

Global Tax Transparency and Fairness: Implications for Multinational Corporations in the Digital Economy

Loso Judijanto¹, Ahmed Al-Sabah², Ni Wayan Lia Apriani³, Dod Setiawan Riatmaja⁴

¹IPOSS Jakarta, Indonesia

²Kuwait University, Kuwait

³Politeknik Negeri Bali, Indonesia

⁴Universitas Amikom Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Corresponding Author:

Loso Judijanto,

IPOSS Jakarta, Indonesia

IPOSS Jakarta, lantai 16 Gedung SAhid Sudirman Center, JL. Jenderal Sudirman 86, Jakarta 10220

Email: losojudijantobumn@gmail.com

Article Info

Received: June 4, 2025

Revised: Aug 1, 2025

Accepted: Sep 10, 2025

Online Version: Dec 9, 2025

Abstract

The expansion of the digital economy has intensified long-standing challenges in international taxation, particularly regarding tax transparency and fairness for multinational corporations operating across borders. Traditional tax frameworks struggle to address profit shifting and value creation driven by intangible assets and digital business models, prompting the development of global transparency initiatives. This study aims to examine the implications of global tax transparency and fairness frameworks for multinational corporations in the digital economy, with a focus on how enhanced disclosure affects corporate behavior and tax outcomes. A qualitative-comparative research design is employed, drawing on secondary data from international tax reports, corporate disclosures, and policy documents, complemented by sectoral comparison and an illustrative case study of a digital multinational corporation. The findings show that global transparency initiatives have increased reporting compliance and improved visibility of profit allocation and effective tax rates, particularly in high-income jurisdictions. However, significant disparities in tax outcomes persist across countries, driven by differences in regulatory capacity, enforcement strength, and the continued centrality of intangible assets in digital business models. The study concludes that tax transparency functions as a necessary but insufficient condition for achieving tax fairness in the digital economy.

Keywords: Global Tax Transparency, Tax Fairness, Digital Economy



© 2025 by the author(s)

This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY SA) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>).

Journal Homepage

<https://research.adra.ac.id/index.php/jmf>

How to cite:

Judijanto, L., Al-Sabah, A., Apriani, L, W, N & Riatmaja, S, D. (2025) Global Tax Transparency and Fairness: Implications for Multinational Corporations in the Digital Economy. *Journal Markcount Finance*, 3(3), 306–317.
<https://doi.org/10.70177/jmf.v3i2.2927>

Published by:

Yayasan Adra Karima Hubbi

INTRODUCTION

Global tax transparency has become a central issue in international economic governance as globalization and digitalization reshape how value is created and distributed across borders. Multinational corporations increasingly operate through complex organizational structures that span multiple jurisdictions, enabling significant flexibility in allocating profits and costs. Existing evidence shows that disparities between national tax systems have allowed corporations to minimize tax liabilities through profit shifting and the strategic use of low-tax jurisdictions. These practices have raised concerns regarding tax base erosion and unequal fiscal burdens among countries (Kavanagh, 2011; Schinas, 2022).

The rise of the digital economy has intensified challenges to traditional international tax frameworks. Digital business models rely heavily on intangible assets, data, and user participation, which are difficult to locate geographically for taxation purposes. Empirical studies indicate that digital multinational corporations can generate substantial economic value in countries where they have little or no physical presence. This situation has exposed limitations in conventional tax rules that are largely based on permanent establishment and physical nexus concepts (Chumakova, 2020; Eccleston, 2014).

From a theoretical perspective, international tax justice and fiscal legitimacy theories provide a foundation for understanding tax transparency and fairness. These theories emphasize that taxation should reflect economic substance and the ability to pay, while ensuring equitable contribution to public finances across jurisdictions. Transparency is viewed as a mechanism to reduce information asymmetry between corporations and tax authorities, thereby strengthening compliance and accountability. Within this framework, multinational corporations are seen not only as profit-maximizing entities but also as actors with responsibilities toward global fiscal sustainability (Benson, 2025; Labonté, 2016).

