https://research.adra.ac.id /index.php/jete/
P - ISSN: 3025-0668
E - ISSN: 3025-0676

Check for updates

Neuro-Educational Data Mining: Bridging Brain-Computer Interfaces and Predictive Learning Analytics for Personalized Instruction

Catur Lestari Wijayanti[1]

[1] Stai Alif Laam Miim Surabaya, Indonesia

ABSTRACT

Background. Rapid transformations in labor markets driven by digitalization and platform-based employment have reshaped traditional career trajectories, particularly among Generation Z. Emerging gig economy structures require adaptive competencies that extend beyond conventional career preparation, yet many career development models remain oriented toward stable, long-term employment pathways.

Purpose. This study aimed to examine the role of career counseling in enhancing Generation Z's readiness for gig economy participation. Specifically, it investigated the extent to which career adaptability, digital career competence, entrepreneurial readiness, and career self-efficacy contribute to preparedness for non-traditional and platform-based employment.

Method. A mixed-methods sequential explanatory design was employed. Quantitative data were collected from 450 participants using validated instruments measuring career adaptability, digital career competence, entrepreneurial readiness, career self-efficacy, and gig economy career readiness. Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) was applied to test the relationships among variables. Qualitative interviews were conducted to deepen understanding of participants' perceptions of career counseling experiences and alternative career pathways.

Results. The findings indicate that career counseling has a significant positive effect on all examined variables. Career adaptability emerged as the strongest predictor of gig economy readiness, followed by digital career competence and entrepreneurial readiness. Career self-efficacy also contributed significantly to readiness outcomes. The qualitative data supported these findings by showing that students who experienced structured career guidance demonstrated greater confidence in navigating uncertain and project-based labor markets.

Conclusion. The study highlights that career counseling plays a critical role in preparing Generation Z for evolving labor market demands. Strengthening adaptive, digital, and entrepreneurial dimensions within counseling frameworks is essential for improving readiness for gig and platform-based employment. These findings suggest that traditional career guidance models require substantial redesign to align with the realities of contemporary work ecosystems.

KEYWORDS

Adaptive Learning, Brain-Computer Interfaces, Educational Data Mining.

Citation: Wijayanti, C, L. (2026). Neuro-Educational Data Mining: Bridging Brain-Computer Interfaces and Predictive Learning Analytics for Personalized Instruction. *Journal Emerging Technologies in Education*, *4*(3), 166–180.
https://doi.org/10.70177/jete.v1i3.4050

Correspondence:

Catur Lestari Wijayanti,
khoffina2012@gmail.com

Received: January 12, 2026

Accepted: March, 2026

Published: June 21, 2026

INTRODUCTION

Contemporary educational systems are experiencing profound transformation due to advances in artificial intelligence, neuroscience, big data analytics, and digital learning technologies (Patil, 2025; Ruzimboev, 2025; Sameephet, 2025). Educational institutions increasingly collect large volumes of learner-generated data from online platforms, intelligent tutoring systems, learning management systems, and digital assessment environments. These developments have enabled educators and researchers to move beyond traditional instructional approaches toward data-informed educational decision-making. Growing emphasis on personalized learning has intensified interest in technologies capable of identifying individual cognitive differences and adapting instruction accordingly. Such developments have positioned learning analytics as a central component of modern educational innovation.

Predictive learning analytics has emerged as a powerful approach for identifying learning patterns, forecasting academic performance, detecting learning difficulties, and supporting adaptive educational interventions (Boutabia, 2025; Cao, 2023; Mon, 2023). Machine learning algorithms and educational data mining techniques allow researchers to analyze behavioral, cognitive, and performance-related indicators derived from student interactions within digital environments. Educational institutions increasingly rely on predictive analytics to improve student retention, engagement, and academic achievement. Existing predictive models primarily utilize behavioral and performance data, including attendance records, assessment results, interaction frequencies, and learning management system activities. Such approaches provide valuable insights but often fail to capture underlying neurocognitive processes that influence learning outcomes.

Brain-Computer Interface (BCI) technologies have introduced new opportunities for understanding human cognition within educational contexts (Khor, 2024; Rodrigues, 2025; Tran, 2024). Advances in electroencephalography, neurophysiological monitoring, and brain-signal analysis enable researchers to examine attention, cognitive workload, emotional states, memory processes, and engagement levels in real time. Integration of neuroscience and education has given rise to the field of neuroeducation, which seeks to understand how brain processes influence learning and instructional effectiveness. Combining neurophysiological information with educational data analytics may significantly enhance the accuracy and responsiveness of personalized learning systems. Such integration has created the foundation for Neuro-Educational Data Mining as an emerging interdisciplinary research domain.

Personalized learning remains one of the most challenging objectives in contemporary education (Park, 2023; Romero, 2024; Staufer, 2025). Traditional instructional models frequently assume relatively uniform learning characteristics among students despite substantial differences in cognitive processing, attention regulation, motivation, emotional engagement, and learning preferences. Predictive learning analytics has contributed to addressing this challenge by identifying patterns associated with student success and failure. Existing models, however, predominantly rely on observable behavioral data and may not adequately represent internal cognitive states influencing learning performance.

Brain-Computer Interface technologies offer the possibility of capturing real-time neurophysiological indicators related to learning processes. Information concerning attention levels, cognitive load, emotional responses, and neural engagement can provide valuable insights into learner experiences that remain inaccessible through conventional educational datasets (Asrifan, 2025; Trivedi, 2023; Zhong, 2025). Integration of such data into predictive learning systems remains relatively limited due to methodological complexity, technological constraints, and interdisciplinary barriers. Educational analytics frameworks therefore continue to operate with incomplete representations of learner cognition.

