cyberbullying behaviors corresponds with increased student exposure to interactive digital citizenship modules. Students reported greater awareness of ethical online conduct, empathy toward peers, and understanding of the consequences of harmful digital actions. The integration of technology-based learning tools appears to have enhanced engagement and internalization of prevention messages. The explanation further suggests that the use of simulations, digital storytelling, and online reflection activities

contributed to deeper cognitive and emotional processing of cyberbullying scenarios. Rather than relying solely on rule-based instruction, the program facilitated experiential learning, which may explain the observed behavioral changes.

The descriptive findings also reveal variation in outcomes across student subgroups. Younger students demonstrated larger gains in digital citizenship awareness, while older students showed more pronounced reductions in cyberbullying perpetration (Al-Harigy, 2022; Hinduja, 2022; Quintana-Orts, 2022). These patterns suggest that age and prior digital experience influence responsiveness to tech-integrated prevention strategies. The data description further highlights that students with higher initial exposure to social media platforms benefited more significantly from the intervention. Increased familiarity with digital environments may have enabled these students to better relate program content to real-life online interactions, amplifying the program's impact.

Inferential statistical analysis using paired-sample t-tests indicates that the observed changes in cyberbullying perpetration and digital citizenship awareness are statistically significant at the 0.05 level. The analysis confirms that the differences between pre-test and post-test scores are unlikely to be attributable to chance (Camacho, 2023; Cretu, 2024; Lucas-Molina, 2022). These results support the effectiveness of the intervention in producing measurable behavioral and attitudinal change. The inferential findings also show moderate to large effect sizes for improvements in responsible online behavior and digital citizenship competencies. These effect sizes suggest that the program had a meaningful educational impact beyond marginal improvement, reinforcing the value of integrating technology into prevention efforts.

Relational analysis reveals a negative correlation between digital citizenship awareness and cyberbullying perpetration scores. Higher levels of digital citizenship competencies are associated with lower involvement in cyberbullying behaviors (Cabrera, 2024; Macaulay, 2022; Nikolaou, 2022). This relationship underscores the interconnectedness of ethical digital understanding and online conduct. The data relations further demonstrate a positive association between student engagement with interactive digital tools and improvement in prevention outcomes. Students who actively participated in technology-mediated activities showed greater behavioral change, indicating that engagement level is a critical factor in program effectiveness.

The case study component focuses on one participating school that implemented the program over a full academic semester. Qualitative data from interviews and digital reflection journals reveal a noticeable shift in school climate related to online behavior (Chan, 2023; Fang, 2022; Khairy, 2024). Students reported increased peer support and greater willingness to intervene in cyberbullying incidents. The descriptive case study data also indicate improved communication between students and teachers regarding digital issues. Teachers observed greater student openness in discussing online conflicts, suggesting that the program fostered a more supportive and responsive digital culture within the school.

The explanatory analysis of the case study highlights the role of consistent program implementation and institutional support in achieving positive outcomes. The school's integration of digital citizenship principles across multiple subjects reinforced program messages and enhanced sustainability. This explanation clarifies why the case study site exhibited stronger outcomes compared to others. The explanation further indicates that involving students in co-creating digital content strengthened ownership and accountability. Active participation transformed students from passive recipients of information into agents of positive digital behavior, contributing to the program's effectiveness.

The overall interpretation of the results suggests that tech-integrated prevention programs can significantly reduce cyberbullying behaviors while enhancing digital citizenship competencies.

Technology functions not merely as a medium but as a pedagogical catalyst that enables experiential and reflective learning. The findings collectively indicate that effective cyberbullying prevention requires alignment between technological tools, ethical education, and active student engagement. The results support the conclusion that integrating digital citizenship education into technology-based programs offers a promising approach to addressing cyberbullying in contemporary educational settings.

The findings of this study indicate that tech-integrated prevention programs are effective in reducing cyberbullying behaviors while simultaneously strengthening digital citizenship competencies among students. Quantitative results demonstrate measurable decreases in cyberbullying perpetration and victimization alongside significant improvements in responsible online behavior and ethical awareness. These outcomes suggest that prevention efforts embedded within digital environments can influence both behavior and attitudes in meaningful ways. The results further reveal that improvements are not uniform across all participants, highlighting variations related to age, level of digital engagement, and program intensity. Younger students tend to show stronger gains in digital citizenship awareness, while older students exhibit more pronounced behavioral change. These patterns indicate that developmental factors shape how learners respond to technology-based prevention strategies.