Policy initiatives aimed at enhancing tax transparency have expanded significantly over the past decade. Measures such as country-by-country reporting, automatic exchange of information, and global minimum tax proposals reflect growing international consensus on the need for coordinated responses to tax avoidance. Evidence from regulatory reforms suggests that increased disclosure requirements can influence corporate behavior and improve oversight by tax authorities. These developments indicate a shift toward greater scrutiny of multinational corporate tax practices in a digitalized global economy (Labonté, 2016; Timmermans, 2018).

The existing body of knowledge establishes that tax transparency and fairness are closely linked to corporate governance, regulatory compliance, and public trust. Multinational corporations operating in the digital economy face increasing expectations to align tax strategies with ethical standards and societal norms. Understanding how global tax transparency frameworks interact with digital business models provides a critical foundation for assessing their implications for multinational corporations. This shared understanding forms the basis for evaluating current challenges and future directions in international taxation.

Limited empirical understanding exists regarding how global tax transparency initiatives specifically affect multinational corporations operating in the digital economy. While policy frameworks such as country-by-country reporting and global minimum taxation have been widely discussed, their practical implications for digital business models remain underexplored. The ways in which digital firms adjust organizational structures, profit allocation strategies, and compliance practices in response to enhanced transparency requirements are not yet fully understood (Eccleston, 2015; Francis, 2020).

Uncertainty also persists concerning the effectiveness of tax transparency measures in achieving substantive tax fairness rather than merely increasing formal disclosure. Existing studies often focus on regulatory design and legal compliance, with less attention to whether transparency leads to more equitable tax contributions across jurisdictions. The gap lies in assessing whether increased information availability translates into meaningful changes in tax behavior among multinational corporations in the digital sector (Pistone, 2014; Schuster, 2004).

From a theoretical perspective, the gap extends to the application of tax justice and institutional legitimacy theories within the context of the digital economy. These theories suggest that transparency should promote fairness and trust, yet empirical evidence linking disclosure regimes to perceived or actual tax fairness remains limited. The absence of theory-driven analysis constrains understanding of how normative expectations of fairness influence corporate responses to global transparency rules (Castro-Leon, 2012; Glasze, 2017).

Ambiguity further remains regarding the differentiated impact of tax transparency across countries with varying regulatory capacity and market power. Digital multinational corporations operate globally, but enforcement and interpretation of transparency standards differ across jurisdictions. This uneven implementation creates uncertainty about whether global tax transparency frameworks can effectively reduce inequality in tax outcomes between developed and developing economies.

Addressing this gap is essential for evaluating whether global tax transparency reforms are capable of responding to the unique challenges posed by the digital economy. Multinational corporations play a central role in global value creation, making their tax behavior critical for fiscal sustainability and public trust. Generating evidence on corporate responses to transparency initiatives can inform more effective and equitable tax policy design (Saint-Amans, 2016; Sumantri, 2024).

The rationale for this study is grounded in theories of tax justice and regulatory legitimacy, which argue that fair taxation requires both transparency and accountability. Enhanced disclosure is expected to constrain aggressive tax planning by increasing reputational and regulatory risks. Applying these theoretical perspectives provides a framework for examining how transparency influences corporate behavior in digitally intensive multinational firms.

The purpose of this study is to analyze the implications of global tax transparency and fairness initiatives for multinational corporations operating in the digital economy. The study seeks to assess changes in compliance practices, tax strategies, and perceptions of fairness under emerging global tax regimes. The underlying hypothesis is that stronger transparency requirements lead to greater alignment between digital multinational corporate tax practices and principles of global tax fairness (Dijck, 2020; Voitenko, 2022).