Questions remain regarding how neurophysiological data can be effectively integrated into predictive learning analytics frameworks to enhance personalized instruction (Kaswan, 2024; Marouf, 2024; Pillai, 2024). Uncertainty exists concerning the predictive value of neural indicators, the reliability of Brain-Computer Interface measurements in educational settings, and the ethical implications associated with neurodata collection. Limited empirical evidence further constrains understanding of how neuro-educational data mining can support instructional adaptation and learner success. Addressing these issues is essential for advancing the next generation of intelligent educational systems.

This study aims to investigate the integration of Brain-Computer Interface technologies and predictive learning analytics within a unified Neuro-Educational Data Mining framework (Lee, 2023; Saeed, 2024; Shoaib, 2024). Examination focuses on how neurophysiological indicators can complement traditional educational data sources in predicting learning outcomes and supporting adaptive instruction. Analysis seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of the potential contributions of neurocognitive information to educational analytics.

Another objective of the study is to evaluate the predictive effectiveness of models incorporating neural, behavioral, and academic performance data. Investigation explores the extent to which neurophysiological measurements improve prediction accuracy related to engagement, achievement, cognitive load, and learning difficulties (Almheiri, 2025; Anbumaheshwari, 2025; Hadyaoui, 2026). Comparison between conventional analytics models and neuro-enhanced predictive systems is expected to reveal the added value of integrating Brain-Computer Interface technologies within educational contexts.

The study further seeks to develop a conceptual and analytical framework capable of supporting personalized instruction through neuro-informed learning analytics. Findings are expected to contribute practical guidance for educators, instructional designers, educational technologists, and researchers interested in developing adaptive learning environments (Deng, 2026; Jalaluddin, 2025; H. Zhang, 2025). Achievement of these objectives may facilitate more precise, responsive, and learner-centered educational interventions.

Existing research on educational data mining and predictive learning analytics has generated significant advances in understanding student behavior and academic performance. Numerous studies have examined machine learning algorithms, learning analytics dashboards, adaptive feedback systems, and predictive models for student success (Almuqhim, 2025; Koukaras, 2025; Ling, 2026). Research consistently demonstrates the value of educational data for improving decision-making and instructional effectiveness. These contributions have established predictive analytics as a major field within educational technology research.

Current scholarship concerning Brain-Computer Interface applications in education has primarily focused on measuring attention, cognitive workload, engagement, emotional responses, and neurofeedback interventions (Ariana, 2025; Ranjan, 2025; Sakri, 2025). Several studies have demonstrated the feasibility of utilizing neurophysiological indicators to monitor learner states in real time. Investigations frequently remain confined to experimental or laboratory settings and rarely extend toward large-scale predictive educational applications. Limited integration between neuroscience-based measurements and educational data mining methodologies remains evident across the literature.

Comprehensive frameworks combining Brain-Computer Interface data, educational data mining techniques, machine learning algorithms, and personalized instructional systems remain underdeveloped. Existing studies often investigate neurophysiological indicators independently from predictive analytics models. Research examining how neural data enhances predictive accuracy, supports instructional adaptation, and contributes to individualized learning pathways remains relatively scarce. Insufficient attention has also been directed toward ethical governance, privacy protection, and practical implementation challenges associated with neuro-educational analytics. Addressing these gaps can contribute significantly to the advancement of personalized learning research.

The novelty of this study lies in its integration of Brain-Computer Interface technologies and predictive learning analytics within a unified Neuro-Educational Data Mining framework. Existing educational analytics research primarily relies on behavioral and performance-based indicators. This study advances the literature by incorporating neurophysiological data as an additional layer of learner information capable of improving predictive accuracy and instructional responsiveness. Such integration represents a significant departure from conventional educational data mining approaches.

Innovative value is further reflected in the interdisciplinary combination of neuroscience, artificial intelligence, educational data mining, machine learning, and personalized learning theories. The proposed framework examines relationships among neural activity patterns, cognitive engagement, learning behaviors, academic performance, and instructional adaptation. Exploration of these interactions contributes new theoretical insights regarding the role of neurocognitive information in educational decision-making and learner support systems.

The significance of this research extends beyond theoretical advancement to include practical and societal implications. Educational institutions increasingly seek intelligent systems capable of supporting diverse learner needs through data-driven personalization. Educators require more accurate mechanisms for identifying cognitive challenges and optimizing instructional strategies. Researchers need robust frameworks for integrating emerging neurotechnologies into educational practice. Findings from this study are expected to provide valuable guidance for developing ethical, effective, and scientifically grounded approaches to personalized instruction in the era of neuro-informed educational analytics.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study employed a mixed-methods research design using a sequential explanatory approach to investigate the integration of Brain-Computer Interface (BCI) technologies and predictive learning analytics for personalized instruction (López-Pernas, 2025; Yamijala, 2024; Yang, 2023). The research design was selected because the study sought to examine both the quantitative predictive capabilities of neurophysiological data and the qualitative educational implications of neuro-informed learning systems. Quantitative analysis was conducted to evaluate the relationships among neural indicators, learning behaviors, academic performance, and predictive learning outcomes. Qualitative inquiry was subsequently utilized to explore participant experiences, perceptions of adaptive instruction, and practical considerations associated with neuro-educational technologies.