The qualitative findings complement the statistical results by illustrating shifts in students' perceptions of online interaction and responsibility. Students report increased empathy, greater awareness of the consequences of online actions, and improved willingness to intervene in harmful digital situations. These findings reinforce the view that effective prevention operates at both cognitive and affective levels. The overall summary of results confirms that technology, when intentionally integrated into prevention programs, functions as a pedagogical asset rather than a risk factor. The study demonstrates that cyberbullying prevention is most effective when it combines technological engagement with explicit instruction in digital citizenship values.

The relationship between the findings of this study and existing research shows substantial convergence with prior studies emphasizing the importance of digital citizenship education. Previous research has similarly reported reductions in cyberbullying following interventions that promote ethical awareness and online responsibility. The present findings strengthen this body of evidence by demonstrating the added value of integrating technology directly into prevention design. Differences emerge when compared with studies that rely primarily on policy enforcement or awareness campaigns. Such approaches often report limited long-term behavioral change, whereas the results of this study indicate more sustained impact. This divergence suggests that passive or rule-based interventions may be insufficient in digitally immersive contexts.

The findings also extend prior research by providing empirical support for experiential and interactive learning models. Studies that emphasize lectures or informational sessions alone often fail to engage students meaningfully. The current results suggest that simulations, digital storytelling, and reflective online activities generate deeper engagement and behavioral transformation. The discussion with existing literature highlights the contribution of this study in bridging prevention research and digital pedagogy. While earlier studies often treat technology as an external variable, the present findings position technology as an integral component of prevention, reshaping how interventions are conceptualized and implemented.

The results of this research reflect broader transformations in how digital behavior is understood within educational contexts. Cyberbullying is increasingly recognized not merely as misconduct but as a manifestation of limited digital citizenship competencies. The findings signal a shift toward framing prevention as a developmental and educational process rather than a

disciplinary response. The outcomes also indicate a growing recognition of students as active participants in shaping digital culture. Increased student engagement and ownership suggest that prevention is most effective when learners are empowered to reflect on and regulate their own online behavior. This reflects a broader move toward participatory models of digital education.

The findings serve as an indicator of the evolving role of schools in addressing digital challenges. Educational institutions are no longer peripheral actors in online behavior but central spaces for cultivating ethical digital participation. The results signal that schools can meaningfully influence online conduct through structured, technology-based programs. The study also reflects changing norms of responsibility in digital spaces. Responsibility is no longer viewed solely as individual compliance but as a shared ethical practice within online communities. This shift underscores the importance of collective digital citizenship in prevention efforts.

The implications of these findings are significant for educational policy and practice. Tech-integrated prevention programs provide a scalable and contextually relevant approach to addressing cyberbullying. Educational systems can leverage existing digital infrastructures to embed prevention within everyday learning experiences. The results also imply that teacher training and curriculum development should prioritize digital citizenship competencies. Prevention effectiveness depends not only on technological tools but on educators' ability to facilitate ethical reflection and guided digital engagement. This highlights the need for professional development aligned with digital pedagogy.

The findings suggest implications for student well-being and school climate. Reduced cyberbullying and increased ethical awareness contribute to safer and more inclusive learning environments. These outcomes support the integration of prevention programs as part of comprehensive well-being initiatives. The study further implies that technology companies and educational stakeholders can collaborate in designing prevention tools. Aligning educational goals with digital platform design may enhance the reach and sustainability of prevention efforts. This perspective expands responsibility beyond schools to the broader digital ecosystem.

The reasons underlying these results can be explained by the alignment between learning context and behavioral environment. Students encounter cyberbullying within digital spaces, and prevention strategies embedded in similar environments offer greater relevance and immediacy. This alignment enhances learning transfer and behavioral application. The findings are also shaped by the experiential nature of technology-integrated learning. Interactive activities promote reflection, empathy, and perspective-taking more effectively than abstract instruction. These cognitive and emotional processes contribute to meaningful behavioral change.