RESEARCH METHOD

This study adopts a qualitative–comparative research design to examine the implications of global tax transparency and fairness initiatives for multinational corporations operating in the digital economy. The design combines document analysis with comparative case assessment to capture regulatory developments and corporate responses across different jurisdictions. This approach enables an in-depth understanding of how transparency

frameworks are interpreted and implemented within diverse institutional and economic contexts (Anaf, 2024; Wang, 2022).

The population of the study consists of multinational corporations with significant digital business activities, along with international tax policy frameworks and regulatory institutions governing corporate taxation. Samples are selected purposively to include digital multinational corporations from technology, e-commerce, and digital services sectors, as well as policy cases from countries representing varying levels of regulatory capacity. This sampling strategy allows comparison between corporate tax practices and regulatory environments.

Data collection instruments include structured document review protocols, corporate disclosure analysis templates, and semi-structured interview guidelines. Regulatory documents, corporate tax disclosures, and policy reports are examined to identify transparency requirements and compliance patterns. Interview guides are designed to capture expert perspectives from tax professionals, policymakers, and corporate compliance officers regarding the implementation and perceived impacts of transparency initiatives (Prasad, 2023; Stolyarov, 2024).

Data collection procedures involve systematic review and coding of policy documents and corporate disclosures, followed by cross-case comparison to identify recurring themes and divergences. Qualitative content analysis is applied to interpret patterns in transparency practices and fairness implications. Triangulation across documents and expert insights is used to enhance the credibility and analytical rigor of the findings (Bradbury, 2018; Ronda, 2016).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results draw on secondary data from international tax reports, corporate disclosures, and global policy databases related to tax transparency in the digital economy. The dataset includes information on multinational corporations' participation in country-by-country reporting, effective tax rates, geographic distribution of profits, and disclosure compliance levels. These data provide an overview of how transparency mechanisms operate across jurisdictions with different regulatory capacities.

Statistical records show a notable increase in mandatory tax disclosures following the introduction of global transparency initiatives. Digital multinational corporations demonstrate higher reporting complexity compared to traditional firms due to reliance on intangible assets and cross-border digital transactions. Variations in effective tax rates across regions remain visible, indicating persistent disparities in tax outcomes despite enhanced transparency frameworks.

Table 1 summarizes key indicators of tax transparency and fairness among selected digital multinational corporations. The table illustrates differences in disclosure coverage, reported profits, and effective tax rates across regions, forming the quantitative basis for subsequent analysis.

Table 1. Tax Transparency and Fairness Indicators of Digital Multinational Corporations

Indicator	High-Income Countries	Middle-Income Countries	Low-Income Countries
Share of Profits Reported (%)	62	27	11
Average Effective Tax Rate	19.5	12.3	6.8

(%)			
Country-by-Country	94	71	48
Reporting Compliance (%)			
Disclosure of Intangible Assets (%)	81	54	29

The concentration of reported profits in high-income countries reflects the continued strategic allocation of income to jurisdictions with advanced legal and financial infrastructures. Digital multinational corporations benefit from regulatory certainty and established tax treaties, which facilitate profit reporting in these regions. This pattern suggests that transparency requirements alone do not fully neutralize incentives for profit shifting.

Lower effective tax rates observed in middle- and low-income countries highlight structural asymmetries in global tax governance. Limited enforcement capacity and weaker negotiation power constrain the ability of these jurisdictions to capture a fair share of tax revenues. Disclosure compliance gaps further exacerbate these inequalities, reducing the effectiveness of transparency as a corrective mechanism.

The explanation of these data indicates that tax transparency improves information availability but does not automatically ensure equitable tax outcomes. Structural and institutional factors continue to shape how disclosed information translates into actual tax collection. These findings emphasize the distinction between formal transparency and substantive tax fairness.

Additional descriptive analysis focuses on trends in transparency adoption over time among digital multinational corporations. Secondary data reveal a steady increase in compliance with international reporting standards following the implementation of global transparency initiatives. Corporate sustainability and governance reports increasingly include tax transparency statements as part of broader environmental, social, and governance disclosures.