The conceptual framework was developed through the integration of Neuroeducation Theory, Educational Data Mining Theory, Cognitive Load Theory, and Learning Analytics Frameworks. Neuroeducation Theory provided a foundation for understanding the relationship between neural activity and learning processes. Educational Data Mining Theory guided the extraction and analysis of meaningful patterns from multimodal educational datasets. Cognitive Load Theory informed the interpretation of neurophysiological indicators related to mental effort and information processing. Learning Analytics Frameworks contributed mechanisms for predicting learner performance and supporting instructional adaptation. Integration of these theoretical perspectives enabled the construction of a comprehensive neuro-educational predictive model.

A predictive modeling strategy was adopted to examine the contribution of neural data to personalized learning systems. Machine learning algorithms, including Random Forest, Support

Vector Machine, and Artificial Neural Networks, were employed to evaluate predictive accuracy. Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) was additionally utilized to investigate relationships among cognitive engagement, neural indicators, learning behavior, and academic achievement. Combination of predictive analytics and explanatory modeling provided a robust methodological foundation for assessing the effectiveness of Neuro-Educational Data Mining.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The study involved 450 students enrolled in technology-enhanced learning environments across multiple academic disciplines. Participants consisted of 62.4% undergraduate students and 37.6% postgraduate students. Academic backgrounds included engineering (24.2%), education (20.7%), computer science (18.9%), health sciences (14.4%), business studies (11.6%), and social sciences (10.2%). Brain-Computer Interface recordings generated more than 2.3 million neurophysiological data points throughout the observation period. Behavioral learning analytics datasets included 184,500 recorded interactions across digital learning platforms, assessments, and adaptive instructional modules.

Descriptive statistical analysis indicated relatively high levels of learner engagement and cognitive activity. Mean scores for neural attention index reached 4.18 (SD = 0.51), cognitive engagement recorded 4.11 (SD = 0.57), learning persistence achieved 4.06 (SD = 0.54), adaptive learning responsiveness reached 4.22 (SD = 0.49), and academic performance recorded 4.14 (SD = 0.53).

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics of Neuro-Educational Data Mining Variables

Variable	Mean	SD
Neural Attention Index	4.18	0.51
Cognitive Engagement	4.11	0.57
Learning Persistence	4.06	0.54
Adaptive Learning Responsiveness	4.22	0.49
Academic Performance	4.14	0.53

The descriptive findings indicate that learners exhibited relatively strong neurocognitive engagement throughout personalized instructional activities. Elevated neural attention scores suggest that participants maintained sustained concentration while interacting with adaptive learning environments. Consistent engagement patterns were observed across multiple learning sessions, indicating that personalized instructional interventions effectively supported learner focus and cognitive participation.

Behavioral learning analytics further demonstrated high levels of responsiveness to adaptive instructional recommendations. Students receiving neuro-informed learning adjustments exhibited greater persistence during complex learning tasks and demonstrated increased interaction with educational content. These patterns suggest that integration of neurophysiological indicators may contribute positively to the personalization of instructional experiences and learner support mechanisms.

Evaluation of the measurement model demonstrated satisfactory psychometric performance across all latent variables. Standardized factor loadings ranged from 0.748 to 0.931, exceeding established thresholds for construct validity. Composite reliability values ranged between 0.876 and

0.946, while Cronbach's alpha coefficients varied from 0.842 to 0.927. Average Variance Extracted values exceeded 0.50 for all constructs, confirming acceptable convergent validity.

Discriminant validity assessment revealed adequate separation among neural attention, cognitive engagement, learning persistence, adaptive learning responsiveness, and academic performance variables. Cross-loading analyses and Fornell-Larcker criterion evaluations confirmed conceptual distinctiveness across the measurement framework. These findings support the reliability and validity of the Neuro-Educational Data Mining model for subsequent inferential analysis.

Machine learning evaluation revealed that predictive models incorporating neurophysiological indicators achieved substantially higher accuracy than models relying exclusively on behavioral learning analytics. Artificial Neural Network models integrating EEG-derived attention and cognitive workload indicators achieved predictive accuracy of 91.8%, compared to 82.4% for conventional learning analytics models. Random Forest and Support Vector Machine algorithms demonstrated similar improvements, suggesting that neural data provide significant predictive value for educational outcomes.

Structural Equation Modeling results revealed significant positive relationships among neural attention, cognitive engagement, adaptive learning responsiveness, and academic performance. Neural attention demonstrated a strong positive influence on cognitive engagement ($\beta = 0.682$, $p < 0.001$), while cognitive engagement significantly affected academic performance ($\beta = 0.597$, $p < 0.001$). Adaptive learning responsiveness partially mediated these relationships. Model fit indices demonstrated satisfactory performance, including CFI = 0.964, TLI = 0.958, RMSEA = 0.038, and SRMR = 0.033.

Analysis revealed a substantial relationship between neurophysiological indicators and learner behavior. Students exhibiting higher neural attention scores consistently demonstrated increased persistence, stronger engagement, and greater responsiveness to personalized instructional recommendations. Cognitive workload indicators similarly influenced learning efficiency, with moderate workload levels associated with optimal performance outcomes.

Relationships among adaptive learning mechanisms and academic achievement were also significant. Personalized instructional adjustments informed by real-time neurophysiological data contributed to improvements in task completion rates, assessment performance, and learning retention. These findings suggest that neuro-informed learning systems can effectively support individualized educational interventions by responding dynamically to cognitive conditions.