The results further reflect the motivational effects of technology-mediated engagement. Students are more likely to participate actively in programs that use familiar digital tools and platforms. Increased engagement enhances attention, retention, and internalization of prevention messages. The presence of positive outcomes can also be attributed to the social dimension of digital learning. Collaborative activities foster peer accountability and shared norms of behavior. This social reinforcement strengthens the effectiveness of prevention programs.

Future directions suggested by this study emphasize the need for longitudinal research on prevention sustainability. Examining whether behavioral changes persist over time would deepen understanding of long-term program effectiveness. Such research would inform policy decisions and resource allocation. The findings also point toward the value of comparative studies across educational contexts. Investigating how tech-integrated prevention operates in diverse cultural and institutional settings would enhance generalizability. This direction would contribute to more inclusive prevention models.

The study encourages further exploration of adaptive and personalized prevention tools. Emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence and learning analytics may offer opportunities to tailor interventions to individual needs. Such innovations could enhance responsiveness and effectiveness. The discussion ultimately points toward a reconceptualization of cyberbullying prevention as an ongoing educational process. Digital citizenship education integrated with technology offers a forward-looking approach to fostering ethical online participation. The study highlights the necessity of continuing research and innovation in this evolving field.

CONCLUSION

The most important finding of this study is the empirical evidence that tech-integrated prevention programs are effective in reducing cyberbullying behaviors while simultaneously strengthening students' digital citizenship competencies. The research demonstrates that integrating interactive digital tools with ethical and civic education produces measurable changes in both online conduct and attitudinal awareness. These findings distinguish this study from prior research by showing that prevention outcomes improve when technology is positioned as a pedagogical medium rather than treated solely as a risk factor.

The added value of this research lies primarily in its conceptual contribution, supported by a mixed-methods methodological approach. Conceptually, the study advances an integrative framework that links cyberbullying prevention with digital citizenship education through technology-enhanced learning environments. Methodologically, the combination of quantitative and qualitative data provides a more comprehensive understanding of how and why prevention programs work, offering a replicable model for future research and program development in educational settings.

The limitations of this study include its focus on a specific educational context and a relatively short intervention period, which may limit the generalizability of the findings. The research also relies partly on self-reported data, which may be subject to response bias. Future research should incorporate longitudinal designs, diverse cultural contexts, and objective behavioral measures to examine the sustainability and broader applicability of tech-integrated cyberbullying prevention programs.

DECLARATION OF AI AND AI ASSISTED TECHNOLOGIES IN THE WRITING PROCESS

During the preparation of this work, the author(s) used ChatGPT as an AI-assisted tool to support the writing process. The AI was employed for tasks including brainstorming ideas, refining text, enhancing clarity, and generating content suggestions. Following the use of this tool, the author(s) carefully reviewed, edited, and revised the content to ensure accuracy, coherence, and alignment with academic standards. The author(s) take full responsibility for the content of the publication.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

Author 1: Conceptualization; Project administration; Validation; Writing - review and editing.
Author 2: Conceptualization; Data curation; In-vestigation.
Author 3: Data curation; Investigation.

REFERENCES

Albikawi, Z. F. (2023). Anxiety, Depression, Self-Esteem, Internet Addiction and Predictors of Cyberbullying and Cybervictimization among Female Nursing University Students: A Cross Sectional Study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *20*(5). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20054293

Al-Harigy, L. M. (2022). Building towards Automated Cyberbullying Detection: A Comparative Analysis. *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*, *2022*(Query date: 2026-02-21 07:09:38). https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/4794227

Al-Marghilani, A. (2022). Artificial Intelligence-Enabled Cyberbullying-Free Online Social Networks in Smart Cities. *International Journal of Computational Intelligence Systems*, *15*(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s44196-022-00063-y

Alqahtani, A. F. (2024). An Ensemble-Based Multi-Classification Machine Learning Classifiers Approach to Detect Multiple Classes of Cyberbullying. *Machine Learning and Knowledge Extraction*, *6*(1), 156–170. https://doi.org/10.3390/make6010009