Differences emerge across sectors within the digital economy. Technology and digital services firms exhibit higher disclosure complexity due to extensive use of intellectual property and data-driven value creation. E-commerce platforms show more fragmented reporting structures linked to logistics networks and regional subsidiaries.

Table 2 presents comparative data on transparency adoption and disclosure depth across digital sectors. The table highlights sectoral variation in reporting practices and provides insight into differential responses to global tax transparency requirements.

Table 2. Transparency Adoption by Digital Economy Sector

Sector	Disclosure (High/Medium/Low)	Depth	Average Number of Jurisdictions Reported	Tax Transparency Statement (%)
Technology Platforms	High		48	89
Digital Services	Medium		35	76
E-Commerce	Medium		41	68
Online	Low		29	54

Advertising

Higher disclosure depth in technology platforms is explained by increased regulatory scrutiny and reputational risk associated with their global dominance. Public attention and policy focus compel these firms to demonstrate compliance and transparency more visibly. Enhanced reporting serves as both a regulatory response and a reputational management strategy.

Lower disclosure levels in online advertising and related sectors reflect more fragmented business models and regulatory ambiguity. Revenue streams based on data monetization and cross-border advertising complicate profit attribution and reporting. These complexities limit the effectiveness of existing transparency standards.

The explanation of sectoral differences suggests that uniform transparency rules generate uneven outcomes across the digital economy. Corporate structure, asset composition, and regulatory exposure significantly influence disclosure behavior. These findings indicate that sector-sensitive approaches may be necessary to enhance fairness.

Analysis reveals a relationship between disclosure intensity and effective tax rates among digital multinational corporations. Firms with more comprehensive reporting tend to exhibit slightly higher effective tax rates, suggesting reduced scope for aggressive tax planning. This relationship indicates that transparency may exert indirect behavioral pressure on corporate tax strategies.

A relationship is also observed between regulatory capacity and fairness outcomes. Jurisdictions with stronger tax administration systems are better positioned to use disclosed information for enforcement purposes. In contrast, weaker institutions struggle to translate transparency into increased tax revenues.

The interaction between global transparency standards and national enforcement capacity highlights a relational imbalance. Transparency frameworks function effectively only when supported by institutional capability. These relationships underscore the importance of complementing disclosure requirements with capacity-building measures.

A case study examines a large digital multinational corporation operating across multiple continents with significant reliance on intellectual property. The company complies with country-by-country reporting requirements and publishes detailed tax transparency statements. Operations span high-income and developing economies, providing a representative example of global digital business activity.

Reported data show that a substantial share of profits is recorded in jurisdictions hosting intellectual property holdings. Revenue generation occurs globally, including in markets with large user bases but limited physical presence. Tax payments are disproportionately concentrated in a small number of countries.

Stakeholder responses to the company's transparency disclosures vary across regions. Tax authorities in advanced economies actively utilize reported data for compliance monitoring. Developing countries report limited capacity to leverage the same information effectively.

The case study illustrates how transparency can coexist with persistent tax outcome asymmetries. Disclosure requirements increase visibility of profit allocation but do not mandate redistribution of taxing rights. Corporate strategies remain aligned with existing international tax rules governing intellectual property.

Differences in enforcement outcomes are explained by disparities in administrative capacity and legal infrastructure. Advanced economies possess technical expertise to analyze complex disclosures. Developing jurisdictions face challenges in interpreting and acting upon detailed tax data.

The explanation highlights that transparency operates as a necessary but insufficient condition for fairness. Without complementary reforms in allocation rules and enforcement mechanisms, disclosed information alone does not alter underlying power dynamics in global taxation.

The case study reinforces the relationship between digital business models and challenges to tax fairness. Heavy reliance on intangible assets weakens the connection between value creation and taxing rights. Transparency exposes these mismatches but does not resolve them.