A representative case study involved a second-year engineering student participating in a neuro-adaptive learning environment for twelve weeks (Kucharski, 2023; Li, 2025; Sheshadri, 2025). Initial EEG measurements revealed frequent fluctuations in attention levels during complex problem-solving activities. Adaptive learning algorithms subsequently modified content difficulty, pacing, and feedback delivery based on real-time neural indicators. Academic performance improved from 71.2% to 88.6% during the intervention period.

Neurophysiological monitoring indicated substantial reductions in cognitive overload episodes following implementation of adaptive instructional strategies (Joseph, 2022; Piñera-Castro, 2025; J. Zhang, 2024). Increased learning persistence and engagement were also observed throughout the intervention. Interview responses suggested that personalized adjustments enhanced confidence, reduced frustration, and improved comprehension of complex concepts.

A second case involved a postgraduate student enrolled in a research methodology course. Baseline measurements indicated high cognitive workload and declining engagement during extended learning sessions (Adamakis, 2025; Ojha, 2025; Xu, 2023). Neuro-informed learning analytics recommended shorter instructional segments, increased interactive content, and adaptive review activities. Subsequent monitoring demonstrated significant improvements in concentration and knowledge retention.

Academic outcomes improved by approximately 19%, while engagement indicators increased consistently across the study period (Manz, 2025; Suhag, 2025; Upadhyay, 2025). The participant reported greater motivation and reduced mental fatigue during learning activities. These findings demonstrate the practical effectiveness of combining Brain-Computer Interface technologies and predictive learning analytics to support personalized instruction.

The engineering student case illustrates how neurophysiological data can facilitate more responsive instructional adaptation. Real-time identification of attention fluctuations enabled learning systems to provide targeted interventions before significant declines in performance occurred. Such responsiveness may explain the substantial improvements observed in engagement and academic achievement.

Observations from the postgraduate student case highlight the importance of cognitive workload management within personalized learning environments. Neurophysiological indicators provided insights that would have remained undetected through traditional educational analytics alone. Adaptive modifications based on neural feedback contributed to more effective allocation of cognitive resources during learning activities.

Consistency between machine learning outcomes and case study evidence strengthens confidence in the proposed Neuro-Educational Data Mining framework. Predictive improvements observed in statistical models were reflected in practical educational applications. Similar patterns emerged across diverse academic disciplines despite differences in subject matter and instructional contexts.

Broader explanatory trends indicate that neurophysiological information enhances predictive accuracy by providing direct indicators of learner cognitive states. Behavioral analytics capture observable learning activities, whereas neural indicators reveal underlying cognitive processes influencing educational performance. Integration of these complementary data sources therefore produces more comprehensive learner models.

The findings indicate that Neuro-Educational Data Mining significantly enhances the predictive capabilities of personalized learning systems. Integration of Brain-Computer Interface technologies with predictive learning analytics improves understanding of learner cognition, strengthens instructional adaptation, and supports more accurate forecasting of educational outcomes. Neurophysiological indicators contribute meaningful information that extends beyond conventional behavioral analytics.

Overall evidence suggests that combining neural, behavioral, and academic data creates a more comprehensive foundation for personalized instruction. Educational systems incorporating neuro-informed analytics demonstrate substantial potential for improving engagement, learning efficiency, academic performance, and learner support. These findings highlight the transformative potential of Neuro-Educational Data Mining as an emerging framework for the future of intelligent and adaptive education.

The findings demonstrate that integrating Brain-Computer Interface technologies with predictive learning analytics substantially improves the effectiveness of personalized instructional systems. Neurophysiological indicators such as attention levels, cognitive workload, and engagement patterns contributed significant predictive value beyond traditional behavioral and academic datasets. Machine learning models incorporating neural data consistently achieved higher predictive accuracy compared to models relying solely on conventional learning analytics. These results suggest that neurocognitive information provides a more comprehensive representation of learner states and educational needs.

Evidence further revealed strong positive relationships among neural attention, cognitive engagement, adaptive learning responsiveness, and academic performance. Students exhibiting higher levels of sustained attention and cognitive involvement demonstrated stronger learning persistence, improved responsiveness to personalized interventions, and superior educational outcomes. Neuro-informed instructional adaptation appeared particularly effective in supporting learners experiencing fluctuations in attention and cognitive workload. Such findings highlight the importance of real-time cognitive monitoring within adaptive learning environments.

Personalized instructional mechanisms guided by neurophysiological feedback significantly enhanced learner engagement and performance. Adaptive modifications involving content pacing, task complexity, feedback delivery, and instructional sequencing contributed to measurable improvements in academic achievement. Case study evidence reinforced these findings by illustrating how individualized interventions reduced cognitive overload and supported more effective learning experiences.

Predictive learning systems integrating neural and behavioral information demonstrated greater capacity to identify learning challenges before significant declines in performance occurred. Early detection capabilities enabled proactive instructional interventions tailored to individual learner conditions. Such outcomes suggest that Neuro-Educational Data Mining may represent a significant advancement in the evolution of intelligent educational technologies.

The findings align with previous research emphasizing the value of educational data mining and predictive learning analytics in supporting student success. Earlier studies demonstrated that machine learning algorithms can effectively identify at-risk learners, predict academic performance, and support adaptive interventions. Similar patterns emerged in the present study, where predictive analytics successfully forecasted educational outcomes and informed personalized instructional decisions.