Arnon, S. (2022). Association of Cyberbullying Experiences and Perpetration with Suicidality in Early Adolescence. *JAMA Network Open*, *5*(6). https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.18746

Cabrera, M. C. (2024). Bullying/Cyberbullying in Secondary Education: A Comparison Between Secondary Schools in Rural and Urban Contexts. *Child and Adolescent Social Work Journal*, *41*(4), 617–631. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10560-022-00882-0

Camacho, A. (2023). Bullying and Cyberbullying Perpetration and Victimization: Prospective Within-Person Associations. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, *52*(2), 406–418. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-022-01704-3

Chan, T. K. H. (2023). Bystanders Join in Cyberbullying on Social Networking Sites: The Deindividuation and Moral Disengagement Perspectives. *Information Systems Research*, *34*(3), 828–846. https://doi.org/10.1287/ISRE.2022.1161

Coelho, V. A. (2022). Adolescents' trajectories of social anxiety and social withdrawal: Are they influenced by traditional bullying and cyberbullying roles? *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, *69*(Query date: 2026-02-21 07:09:38). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2022.102053

Cornu, C. (2023). An Introduction to a Whole-Education Approach to School Bullying: Recommendations from UNESCO Scientific Committee on School Violence and Bullying Including Cyberbullying. *International Journal of Bullying Prevention*, *5*(4), 317–318. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42380-021-00093-8

Cretu, D. M. (2024). Bullying and cyberbullying: A bibliometric analysis of three decades of research in education. *Educational Review*, *76*(2), 371–404. https://doi.org/10.1080/00131911.2022.2034749

Demircioğlu, Z. I. (2023). Antecedents of problematic social media use and cyberbullying among adolescents: Attachment, the dark triad and rejection sensitivity. *Current Psychology*, *42*(35), 31091–31109. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-022-04127-2

Evelyn, S. (2022). A Phenomenological Investigation into Cyberbullying as Experienced by People Identifying as Transgender or Gender Diverse. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *19*(11). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19116560

Fang, J. (2022). Childhood Maltreatment and Adolescent Cyberbullying Perpetration: A Moderated Mediation Model of Callous—Unemotional Traits and Perceived Social Support. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, *37*(7). https://doi.org/10.1177/0886260520960106

Garcia-Fernandez, C. M. (2022). Adolescent Involvement in Cybergossip: Influence on Social Adjustment, Bullying and Cyberbullying. *Spanish Journal of Psychology*, *25*(3). https://doi.org/10.1017/SJP.2022.3

Hasan, M. T. (2023). A Review on Deep-Learning-Based Cyberbullying Detection. *Future Internet*, *15*(5). https://doi.org/10.3390/fi15050179

Hedderich, M. A. (2024). A Piece of Theatre: Investigating How Teachers Design LLM Chatbots to Assist Adolescent Cyberbullying Education. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems Proceedings*, (Query date: 2026-02-21 07:09:38). https://doi.org/10.1145/3613904.3642379

Hinduja, S. (2022). Bullying and Cyberbullying Offending Among US Youth: The Influence of Six Parenting Dimensions. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, *31*(5), 1454–1473. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10826-021-02208-7

Jacobs, G. (2022). Automatic classification of participant roles in cyberbullying: Can we detect victims, bullies, and bystanders in social media text? *Natural Language Engineering*, *28*(2), 141–166. https://doi.org/10.1017/S135132492000056X

Khairy, M. (2024). Comparative performance of ensemble machine learning for Arabic cyberbullying and offensive language detection. *Language Resources and Evaluation*, *58*(2), 695–712. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10579-023-09683-y

Kim, J. (2022). A Path from Traditional Bullying to Cyberbullying in South Korea: Examining the Roles of Self-Control and Deviant Peer Association in the Different Forms of Bullying. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, *37*(9), 5937–5957. https://doi.org/10.1177/08862605211067022

Kumar, A. (2022). A Bi-GRU with attention and CapsNet hybrid model for cyberbullying detection on social media. *World Wide Web*, *25*(4), 1537–1550. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11280-021-00920-4