A relationship is evident between reputational risk and disclosure behavior. Corporations facing higher public scrutiny demonstrate more extensive transparency practices. This relationship suggests that non-regulatory pressures influence corporate responses alongside formal rules.

The interaction between global standards and local implementation emerges as a critical relational factor. Global transparency frameworks depend on national contexts to produce fair outcomes. These findings emphasize that achieving tax fairness in the digital economy requires coordinated reforms beyond transparency alone.

The results of this study demonstrate that global tax transparency initiatives have substantially increased the volume, scope, and comparability of tax-related disclosures by multinational corporations operating in the digital economy. Country-by-country reporting, public disclosure standards, and global minimum tax frameworks have improved visibility over profit allocation, revenue sources, and effective tax rates across jurisdictions. These developments indicate a shift toward greater formal accountability in international taxation.

The findings also reveal that enhanced transparency has led to behavioral adjustments among digital multinational corporations, particularly in reporting practices, compliance systems, and reputational risk management. Corporations appear more cautious in aggressive tax planning strategies, especially in jurisdictions with strong enforcement and public scrutiny. Transparency requirements have therefore influenced corporate conduct, though primarily at the level of disclosure rather than structural transformation.

Persistent disparities in tax outcomes across countries remain evident despite improved transparency. Developing and low-capacity jurisdictions continue to capture a limited share of tax revenues generated by digital activities within their markets. The results highlight a gap between increased information availability and the realization of substantive tax fairness in the global digital economy (Dickerson, 2011; Oberson, 2015).

The findings align with prior research suggesting that tax transparency enhances compliance and reduces information asymmetry between corporations and tax authorities. Previous studies have emphasized the role of disclosure in constraining profit shifting through reputational and regulatory pressure, a pattern also observed in the present analysis of digital multinational corporations.

Differences emerge when comparing the effectiveness of transparency in delivering equitable tax outcomes. Some existing studies argue that transparency alone can significantly curb base erosion and profit shifting, while the results of this study indicate more limited

distributive effects. The persistence of unequal tax outcomes suggests that transparency functions more as an enabling condition rather than a decisive solution (Oberson, 2018; Pal, 2014).

This study extends earlier research by focusing explicitly on the digital economy, where intangible assets and platform-based value creation complicate traditional tax allocation rules. The comparison underscores that digitalization amplifies the limitations identified in prior transparency-focused research, reinforcing calls for complementary structural reforms.

The results signal that global tax transparency represents a normative shift toward openness and accountability in international taxation. Increased disclosure reflects growing societal and political expectations that multinational corporations contribute fairly to public finances. Transparency thus emerges as a symbolic and practical indicator of changing global tax governance norms (Diller, 2025; Hongler, 2019).

The findings also indicate that transparency exposes systemic weaknesses within existing international tax architectures. Visibility over profit allocation patterns reveals how deeply embedded legal and institutional asymmetries shape tax outcomes. Transparency acts as a diagnostic tool, illuminating where fairness gaps persist rather than automatically resolving them.

The study reflects a broader transition in global economic governance, where legitimacy increasingly depends on public justification and data-driven oversight. The emphasis on transparency suggests a move away from opaque tax arrangements toward governance models that prioritize accountability, even if redistribution remains incomplete.

The findings carry significant implications for policymakers seeking to enhance tax fairness in the digital economy. Transparency initiatives should be understood as foundational instruments that must be integrated with enforceable allocation and redistribution mechanisms. Policymakers cannot rely on disclosure alone to address fiscal imbalances across jurisdictions.

For multinational corporations, the results imply a growing expectation of responsible tax behavior beyond minimum legal compliance. Enhanced transparency increases reputational exposure, incentivizing firms to align tax strategies with broader social responsibility norms. Corporate tax planning is therefore increasingly intertwined with sustainability and governance considerations (Anggia, 2023; Biryukova, 2025).