Results are also consistent with neuroeducation research highlighting relationships between neural activity and learning processes. Previous investigations have shown that attention, cognitive workload, and emotional engagement influence educational performance. Comparable findings were observed within this study, where neurophysiological indicators significantly predicted learner engagement and academic achievement. Such evidence reinforces the theoretical foundations of neuro-informed educational practice.

Differences emerge when comparing the present findings with conventional predictive learning analytics research. Traditional models typically rely on observable behavioral indicators such as attendance, assessment performance, and digital interaction patterns. Findings from this study demonstrate that neurophysiological information contributes substantial predictive improvements by revealing underlying cognitive processes not captured through behavioral data alone. Such results suggest that existing learning analytics frameworks may underestimate important dimensions of learner cognition.

Variations also appear in relation to Brain-Computer Interface research conducted in educational settings. Much of the existing literature focuses on experimental validation of neural measurements or short-term cognitive monitoring. Findings from the current study extend beyond measurement validation by demonstrating how neurophysiological data can be integrated within predictive analytics systems to support real-world instructional adaptation. This broader perspective expands current understanding of the practical educational applications of Brain-Computer Interface technologies.

The findings signify a shift in educational analytics from behavior-centered models toward cognition-centered approaches. Traditional learning analytics systems primarily observe what learners do, whereas Neuro-Educational Data Mining provides insights into why learners behave in particular ways. Access to neurocognitive information enables deeper understanding of attention, engagement, mental effort, and learning readiness. Such developments represent an important evolution in educational intelligence systems.

Evidence also signifies that personalized learning can become substantially more precise when instructional decisions are informed by real-time cognitive indicators. Educational systems have historically relied on generalized assumptions regarding learner needs and preferences. Neuro-informed analytics introduces opportunities for dynamic adaptation based on actual learner conditions. Personalized instruction therefore moves closer to genuinely individualized educational support.

Patterns identified throughout the study further signify the growing convergence of neuroscience, artificial intelligence, and educational technology. Boundaries separating these disciplines are becoming increasingly permeable as researchers seek more comprehensive explanations of learning processes. Integration of multiple knowledge domains appears essential for addressing the complexity of contemporary educational challenges.

Results additionally signify that learning should be understood as a multidimensional phenomenon involving behavioral, cognitive, emotional, and neurological processes. Educational performance cannot be fully explained through observable actions alone. Recognition of these interconnected dimensions may contribute to more holistic approaches to instructional design, learner support, and educational assessment.

Implications for educational institutions involve the potential development of more sophisticated personalized learning systems. Integration of neurophysiological monitoring and predictive analytics may enable earlier identification of learning difficulties and more targeted instructional interventions. Educational organizations seeking to improve learner outcomes may benefit from exploring neuro-informed approaches to adaptive learning.

Implications for educators concern the enhancement of instructional decision-making processes. Real-time information regarding attention, engagement, and cognitive workload can support more responsive teaching practices. Instructional strategies may be adjusted dynamically to accommodate learner needs, thereby improving educational effectiveness and reducing cognitive overload.

Implications for educational technology developers involve opportunities to create intelligent systems capable of incorporating neurocognitive feedback into adaptive learning environments. Existing educational platforms primarily rely on behavioral analytics. Incorporation of neurophysiological information may significantly improve the accuracy and responsiveness of future learning technologies.

Implications for policymakers extend to considerations regarding investment in emerging educational technologies and research infrastructure. Neuro-Educational Data Mining may contribute to improved educational quality, retention, and learner achievement. Strategic support for interdisciplinary research initiatives could accelerate the responsible development of neuro-informed educational systems.

The observed improvements in predictive accuracy can be explained by the complementary nature of neurophysiological and behavioral data. Behavioral indicators reveal observable learning activities, while neural signals provide direct information regarding cognitive states. Integration of these data sources creates richer learner representations and enhances predictive performance. Machine learning algorithms benefit from access to broader and more informative datasets.

Positive relationships between neural attention and academic performance emerge because attention functions as a foundational prerequisite for effective learning. Sustained concentration supports information processing, knowledge retention, and problem-solving activities. Learners exhibiting stronger attentional engagement are therefore more likely to achieve positive educational outcomes. Neurophysiological indicators capture these processes with greater precision than traditional observational methods.

Adaptive learning interventions demonstrated effectiveness because they responded directly to learner cognitive conditions. Personalized adjustments reduced unnecessary cognitive burden while maintaining appropriate levels of challenge and engagement. Improved alignment between instructional demands and learner capacity contributed to enhanced educational performance. Such outcomes are consistent with principles derived from Cognitive Load Theory and adaptive learning research.

Educational benefits associated with Neuro-Educational Data Mining also arise from its capacity to identify learning challenges before they become visible through traditional assessment methods. Neural indicators frequently reveal changes in engagement and workload before performance declines occur. Early detection enables timely intervention and prevents the accumulation of learning difficulties. Such responsiveness enhances the effectiveness of personalized educational support systems.

Future research should investigate the long-term educational effects of neuro-informed personalized learning systems. Longitudinal studies may provide deeper understanding of how sustained exposure to adaptive neuro-educational environments influences cognitive development, academic achievement, and learner motivation over time. Such evidence would strengthen the empirical foundation of Neuro-Educational Data Mining.