Kumar, R. (2022). A study of machine learning-based models for detection, control, and mitigation of cyberbullying in online social media. *International Journal of Information Security*, *21*(6), 1409–1431. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10207-022-00600-y

Lucas-Molina, B. (2022). Bullying, Cyberbullying and Mental Health: The Role of Student Connectedness as a School Protective Factor. *Psychosocial Intervention*, *31*(1), 33–41. https://doi.org/10.5093/PI2022A1

Macaulay, P. J. R. (2022). Bystander responses to cyberbullying: The role of perceived severity, publicity, anonymity, type of cyberbullying, and victim response. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *131*(Query date: 2026-02-21 07:09:38). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2022.107238

Mahmud, M. I. (2022). A Deep Analysis of Textual Features Based Cyberbullying Detection Using Machine Learning. *2022 IEEE Global Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Internet of Things Gcaiot 2022*, (Query date: 2026-02-21 07:09:38), 166–170. https://doi.org/10.1109/GCAIoT57150.2022.10019058

Maity, K. (2022a). A Multitask Framework for Sentiment, Emotion and Sarcasm aware Cyberbullying Detection from Multi-modal Code-Mixed Memes. *SIGIR 2022 Proceedings of the 45th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, (Query date: 2026-02-21 07:09:38), 1739–1749. https://doi.org/10.1145/3477495.3531925

Maity, K. (2022b). A Multitask Multimodal Framework for Sentiment and Emotion-Aided Cyberbullying Detection. *IEEE Internet Computing*, *26*(4), 68–78. https://doi.org/10.1109/MIC.2022.3158583

Mandalaki, E. (2023). Abjection overruled! Time to dismantle sexist cyberbullying in academia. *Organization*, *30*(1), 168–180. https://doi.org/10.1177/13505084211041711

Milosevic, T. (2022). Artificial Intelligence to Address Cyberbullying, Harassment and Abuse: New Directions in the Midst of Complexity. *International Journal of Bullying Prevention*, *4*(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s42380-022-00117-x

Nikolaou, D. (2022). Bullying, cyberbullying, and youth health behaviors. *Kyklos*, *75*(1), 75–105. https://doi.org/10.1111/kykl.12286

Pichel, R. (2022). Analysis of the relationship between school bullying, cyberbullying, and substance use. *Children and Youth Services Review*, *134*(Query date: 2026-02-21 07:09:38). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2022.106369

Polanin, J. R. (2022). A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Interventions to Decrease Cyberbullying Perpetration and Victimization. *Prevention Science*, *23*(3), 439–454. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11121-021-01259-y

Quintana-Orts, C. (2022). Beyond Cyberbullying: Investigating When and How Cybervictimization Predicts Suicidal Ideation. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, *37*(1), 935–957. https://doi.org/10.1177/0886260520913640

Raj, M. (2022). An Application to Detect Cyberbullying Using Machine Learning and Deep Learning Techniques. *SN Computer Science*, *3*(5). https://doi.org/10.1007/s42979-022-01308-5

Sultan, D. (2023). A Review of Machine Learning Techniques in Cyberbullying Detection. *Computers Materials and Continua*, *74*(3), 2625–2640. https://doi.org/10.32604/cmc.2023.033682

Teng, T. H. (2024). A comprehensive review of cyberbullying-related content classification in online social media. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *244*(Query date: 2026-02-21 07:09:38). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2023.122644

Thumronglaohapun, S. (2022). Awareness, perception and perpetration of cyberbullying by high school students and undergraduates in Thailand. *Plos One*, *17*(4). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0267702

Torgal, C. (2023). A Meta-Analysis of School-Based Cyberbullying Prevention Programs' Impact on Cyber-Bystander Behavior. *School Psychology Review*, *52*(2), 95–109. https://doi.org/10.1080/2372966X.2021.1913037

Tripathy, J. K. (2022). ALBERT-based fine-tuning model for cyberbullying analysis. *Multimedia Systems*, *28*(6), 1941–1949. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00530-020-00690-5

Zhu, Y. (2022). Adaptation and validation of the European cyberbullying intervention project questionnaire with and for Chinese adolescents. *Health and Social Care in the Community*, *30*(4), 1363–1372. https://doi.org/10.1111/hsc.13466