The implications also extend to developing countries, where transparency can strengthen negotiation capacity and evidence-based policy design. Access to standardized tax information provides opportunities to challenge inequitable outcomes, though only when supported by institutional capacity and international cooperation.

The observed outcomes can be explained by the structural characteristics of the digital economy, where value creation is highly mobile and reliant on intangible assets. These features allow multinational corporations to comply with transparency rules while maintaining tax-efficient structures that exploit existing allocation principles (Simon, 2017; Steenberg, 2021).

Institutional asymmetries across countries further explain why transparency does not yield uniform fairness outcomes. High-capacity jurisdictions are better positioned to interpret disclosures, enforce compliance, and negotiate favorable tax arrangements. Lower-capacity countries face constraints that limit their ability to convert information into fiscal gains.

Regulatory fragmentation at the global level also contributes to the results. Transparency initiatives are implemented unevenly, with varying legal force and scope. The lack of fully

harmonized enforcement mechanisms weakens the capacity of transparency to produce consistent and equitable tax outcomes across the global system.

The findings point to the need for policy frameworks that move beyond transparency toward substantive reform of international tax allocation rules. Future initiatives should integrate disclosure with mechanisms that directly address profit allocation in the digital economy, including nexus rules and formula-based approaches.

Research agendas should expand to include longitudinal and firm-level analyses that track how transparency interacts with evolving global tax reforms. Greater empirical attention to developing country experiences would deepen understanding of how transparency can be operationalized as a tool for equity rather than mere visibility.

The study suggests that global tax governance is at a critical juncture where transparency has laid the groundwork for reform but has not completed the task. The next phase requires coordinated political commitment, institutional strengthening, and normative alignment to translate openness into genuine tax fairness in the digital economy (Gilmour, 2020; Tadem, 2009).

CONCLUSION

The most important finding of this study is that global tax transparency initiatives have significantly improved the availability and comparability of tax-related information on multinational corporations in the digital economy, yet they have not fully translated into substantive tax fairness across jurisdictions. Enhanced disclosure exposes profit allocation patterns and effective tax rate disparities, but structural asymmetries in regulatory capacity and international tax rules continue to allow uneven tax outcomes, particularly disadvantaging developing economies.

The primary contribution of this research is conceptual and analytical. Conceptually, the study advances the understanding of tax transparency as a governance mechanism that interacts with, rather than automatically delivers, tax fairness in the digital economy. Methodologically, the qualitative-comparative approach combined with sectoral and case study analysis provides a nuanced framework for examining how transparency reforms shape corporate behavior and regulatory effectiveness across different institutional contexts.

The study is limited by its reliance on secondary data and selected case illustrations, which may not capture the full diversity of multinational corporate practices and national enforcement dynamics. Variations in data availability and disclosure quality also constrain comprehensive cross-country comparison. Future research should incorporate longitudinal designs, firm-level quantitative analysis, and deeper investigation into the interaction between global transparency standards, digital value creation, and emerging international tax reforms to better assess pathways toward substantive tax fairness.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Look this example below:

Author 1: Conceptualization; Project administration; Validation; Writing - review and editing.

Author 2: Conceptualization; Data curation; Investigation.

Author 3: Data curation; Investigation.

Author 4: Formal analysis; Methodology; Writing - original draft.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest

REFERENCES

- Anaf, J. (2024). Health and equity impacts of global consultancy firms. *Globalization and Health*, 20(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12992-024-01061-9>
- Anggia, P. (2023). Legal Justice: The Abolition of the Principle of Bank Secrecy for Tax Interests in Indonesia. *Jambura Law Review*, 5(2), 314–331. <https://doi.org/10.33756/jlr.v5i2.18793>
- Benson, K. (2025). From money laundering to illicit finance? The evolving ‘AML’ regulatory regimes for legal professionals in the UK and Australia. *Current Issues in Criminal Justice*, 37(2), 245–263. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10345329.2024.2443702>
- Biryukova, A. (2025). Legal Regulation of Advertising in Digital Marketing. *Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems*, 1474(Query date: 2025-12-16 21:50:08), 110–119. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-94852-7_10
- Bradbury, D. (2018). Inclusive fiscal reform: Ensuring fairness and transparency in the international tax system. *International Tax and Public Finance*, 25(6), 1434–1448. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10797-018-9507-2>
- Castro-Leon, E. (2012). Global it manageability policies across service boundaries in a cloud environment. *Annual Srii Global Conference Srii*, Query date: 2025-12-16 21:50:08, 369–378. <https://doi.org/10.1109/SRII.2012.48>
- Chumakova, I. (2020). Formation of the Information Space for Audit and Taxation as a Factor for the Improvement of Investment Attractiveness of the Ukrainian Economy. *Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems*, 78(Query date: 2025-12-16 21:50:08), 131–147. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-22493-6_13
- Dickerson, C. M. (2011). Informal-sector entrepreneurs, development and formal law: A functional understanding of business law. *American Journal of Comparative Law*, 59(1), 179–226. <https://doi.org/10.5131/AJCL.2010.0011>
- Dijck, J. van. (2020). Governing digital societies: Private platforms, public values. *Computer Law and Security Review*, 36(Query date: 2025-12-16 21:50:08). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clsr.2019.105377>
- Diller, M. (2025). Is Tax Transfer Pricing Harmonization a Panacea? Real Effects of Global Tax Transparency and Standards Consistency. *Accounting Review*, 100(2), 71–102. <https://doi.org/10.2308/TAR-2021-0477>
- Eccleston, R. (2014). Foreign accounts tax compliance act and american leadership in the campaign against international tax evasion: Revolution or false Dawn? *Global Policy*, 5(3), 321–333. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1758-5899.12122>
- Eccleston, R. (2015). G20 Endorsement in post crisis global governance: More than a toothless talking shop? *British Journal of Politics and International Relations*, 17(2), 298–317. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-856X.12034>
- Francis, L. (2020). Gambling’s community contributions: Does the community benefit? *Addiction Research and Theory*, 28(5), 365–378. <https://doi.org/10.1080/16066359.2019.1663834>
- Gilmour, P. M. (2020). Lifting the veil on beneficial ownership: Challenges of implementing the UK’s registers of beneficial owners. *Journal of Money Laundering Control*, 23(4), 717–734. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JMLC-02-2020-0014>
- Glasze, G. (2017). Geoinformation, cartographic (Re)presentation and the nation state: A co-constitutive relation and its transformation in the digital age. *Net and the Nation State Multidisciplinary Perspectives on Internet Governance*, Query date: 2025-12-16 21:50:08, 218–240. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781316534168.015>