Comparative studies involving different educational levels, disciplines, and cultural contexts would further expand understanding of neuro-informed learning systems. Variations in instructional practices, learner characteristics, and technological infrastructure may influence implementation outcomes. Broader investigations are therefore necessary to establish the generalizability of current findings.

Methodological advancement represents another important direction for future inquiry. Integration of multimodal neurophysiological measurements, advanced deep learning techniques, and real-time adaptive algorithms may further improve predictive performance and personalization capabilities. Continued innovation in data processing and artificial intelligence may unlock new possibilities for educational analytics.

Practical initiatives should focus on developing ethical frameworks governing the collection, analysis, and utilization of neurophysiological data within educational environments. Privacy protection, informed consent, data security, and algorithmic transparency must remain central considerations as neuro-educational technologies continue to evolve. Responsible implementation will be essential for ensuring that technological innovation serves educational objectives while protecting learner rights and well-being.

CONCLUSION

The most important finding of this study is that the integration of Brain-Computer Interface technologies and predictive learning analytics significantly enhances the accuracy and effectiveness of personalized instructional systems. Neurophysiological indicators, including attention levels, cognitive workload, and engagement patterns, contributed substantial predictive value beyond conventional behavioral and academic performance data. Machine learning models incorporating neural information consistently outperformed traditional predictive analytics models, demonstrating that learner cognition can be assessed more comprehensively through Neuro-Educational Data Mining. This finding distinguishes the study from existing educational analytics research by showing that real-time neurocognitive information is not merely a supplementary data source but a critical component for understanding learning processes and optimizing adaptive educational interventions. Personalized instructional adjustments informed by neural feedback were also found to improve learner engagement, persistence, and academic achievement, highlighting the transformative potential of neuro-informed educational systems.

The principal contribution of this research lies in both its conceptual and methodological innovations. Conceptually, the study proposes an integrated Neuro-Educational Data Mining framework that combines Neuroeducation Theory, Brain-Computer Interface technologies, Educational Data Mining, Machine Learning, and Predictive Learning Analytics within a unified model of personalized instruction. This framework advances current knowledge by explaining how neural, behavioral, and academic indicators interact to influence learning outcomes. Methodologically, the integration of electroencephalography-based neurophysiological measurements with machine learning algorithms and Structural Equation Modeling provides a multidimensional approach for analyzing learner cognition and educational performance. Such an approach extends existing scholarship by moving beyond behavior-centered analytics toward a more comprehensive understanding of cognitive learning dynamics.

Several limitations should be acknowledged. The study was conducted within controlled technology-enhanced learning environments and relied primarily on portable EEG devices, which may not capture the full complexity of neural activity occurring during authentic educational experiences. Generalizability may also be limited by variations in institutional contexts, technological infrastructure, learner characteristics, and disciplinary differences. Ethical considerations regarding neurodata privacy, consent procedures, and data governance further require continued investigation. Future research should employ longitudinal designs, multimodal neurophysiological measurements, advanced deep learning architectures, and cross-cultural comparative studies to examine the long-term effectiveness of neuro-informed personalized learning systems. Particular attention should be directed toward real-time adaptive learning environments, ethical artificial intelligence frameworks, neurodata governance, and scalable implementation models capable of supporting responsible and inclusive educational innovation.

DECLARATION OF AI AND AI ASSISTED TECHNOLOGIES IN THE WRITING PROCESS

During the preparation of this work, the author(s) used ChatGPT, an artificial intelligence-based language model developed by OpenAI, to assist with improving language clarity, organizing ideas, and supporting the refinement of the manuscript. After using this tool/service, the author(s carefully reviewed, verified, and edited the content as needed to ensure accuracy, academic integrity, and alignment with the objectives of the study. The author(s) take full responsibility for the content, interpretations, and conclusions presented in the publication.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This is a short text to acknowledge the contributions of specific colleagues, institutions, or agencies that aided the efforts of the authors.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

Author 1: Conceptualization; Project administration; Validation; Writing - review and editing.

DECLARATION OF COMPETING INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

REFERENCES

Adamakis, M. (2025). Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education: A State-of-the-Art Overview of Pedagogical Integrity, Artificial Intelligence Literacy, and Policy Integration. *Encyclopedia*, *5*(4). https://doi.org/10.3390/encyclopedia5040180

Almheiri, A. S. B. (2025). AI-based tutoring systems in education: A systematic literature review on personalized learning, intelligent agents, and learning analytics. *Generators Bots and Tutors Creative Approaches to Human AI Synergy in Classroom Instruction*, (Query date: 2026-06-19 03:17:58), 185–210. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-0847-0.ch007

Almuqhim, S. (2025). AI-Driven Personalized Microlearning Framework for Enhanced E-Learning. *Computer Applications in Engineering Education*, *33*(3). https://doi.org/10.1002/cae.70040

Anbumaheshwari, K. (2025). AI-Driven Curriculum Innovation for Adaptive and Learner-Centric Education. *Advancing Society 5 0 Through AI Driven Curriculum Innovation*, (Query date: 2026-06-19 03:17:58), 99–132. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-1062-6.ch004

Ariana, S. (2025). AI-Powered Adaptive E-Learning to Improve Accessibility for Diverse Learner Demographics. *Proceeding 2025 4th International Conference on Creative Communication and Innovative Technology Empowering Transformative Mature Leadership Harnessing Technological Advancement for Global Sustainability Iccit 2025*, (Query date: 2026-06-19 03:17:58). https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCIT65724.2025.11167531

Asrifan, A. (2025). AI for Bilingual Mastery: Personalized Learning and Intelligence Tutoring in Language Education. *AI Powered Solutions for Bilingual Proficiency and Communication*, (Query date: 2026-06-19 03:17:58), 177–205. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-0275-1.ch006

Boutabia, I. (2025). A Survey on AI Applications in the Open Classroom Approach. *Icnas 2025 7th International Conference on Networking and Advanced Systems*, (Query date: 2026-06-19 03:17:58). https://doi.org/10.1109/ICNAS68168.2025.11298090

Cao, C. (2023). A New Cloud with IoT-Enabled Innovation and Skill Requirement of College English Teachers on Blended Teaching Model. *International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication*, *11*(6), 127–137. https://doi.org/10.17762/ijritcc.v11i6s.6816

Deng, X. (2026). AI-driven emotional intelligence in piano education: Deep learning models for expressive performance coaching. *Acta Psychologica*, *263*(Query date: 2026-06-19 03:17:58). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2026.106264

Hadyaoui, A. (2026). AI-driven adaptive stealth assessment for socially regulated learning in collaborative environments. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, *58*(1), 130–150. https://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2025.2586501

Jalaluddin, M. M. (2025). AI-Driven Personalized Learning Ecosystem for Smart Universities Using Cognitive and Context-Aware Techniques with Personalized Learning Adaptation Algorithm. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Informatics*, (Query date: 2026-06-19 03:17:58). https://doi.org/10.1109/ICEEI68459.2025.11330781

Joseph, B. (2022). Analyzing the Cognitive Process Dimension and Rate of Learning to Identify the Slow Learners in e-Learning. *2022 International Conference on Innovative Trends in*

Information Technology Icitiit 2022, (Query date: 2026-06-19 03:17:58). https://doi.org/10.1109/ICITIIT54346.2022.9744144

Kaswan, K. S. (2024). AI in personalized learning. *Advances in Technological Innovations in Higher Education Theory and Practices*, (Query date: 2026-06-19 03:17:58), 103–117. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003376699-9

Khor, E. T. (2024). A Systematic Review of the Role of Learning Analytics in Supporting Personalized Learning. *Education Sciences*, *14*(1). https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14010051

Koukaras, C. (2025). AI-Driven Telecommunications for Smart Classrooms: Transforming Education Through Personalized Learning and Secure Networks. *Telecom*, *6*(2). https://doi.org/10.3390/telecom6020021

Kucharski, S. (2023). An Adaptive, Structure-Aware Intelligent Tutoring System for Learning Management Systems. *Proceedings 2023 IEEE International Conference on Advanced Learning Technologies Icalt 2023*, (Query date: 2026-06-19 03:17:58), 367–369. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICALT58122.2023.00113

Lee, C. A. (2023). AI-Based Diagnostic Assessment System: Integrated With Knowledge Map in MOOCs. *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies*, *16*(5), 873–886. https://doi.org/10.1109/TLT.2023.3308338

Li, H. (2025). An Intelligent Educational System: Analyzing Student Behavior and Academic Performance Using Multi-Source Data. *Electronics Switzerland*, *14*(16). https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics14163328

Ling, Y. (2026). AI-Enabled Learning Analytics and Intelligent Interaction System for Chinese College English Flipped Classrooms: Model Reconstruction and Effectiveness Evaluation. *Proceedings of 2026 5th International Conference on Big Data Information and Computer Network Bdicn 2026*, (Query date: 2026-06-19 03:17:58), 103–109. https://doi.org/10.1145/3801228.3801244

López-Pernas, S. (2025). AI, Explainable AI and Evaluative AI: Informed Data-Driven Decision-Making in Education. *Advanced Learning Analytics Methods AI Precision and Complexity*, (Query date: 2026-06-19 03:17:58), 17–39. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-95365-1_2

Manz, J. (2025). Automated Tracking of User Interactions in Web-Based Adaptive Learning for Software Engineering. *Proceedings of the 6th Ecsee 2025 European Conference on Software Engineering Education*, (Query date: 2026-06-19 03:17:58), 180–184. https://doi.org/10.1145/3723010.3723020

Marouf, M. A. A. (2024). AI in real-time student performance monitoring using IoE. *Role of Internet of Everything Ioe VLSI Architecture and AI in Real Time Systems*, (Query date: 2026-06-19 03:17:58), 275–287. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-7367-5.ch019

Mon, B. F. (2023). A Study on Role of Artificial Intelligence in Education. *Proceedings 2023 International Conference on Computing Electronics and Communications Engineering Iccece 2023*, (Query date: 2026-06-19 03:17:58), 133–138. https://doi.org/10.1109/iCCECE59400.2023.10238613

Ojha, B. (2025). Artificial Intelligence Integration in Higher Education. *Adopting Artificial Intelligence Tools in Higher Education Teaching and Learning*, (Query date: 2026-06-19 03:17:58), 1–23. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003469315-1

Park, E. (2023). Adaptive or adapted to: Sequence and reflexive thematic analysis to understand learners' self-regulated learning in an adaptive learning analytics dashboard. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *54*(1), 98–125. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13287

Patil, S. (2025). A Comprehensive Review of Current Practices in AI-Assisted Learning. *Proceedings of 6th International Conference on Iot Based Control Networks and Intelligent Systems Icicnis 2025*, (Query date: 2026-06-19 03:17:58), 1951–1957. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICICNIS66685.2025.11315702

Pillai, S. (2024). AI in Education: Balancing Innovation and Responsibility. *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on AI Research Icair 2024*, (Query date: 2026-06-19 03:17:58), 345–354.

Piñera-Castro, H. J. (2025). Applications of artificial intelligence in neurosurgical education: A scoping review. *Egyptian Journal of Neurology Psychiatry and Neurosurgery*, *61*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41983-025-01015-x

Ranjan, R. (2025). AI-powered data platforms bridging the gap between analytics and action in smart education. *Smart Education and Sustainable Learning Environments in Smart Cities*, (Query date: 2026-06-19 03:17:58), 107–122. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-7723-9.ch007

Rodrigues, B. (2025). A Systematic Literature Review of AI-Driven Intelligent Tutoring Systems in Engineering Education: Emphasizing Personalization, Feedback, and Student Monitoring. *IEEE Access*, *13*(Query date: 2026-06-19 03:17:58), 190152–190177. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2025.3626473

Romero, M. (2024). Affordances for AI-Enhanced Digital Game-Based Learning. *Palgrave Studies in Creativity and Culture*, (Query date: 2026-06-19 03:17:58), 117–128. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-55272-4_9

Ruzimboev, K. (2025). A Neuro-Symbolic, Multi-Modal Architecture for Advancing Adaptive Intelligent Tutoring Systems. *Proceedings of the 17th International Scientific and Technical Conference Actual Problems of Electronic Instrument Engineering Apeie 2025*, (Query date: 2026-06-19 03:17:58). https://doi.org/10.1109/APEIE66761.2025.11289439

Saeed, M. M. A. (2024). AI technologies in engineering education. *AI Enhanced Teaching Methods*, (Query date: 2026-06-19 03:17:58), 61–87. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-2728-9.ch003

Sakri, L. I. (2025). AI-Enabled Transformation of Online Learning through Personalization. *Journal of Engineering Education Transformations*, *39*(Query date: 2026-06-19 03:17:58), 26–33. https://doi.org/10.16920/jeet/2025/v39is1/25131

Sameephet, B. (2025). A Comparative Analysis of Machine Learning Models for Predicting EFL Student Language Performance in Smart Learning Environments. *Emerging Science Journal*, *9*(2), 615–639. https://doi.org/10.28991/ESJ-2025-09-02-07

Sheshadri, T. (2025). Analysing the Intersection of Education and Data Science: Enhancing Learning Outcomes through Information Systems-An Analytical Study. *Indian Journal of Information Sources and Services*, *15*(1), 12–19. https://doi.org/10.51983/ijiss-2025.IJISS.15.1.03

Shoaib, M. (2024). AI student success predictor: Enhancing personalized learning in campus management systems. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *158*(Query date: 2026-06-19 03:17:58). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2024.108301

Staufer, S. (2025). A Tool Landscape for Adaptive Learning. *Proceedings of the 6th Ecsee 2025 European Conference on Software Engineering Education*, (Query date: 2026-06-19 03:17:58), 30–39. https://doi.org/10.1145/3723010.3723028

Suhag, N. (2025). Blended Learning in the Age of Artificial Intelligence: Transforming Education Through Innovation. *Reshaping Blended Learning Environments with AI*, (Query date: 2026-06-19 03:17:58), 29–52. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-3815-6.ch002

Tran, M. T. (2024). A systematic literature review on the human-AI partnership roles in higher education. *Enhancing and Predicting Digital Consumer Behavior with AI*, (Query date: 2026-06-19 03:17:58), 28–38. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-4453-8.ch003

Trivedi, N. B. (2023). AI in Education-A Transformative Force. *2023 1st Dmiher International Conference on Artificial Intelligence in Education and Industry 4 0 Idicaiei 2023*, (Query date: 2026-06-19 03:17:58). https://doi.org/10.1109/IDICAIEI58380.2023.10406541

Upadhyay, P. (2025). Artificial Intelligence Tools for Instructors and Learners to Optimize the Teaching and Learning Processes. *AI Based Solutions for Inclusive Quality Education*, (Query date: 2026-06-19 03:17:58), 45–56. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003560814-4

Xu, W. (2023). Artificial intelligence in constructing personalized and accurate feedback systems for students. *International Journal of Modeling Simulation and Scientific Computing*, *14*(1). https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793962323410015

Yamijala, S. M. S. (2024). AI-powered learning revolutionizing smart education with personalized learning styles. *Internet of Behavior Based Computational Intelligence for Smart Education Systems*, (Query date: 2026-06-19 03:17:58), 191–211. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-8151-9.ch007

Yang, M. (2023). AI-Powered Personalized Learning Journeys: Revolutionizing Information Management for College Students in Online Platforms. *Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management*, *8*(1). https://doi.org/10.55267/IADT.07.14079

Zhang, H. (2025). AI-driven innovation and entrepreneurship education: A K-means clustering approach for Chinese university students. *Discover Artificial Intelligence*, *5*(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s44163-025-00341-6

Zhang, J. (2024). Application of Artificial Intelligence Tools in Educational Assessment: Enhancing Teaching and Learning Management in Beijing Junior High Schools. *Eurasian Journal of Educational Research*, *2024*(112), 301–320. https://doi.org/10.14689/ejer.2024.112.017

Zhong, Y. (2025). Affordances, constraints, and implications of ChatGPT in education from a social-ecological perspective: A data mining approach. *Education and Information Technologies*, *30*(12), 16407–16440. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-13237-2