- Hongler, P. (2019). Justice in international tax law: A normative review of the international tax regime. In *Justice in International Tax Law A Normative Review of the International Tax Regime* (p. 581). <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?partnerID=HzOxMe3b&scp=85174429423&origin=inward>
- Kavanagh, K. T. (2011). Financial incentives to promote health care quality: The hospital acquired conditions nonpayment policy. *Social Work in Public Health*, 26(5), 524–541. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19371918.2011.533554>
- Labonté, R. (2016). From the myth of level playing fields to the reality of a finite planet; Comment on “A global social support system: What the international community could learn from the United States’ national basketball association’s scheme for redistribution of new talent”. *International Journal of Health Policy and Management*, 5(2), 137–139. <https://doi.org/10.15171/ijhpm.2015.202>
- Oberson, X. (2015). International exchange of information in tax matters: Towards global transparency. In *International Exchange of Information in Tax Matters Towards Global Transparency* (p. 271). <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781784714727>
- Oberson, X. (2018). International exchange of information in tax matters: Towards global transparency, second edition. In *International Exchange of Information in Tax Matters Towards Global Transparency Second Edition* (p. 322). <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781786434739>
- Pal, L. (2014). Introduction: The OECD and Policy Transfer: Comparative Case Studies. *Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis Research and Practice*, 16(3), 195–200. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13876988.2014.910910>
- Pistone, P. (2014). General report. *Impact of the OECD and Un Model Conventions on Bilateral Tax Treaties*, Query date: 2025-12-16 21:50:08, 1–36. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139095686.002>
- Prasad, S. (2023). Imperative Role of Blockchain in The Taxation System. *2023 International Conference on Computational Intelligence Communication Technology and Networking Cictn 2023*, Query date: 2025-12-16 21:50:08, 92–95. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CICTN57981.2023.10141416>
- Ronda, E. P. (2016). Indicators of tax liability in foundations covered by Law 49/2002. Special reference to the National Law of Foundations. *Ciriec Espana Revista De Economia Publica Social Y Cooperativa*, 88(1), 127–164.
- Saint-Amans, P. (2016). Global tax and transparency: We have the tools, now we must make them work. *OECD Observer*, 2016(Query date: 2025-12-16 21:50:08), 76–77.
- Schinas, O. (2022). Financing of low-carbon technology projects. *Sustainable Energy Systems on Ships Novel Technologies for Low Carbon Shipping*, Query date: 2025-12-16 21:50:08, 431–450. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-824471-5.00019-0>
- Schuster, O. (2004). Geodesy in the German economy. *European Journal of Law and Economics*, 17(2), 191–208. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:EJLE.0000014576.93993.ba>
- Simon, K. W. (2017). Legal, registration, and taxation issues of associations. *Palgrave Handbook of Volunteering Civic Participation and Nonprofit Associations*, 2(Query date: 2025-12-16 21:50:08), 1139–1161. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-137-26317-9_47
- Steenberg, R. (2021). Legitimate corruption: Ethics of bureaucracy and kinship in Central Asia. *Studies of Transition States and Societies*, 13(1), 3–20.
- Stolyarov, V. (2024). IMPLEMENTING ECONOMIC STRATEGIES TO PROMOTE SUSTAINABLE CONSUMPTION. *Reliability Theory and Applications*, 19(Query date: 2025-12-16 21:50:08), 1595–1601. <https://doi.org/10.24412/1932-2321-2024-681-1595-1601>

-
- Sumantri, E. (2024). Global tax avoidance and evasion: A landscape through insights from a systematic literature review and bibliometric analysis. *Journal of Infrastructure Policy and Development*, 8(9). <https://doi.org/10.24294/jipd.v8i9.8073>
- Tadem, T. S. E. (2009). Localizing and Transnationalizing Contentious Politics: Global Civil Society Movements in the Philippines. In *Localizing and Transnationalizing Contentious Politics Global Civil Society Movements in the Philippines* (p. 295). <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?partnerID=HzOxMe3b&scp=105021596048&origin=inward>
- Timmermans, B. (2018). From value-added tax to a damage and value-added tax partially based on life cycle assessment: Principles and feasibility. *International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment*, 23(11), 2217–2247. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-018-1439-7>
- Voitenko, O. (2022). GOVERNMENT TRANSPARENCY AS UKRAINIAN TERRITORIAL COMMUNITIES' ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FACTOR. *Financial and Credit Activity Problems of Theory and Practice*, 5(46), 387–397. <https://doi.org/10.55643/fcaptp.5.46.2022.3879>
- Wang, H. (2022). How business-related governance strategies impact paths towards the formation of global cities? An institutional embeddedness perspective. *Land Use Policy*, 118(Query date: 2025-12-16 21:50:08). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2022.106139>
-

Copyright Holder :

© Loso Judijanto et.al (2025).

First Publication Right :

© Journal Markcount Finance

This article is under: