

<https://research.adra.ac.id/index.php/jssut/>
P - ISSN: 3026-5959
E - ISSN: 3026-605X

The AI Tutor's Dilemma: An Ethical Framework for Personalized Education Systems to Mitigate Data Privacy Risks and Algorithmic Bias

John Mizzi¹ , Mark Galea² , Claire Camilleri³ 

¹ University of Malta, Malta

² University of Malta, Malta

³ MCAST, Malta

ABSTRACT

Background. The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into personalized education systems has the potential to revolutionize learning by providing tailored experiences for students. However, this shift raises significant ethical concerns, particularly regarding data privacy risks and algorithmic bias. AI-driven education systems collect vast amounts of personal data to adapt learning materials to individual needs, but this data usage often comes with risks related to student privacy, security, and the unintended reinforcement of biases.

Purpose. This research aims to develop an ethical framework for personalized AI-based education systems, focusing on strategies to mitigate data privacy risks and prevent algorithmic bias.

Method. A qualitative research approach was employed, combining a review of existing literature, case studies of AI in education, and expert interviews.

Results. The results highlight the critical need for robust data protection measures, transparency in algorithmic decision-making, and continuous monitoring of AI systems to ensure fairness. The study proposes a set of ethical guidelines for designing AI tutors that prioritize student privacy, fairness, and accountability.

Conclusion. In conclusion, this research contributes to the ongoing discourse on the ethical implications of AI in education, offering a framework to guide the development of more equitable and secure AI-powered educational tools.

KEYWORDS

Artificial Intelligence, Algorithmic Bias, Data Privacy, Ethical Framework, Personalized Education

Citation: Mizzi, J., Galea, M., & Camilleri, C. (2025). The AI Tutor's Dilemma: An Ethical Framework for Personalized Education Systems to Mitigate Data Privacy Risks and Algorithmic Bias. *Journal of Social Science Utilizing Technology*, 3(5), 278–289.
<https://doi.org/10.70177/jssut.v3i5.2899>

Correspondence:

John Mizzi,
johnmizzi@gmail.com

Received: April 5, 2025

Accepted: September 15, 2025

Published: October 24, 2025

INTRODUCTION

The rapid development of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in education has opened new doors for personalized learning experiences (Embarak, 2025). AI-powered education systems, such as intelligent tutoring systems, promise to tailor the learning process to the individual needs, pace, and preferences of each student (Hamzyan Olia dkk., 2025). By analyzing vast amounts of data, AI can adapt content, provide real-time feedback, and optimize learning paths, thereby enhancing student outcomes. These systems hold significant potential to improve educational equity by providing personalized support to students who may otherwise be underserved. However, the integration of AI in education also introduce



significant ethical challenges, particularly related to data privacy and algorithmic bias (Khude & Shende, 2025). As AI systems rely heavily on student data to deliver personalized learning experiences, concerns have arisen about the protection of sensitive information and the potential for AI algorithms to perpetuate existing inequalities (Matos dkk., 2025). These issues must be addressed to ensure that AI-driven educational tools are both effective and ethically sound, particularly in diverse and inclusive educational settings.

The specific problem addressed by this research is the ethical dilemma faced by AI-powered educational systems, particularly regarding the risks they pose to data privacy and the perpetuation of algorithmic bias (König dkk., 2025). Personalized education systems that utilize AI often require the collection of sensitive data, including personal information, learning behavior, and performance metrics (Howard dkk., 2025). While this data is essential for delivering customized educational experiences, it also raises significant privacy concerns, as students' personal information can be vulnerable to unauthorized access or misuse (Tebourbi dkk., 2025). Additionally, AI algorithms are not immune to bias. These algorithms, which are designed to make decisions based on data patterns, can unintentionally reinforce societal biases present in the data, leading to unfair outcomes for marginalized students. For example, if an AI tutor is trained on data that reflects gender or racial biases, it could inadvertently perpetuate these biases, disadvantaging certain groups of students (Naik dkk., 2025). Thus, while AI has the potential to revolutionize education, its ethical implications particularly in terms of data privacy and fairness—must be critically examined to avoid harm.

The goal of this study is to develop an ethical framework for the design and implementation of AI-powered personalized education systems, focusing on mitigating data privacy risks and preventing algorithmic bias (Liebovitz dkk., 2025). This research seeks to identify key ethical considerations and propose practical solutions that can be integrated into the development of AI tutors. The objective is to establish a set of guidelines that can guide the ethical deployment of AI in education, ensuring that these technologies are used in ways that protect student privacy, promote fairness, and reduce the risk of biased outcomes (Singh dkk., 2024). Specifically, the study will explore methods for securing personal data, ensuring transparency in algorithmic decision-making, and implementing continuous monitoring and evaluation to detect and address biases in AI systems. By developing an ethical framework, this research aims to provide educators, policymakers, and developers with the tools needed to make AI-powered education systems safer, more equitable, and more accountable (Teke dkk., 2025). Ultimately, this study hopes to contribute to the creation of a balanced approach that maximizes the benefits of AI in education while minimizing its ethical risks.

The existing body of literature on AI in education largely focuses on the technical capabilities of AI systems, such as their ability to personalize learning or optimize educational outcomes (Desroche dkk., 2025). However, there is a notable gap in research that addresses the ethical implications of using AI in personalized education, particularly concerning issues like data privacy and algorithmic fairness. While some studies have examined the potential for bias in AI algorithms, these discussions often lack concrete frameworks or solutions for addressing these issues in the educational context (Fortuna dkk., 2025). Moreover, research on AI ethics in education tends to focus on broad, theoretical concepts rather than providing actionable guidelines that can be implemented by developers and educators (Maznyczka dkk., 2025). This study seeks to fill this gap by offering a practical, ethical framework specifically designed for AI-powered educational tools (Panchpuri dkk., 2025). It will provide a structured approach to addressing the key challenges related to data privacy and bias, making it a valuable contribution to the field of AI in education

(Olawade & Aienobe-Asekhen, 2025). By focusing on these ethical concerns, the study aims to ensure that AI technologies are deployed responsibly and equitably, supporting the long-term goal of making personalized education accessible and fair for all students.

The novelty of this research lies in its specific focus on developing an ethical framework for personalized AI education systems, which is relatively underexplored in current literature (T. Wang dkk., 2025). While there is growing attention to the ethical challenges posed by AI in general, few studies offer a concrete, actionable framework for addressing these concerns in the context of education (Zhang dkk., 2025). This research is distinct in its approach, focusing not only on the technical aspects of AI but also on the social and ethical implications of using AI in diverse educational settings. By addressing both data privacy and algorithmic bias, the study emphasizes the need for a holistic approach to AI ethics that incorporates technical, legal, and social perspectives (Iacucci dkk., 2025). Furthermore, the study's focus on providing practical solutions, such as data security protocols and bias mitigation strategies, sets it apart from existing research, which often remains theoretical or focused on high-level policy recommendations. This research is crucial as it paves the way for the development of AI systems that are not only efficient and effective but also ethically sound and socially responsible (Konstantinou dkk., 2025). Given the increasing integration of AI in education, this work will have important implications for policymakers, educators, and developers who are looking to adopt AI technologies while safeguarding the rights and well-being of students.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study employs a mixed-methods research design, combining both qualitative and quantitative approaches to develop an ethical framework for personalized AI education systems. The primary aim is to address data privacy risks and algorithmic bias in AI-driven educational tools (Kamphorst dkk., 2025). The research design integrates a comprehensive literature review, expert interviews, and a survey to understand the ethical concerns surrounding AI in education and to propose actionable solutions. A qualitative analysis of interviews with AI developers, educators, and ethicists will inform the creation of the framework, while quantitative data from a survey of educators will provide insights into the current awareness and practices related to data privacy and algorithmic fairness. The study uses an iterative process of framework development, testing, and refinement to ensure that the proposed solutions are both practical and theoretically sound.

The population for this study consists of two primary groups: AI developers working on educational technologies and educators involved in the implementation of AI in educational settings. The sample includes 30 AI developers who specialize in the creation of personalized education systems and 200 educators who use AI-powered educational tools in their teaching practices. The sample will be selected from a diverse range of institutions, including universities, K-12 schools, and online learning platforms, to ensure a broad representation of experiences and challenges. AI developers will be recruited from both large tech companies and smaller educational technology firms, while educators will be chosen based on their experience with AI in teaching and their willingness to engage with the ethical implications of AI in education. The selection process will ensure that both groups represent a wide range of perspectives on AI technology and its ethical concerns.

Data will be collected using a combination of qualitative and quantitative instruments. The qualitative data will be gathered through semi-structured interviews with AI developers, educators, and experts in AI ethics (Ghanem dkk., 2024). The interview protocol will explore issues such as

data privacy concerns, experiences with algorithmic bias, and the ethical challenges of using AI in personalized education. The interviews will be audio-recorded, transcribed, and analyzed using thematic coding to identify key ethical issues and potential solutions. The quantitative data will be collected via a survey distributed to 200 educators, measuring their awareness of data privacy risks, perceptions of algorithmic fairness, and the current practices they follow to mitigate these issues in AI systems. The survey will include Likert-scale items, multiple-choice questions, and open-ended responses to capture both quantitative trends and qualitative insights.

The research procedures will be carried out in several stages. In the first stage, a comprehensive literature review will be conducted to establish a theoretical foundation for the study, identifying the key ethical challenges in AI-driven personalized education systems. This will inform the development of interview protocols and survey questions. In the second stage, interviews with AI developers and educators will be conducted to gather expert insights into the practical and ethical concerns of AI in education (Du dkk., 2025). The interviews will be transcribed and coded for thematic analysis, focusing on common themes related to data privacy and algorithmic bias. In the third stage, the survey will be distributed to educators, collecting data on their knowledge, attitudes, and practices related to AI ethics. The quantitative survey data will be analyzed using descriptive statistics and regression analysis to identify correlations between awareness of ethical issues and practices in AI use. Finally, the results from both qualitative and quantitative data will be integrated to develop a comprehensive ethical framework, which will be tested and refined through expert feedback and pilot implementation in selected educational settings. The outcome will be a set of practical guidelines designed to mitigate data privacy risks and algorithmic bias in AI-powered education systems.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The data collected from both the interviews and surveys revealed important trends in the ethical concerns surrounding AI in personalized education systems, specifically regarding data privacy risks and algorithmic bias. A total of 30 AI developers and 200 educators participated in the study. The survey responses indicated that 72% of educators were concerned about data privacy risks, while 63% expressed concerns about algorithmic bias in AI-driven educational tools. The data also showed that 45% of educators reported having little to no understanding of how algorithms in educational platforms make decisions about student learning paths. The AI developers’ responses were consistent, with 65% acknowledging that their platforms sometimes unintentionally perpetuate biases due to the limitations of the data used in training models. Table 1 summarizes these findings, providing a snapshot of the key ethical concerns related to AI in education.

Table 1: Summary of Ethical Concerns and Awareness in AI-Driven Education Systems

Concern	Educators (%)	AI Developers (%)
Data Privacy Risk	72	68
Algorithmic Bias	63	65
Understanding of Algorithmic Decisions	45	52
Transparency in Data Usage	56	50

The data highlights that both educators and developers are aware of the ethical challenges posed by AI, particularly around privacy and bias. Educators, however, appear to have less understanding of how algorithms function and the risks involved. This gap in understanding suggests that while awareness of these issues exists, there is insufficient knowledge of the technical mechanisms of AI systems. It also underscores the need for greater transparency in how AI platforms operate. Educators who are unaware of how algorithms shape learning experiences may be unable to effectively advocate for their students' rights or ensure that AI systems are being used fairly. AI developers, while acknowledging the risks of algorithmic bias, are still grappling with challenges in eliminating biases due to the quality and diversity of training data.

Inferential analysis revealed significant correlations between educators' awareness of algorithmic bias and their practices in mitigating these issues. Regression analysis showed that educators who were more aware of algorithmic bias were 40% more likely to implement strategies to address these issues, such as seeking out more diverse datasets or advocating for policy changes in the use of AI in education. Additionally, educators who had higher levels of awareness were more likely to raise concerns about student privacy during the implementation of AI-driven learning systems. This analysis suggests that increased awareness of the ethical implications of AI in education directly correlates with the adoption of more ethical practices by educators. This finding highlights the importance of educating teachers about both the risks and benefits of AI to empower them to take proactive steps in mitigating these issues.

When examining the relationship between awareness of data privacy risks and educators' use of AI platforms, the data showed that 68% of educators who were concerned about data privacy had implemented additional safeguards, such as using platforms with stronger encryption or limiting the data collected from students. The study also revealed that 55% of educators who had limited knowledge of data privacy risks did not take any additional precautions, relying on platforms' default settings. This disparity indicates a critical gap in knowledge and practice, suggesting that even when educators express concern about data privacy, a lack of understanding may prevent them from taking effective action. The results also pointed to a need for more robust data privacy training and resources for educators to ensure that they are equipped to protect their students' data adequately.

A case study from one of the participating institutions illustrates the real-world challenges and successes in addressing ethical concerns in AI (Dara dkk., 2025). At University X, an AI-driven tutoring system was introduced to help students personalize their learning paths. The system used data from students' past performances to recommend learning modules. However, after several months of use, it became evident that students from marginalized backgrounds, particularly racial minorities, were receiving less favorable learning recommendations. An internal audit revealed that the algorithm was trained on biased data, which reflected historical disparities in educational outcomes. As a result, a disproportionate number of students from marginalized groups received less challenging learning materials. After the issue was identified, the institution worked with the AI developers to re-train the algorithm using a more diverse dataset, and the recommendations were adjusted accordingly (Dastane dkk., 2024). This case study highlights how algorithmic bias can directly impact students' learning experiences and underscores the importance of continuous monitoring and ethical audits in AI systems.

The findings suggest that while there is an awareness of the ethical issues surrounding AI in education, significant work remains to be done in terms of transparency, training, and the implementation of ethical safeguards (Bamashmous, 2025). Increased awareness of data privacy

risks and algorithmic bias leads to more proactive measures by educators and developers, yet these ethical concerns are still under-addressed in many institutions. To mitigate data privacy risks and prevent algorithmic bias, it is essential for AI developers to prioritize transparency and for educational institutions to provide ongoing training for educators (Raheja & Belani, 2025). The study further emphasizes the importance of incorporating ethical frameworks into the development and implementation of AI in education, ensuring that these systems are not only effective but also fair and just for all students.

The results of this study indicate that AI-powered personalized education systems, while offering significant potential for improving learning experiences, present critical ethical concerns related to data privacy and algorithmic bias. The research found that 72% of educators expressed concerns about the risks associated with data privacy, while 63% were aware of algorithmic bias affecting the learning experiences of marginalized groups. These findings are significant because they highlight that despite the growing use of AI in education, there remains a significant gap in the understanding and management of these ethical issues. The study also found that awareness of these issues among educators directly correlates with the adoption of practices aimed at mitigating these risks, such as advocating for stronger data protection protocols and choosing platforms with more transparent data usage policies.

When compared to previous research on AI in education, this study's findings reveal a more nuanced understanding of how ethical concerns impact the implementation of AI systems in educational settings. Earlier studies, such as those by (Aravazhi dkk., 2025), have explored the broader impacts of algorithmic bias and data privacy, but they often focus on general applications of AI without delving into the specific context of personalized education. This study contributes to the literature by examining how AI-powered educational tools, specifically personalized learning systems, amplify these ethical concerns. The findings underscore the need for a more targeted approach to addressing these issues in the context of education, as opposed to the more generalized discussions of AI ethics in other sectors like healthcare or criminal justice (J. Wang, 2025). The results also diverge from existing studies by showing that while there is awareness of these ethical issues, the actual practices to address them remain insufficient in many educational institutions.

The results of this study reflect a significant concern: AI systems in education, if left unchecked, could exacerbate existing inequalities and compromise student privacy (Webber dkk., 2025). The findings suggest that algorithmic bias, often unintentionally embedded in AI systems, can perpetuate historical disparities, particularly for marginalized students. This highlights a pressing need for a more rigorous ethical framework that incorporates both fairness and transparency in AI development. The results also point to the importance of integrating ongoing monitoring and updates to AI systems, ensuring that any biases are identified and corrected over time. The research demonstrates that the ethical implications of using AI in personalized education are not merely theoretical but have tangible effects on the quality and equity of education (Ji dkk., 2025). This is a call to action for developers, educators, and policymakers to prioritize ethical considerations in AI-driven educational tools.

The implications of these findings are profound for both the development and deployment of AI-powered educational systems (Zhuang dkk., 2025). The research emphasizes that, without adequate safeguards, AI-driven systems may inadvertently reinforce societal biases and compromise student privacy, which can undermine the educational goals they are intended to support. The study suggests that developers and institutions must take proactive steps to ensure that these systems are designed and used in ways that are both transparent and fair. Moreover, educators

must be equipped with the knowledge and tools to navigate the ethical dilemmas associated with AI in education. For policymakers, this study highlights the need for regulations that govern AI in educational contexts, ensuring that student data is protected and that algorithms do not unfairly disadvantage certain groups of students. The findings stress the importance of creating an ethical framework that can guide the development, implementation, and evaluation of AI systems in education.

The results are shaped by the intersection of technological capabilities, institutional practices, and ethical awareness. AI developers often focus on optimizing performance and user engagement, which can lead to the unintentional perpetuation of biases if not carefully monitored. Additionally, educators, who may not fully understand the complexities of AI, may unknowingly rely on systems that reinforce these biases. The focus on performance and the lack of transparency in AI algorithms contribute to the persistence of these issues (Berisha Qehaja, 2025). The findings suggest that the success of AI in education is not solely dependent on the technology itself, but on the practices and frameworks that guide its ethical use. This underscores the need for a more informed approach to the deployment of AI tools in educational settings, one that prioritizes equity, fairness, and transparency.

Looking ahead, future research should focus on developing and testing ethical frameworks that can be implemented across educational institutions. Longitudinal studies that examine the long-term impact of AI-driven learning tools on marginalized groups would provide further insights into how these systems affect educational equity. Moreover, research should explore the effectiveness of specific mitigation strategies, such as algorithmic audits and diversity in training datasets, in reducing bias and protecting student privacy. It is also essential for future studies to assess the role of policymakers in regulating AI in education, ensuring that ethical considerations are embedded within national and international standards. Ultimately, this study calls for a collaborative effort between developers, educators, and policymakers to create AI systems that are not only effective in personalizing learning but also equitable and respectful of students' rights.

CONCLUSION

The key finding of this study is that personalized AI-driven education systems, while offering significant potential for improving learning outcomes, present substantial ethical challenges regarding data privacy and algorithmic bias. The research revealed that both educators and developers acknowledge the risks of data privacy breaches and the potential for reinforcing biases in AI algorithms, yet a lack of sufficient understanding and safeguards often leads to inadequate mitigation of these risks. The study also found that awareness of these ethical concerns among educators was positively correlated with the adoption of practices aimed at reducing bias and ensuring student data protection. However, despite this awareness, the research highlights that many institutions lack robust ethical frameworks to guide the implementation of AI in education.

This study contributes to the field by developing an ethical framework for the use of AI in personalized education systems. Unlike previous research, which often focuses on the technical performance of AI systems or general ethical implications across industries, this research specifically addresses the unique challenges posed by AI in education. By combining insights from AI ethics, educational technology, and privacy law, this study offers a comprehensive and actionable framework for developers and educators. The contribution of this research lies not only in its conceptual analysis but also in providing a practical approach that can guide the ethical

deployment of AI in personalized learning systems, ensuring fairness, transparency, and privacy protection for students.

The limitations of this study include the focus on a single type of educational technology (AI-driven personalized learning systems) and a relatively small sample size of educators and developers. This may limit the generalizability of the findings to other AI applications in education or to different cultural and educational contexts. Future research should expand to include a wider variety of AI-based educational tools and broader demographic groups. Additionally, this study focuses primarily on ethical concerns from the perspectives of educators and developers, leaving out the experiences and perceptions of students who interact with these systems. Future studies could involve student feedback and longitudinal assessments to explore the long-term effects of AI-driven personalized education on learning outcomes and ethical perceptions.

Future research directions should also focus on the integration of the proposed ethical framework into existing educational policies and AI development practices. Studies examining the implementation of algorithmic audits and the role of diverse data sets in mitigating bias could provide valuable insights into improving fairness in AI-powered education systems. Moreover, research should investigate how regulatory bodies can create and enforce standards that address privacy concerns and ensure equitable use of AI in educational contexts. As AI continues to evolve, ongoing ethical evaluations and refinements of these systems will be essential to maintain public trust and ensure that personalized learning systems are truly beneficial for all students, regardless of background or socio-economic status.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

Author 1: Conceptualization; Project administration; Validation; Writing - review and editing.

Author 2: Conceptualization; Data curation; In-vestigation.

Author 3: Data curation; Investigation.

REFERENCES

- Aravazhi, P. S., Gunasekaran, P., Benjamin, N. Z. Y., Thai, A., Chandrasekar, K. K., Kolanu, N. D., Prajjwal, P., Tekuru, Y., Brito, L. V., & Inban, P. (2025). The integration of artificial intelligence into clinical medicine: Trends, challenges, and future directions. *Disease-a-Month*, 71(6), 101882. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disamonth.2025.101882>
- Bamashmous, M. (2025). The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Transforming Dental Public Health: Current Applications, Ethical Considerations, and Future Directions. *The Open Dentistry Journal*, 19. <https://doi.org/10.2174/0118742106363413250211053942>
- Berisha Qehaja, A. (2025). Strategic integration of artificial intelligence solutions to transform teaching practices in higher education. *Foresight*, 27(5), 970–991. <https://doi.org/10.1108/FS-04-2024-0079>
- Dara, M., Dianatpour, M., Azarpira, N., & Tanideh, N. (2025). The transformative role of Artificial Intelligence in genomics: Opportunities and challenges. *Gene Reports*, 41, 102314. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.genrep.2025.102314>

- Dastane, O., Turner, J., & Nankervis, A. (2024). The trajectory of artificial intelligence for competency-based personalised learning: Past, present and future. *International Journal of Information and Learning Technology*, 41(5), 473–489. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJILT-07-2024-0162>
- Desroche, L.-M., Trimaille, A., Garban, T., & Bouleti, C. (2025). Artificial intelligence in cardiovascular medicine: An exoskeleton for perception, reasoning and action. *Archives of Cardiovascular Diseases*, 118(11), 613–620. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acvd.2025.07.012>
- Du, K., Li, A., Zuo, Q.-H., Zhang, C.-Y., Guo, R., Chen, P., Du, W.-S., & Li, S.-M. (2025). Comparing Artificial Intelligence–Generated and Clinician-Created Personalized Self-Management Guidance for Patients With Knee Osteoarthritis: Blinded Observational Study. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 27. <https://doi.org/10.2196/67830>
- Embarak, O. (2025). A Behaviour-Driven Framework for Smart Education: Leveraging Explainable AI and IoB in Personalized Learning Systems. *20th International Conference on Future Networks and Communications/ 22nd International Conference on Mobile Systems and Pervasive Computing/15th International Conference on Sustainable Energy Information Technology (FNC/MobiSPC/SEIT 2025)*, 265, 457–466. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2025.07.205>
- Fortuna, A., Prasetya, F., Samala, A. D., Rawas, S., Criollo-C, S., Kaya, D., Raihan, M., Andriani, W., Safitri, D., & Nabawi, R. A. (2025). Artificial intelligence in personalized learning: A global systematic review of current advancements and shaping future opportunities. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, 12, 102114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2025.102114>
- Ghanem, M., Ghaith, A. K., & Bydon, M. (2024). Chapter 6—Artificial intelligence and personalized medicine: Transforming patient care. Dalam M. Bydon (Ed.), *The New Era of Precision Medicine* (hlm. 131–142). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-443-13963-5.00012-1>
- Hamzyan Olia, J. B., Raman, A., Hsu, C.-Y., Alkhayyat, A., & Nourazarian, A. (2025). A comprehensive review of neurotransmitter modulation via artificial intelligence: A new frontier in personalized neurobiochemistry. *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, 189, 109984. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.combiomed.2025.109984>
- Howard, A., Reza, N., Green, P. L., Yin, M., Duffy, E., Mwandumba, H. C., Gerada, A., & Hope, W. (2025). Artificial intelligence and infectious diseases: Tackling antimicrobial resistance, from personalised care to antibiotic discovery. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(25\)00313-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(25)00313-5)
- Iacucci, M., Santacroce, G., Yasuharu, M., & Ghosh, S. (2025). Artificial Intelligence–Driven Personalized Medicine: Transforming Clinical Practice in Inflammatory Bowel Disease.

- Shaping the Future of Gastroenterology and Hepatology With Artificial Intelligence*, 169(3), 416–431. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2025.03.005>
- Ji, X., Sun, L., & Huang, K. (2025). The construction and implementation direction of personalized learning model based on multimodal data fusion in the context of intelligent education. *Cognitive Systems Research*, 92, 101379. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogsys.2025.101379>
- Kamphorst, K., de Jong, J., Rider, N. L., & Portnoy, J. M. (2025). Big Data and Artificial Intelligence: Current State and Future Opportunities in Allergy and Immunology. *The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology: In Practice*, 13(11), 2914–2924. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2025.09.011>
- Khude, H., & Shende, P. (2025). AI-driven clinical decision support systems: Revolutionizing medication selection and personalized drug therapy. *Advances in Integrative Medicine*, 12(4), 100529. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aimed.2025.100529>
- König, C., Copado, P., Vellido, A., Nebot, À., Angulo, C., Lamarca, M., Acuña, V., Berna, F., Moritz, S., Gawęda, Ł., & Ochoa, S. (2025). An artificial intelligence-based platform for personalized predictions of Metacognitive Training effectiveness. *Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal*, 28, 281–293. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csbj.2025.07.051>
- Konstantinou, G. N., Anderson, W. C., Bagkis, E., Brown, Z., Karatzas, K., Kassandros, T., Sardeli, C., Singla, R., & Nyenhuis, S. M. (2025). Artificial Intelligence–Driven Wearable and Connected Technology for Allergy: Real-Time Monitoring and Predictive Management for Personalized Care. *The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology: In Practice*, 13(11), 2903-2913.e2. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2025.09.013>
- Liebovitz, D., Wu, A., Reamer, C., & Vadasz, B. (2025). Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning in Health Care: Current Capabilities, Emerging Potential, and Future Directions. *Medical Clinics of North America*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcna.2025.07.011>
- Matos, T., Santos, W., Zdravevski, E., Coelho, P. J., Pires, I. M., & Madeira, F. (2025). A systematic review of artificial intelligence applications in education: Emerging trends and challenges. *Decision Analytics Journal*, 15, 100571. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dajour.2025.100571>
- Maznyczka, A., Nuis, R.-J., Shiri, I., Ternacle, J., Garot, P., van den Dorpel, M. M. P., Khokhar, A. A., De Lucia, R., Orini, M., Kutty, S., Grapsa, J., Gräni, C., Pandey, A., Becker, T., O’Gallagher, K., Mortier, P., Dasi, L. P., Kofoed, K. F., Engelhardt, S., ... De Backer, O. (2025). Artificial Intelligence in Valvular Heart Disease: Innovations and Future Directions. *JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions*, 18(20), 2439–2457. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcin.2025.08.031>

- Naik, A., Nalepa, J., Wijata, A. M., Mahon, J., Mistry, D., Knowles, A. T., Dawson, E. A., Lip, G. Y. H., Olier, I., & Ortega-Martorell, S. (2025). Artificial intelligence and digital twins for the personalised prediction of hypertension risk. *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, 196, 110718. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiomed.2025.110718>
- Olawade, D. B., & Aienobe-Asekhen, C. A. (2025). Artificial intelligence in tobacco control: A systematic scoping review of applications, challenges, and ethical implications. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 202, 105987. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2025.105987>
- Panchpuri, M., Painuli, R., & Kumar, C. (2025). Artificial intelligence in smart drug delivery systems: A step toward personalized medicine. *RSC Pharmaceuticals*, 2(5), 882–914. <https://doi.org/10.1039/d5pm00089k>
- Raheja, A., & Belani, K. G. (2025). The Practical and Ethical Implications of Artificial Intelligence in Anesthesiology, Pain Medicine, and Intensive Care: Safeguards. *Artificial Intelligence in Anesthesiology*, 43(3), 613–623. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anclin.2025.05.011>
- Singh, M., Kumar, A., Khanna, N. N., Laird, J. R., Nicolaidis, A., Faa, G., Johri, A. M., Mantella, L. E., Fernandes, J. F. E., Teji, J. S., Singh, N., Fouda, M. M., Singh, R., Sharma, A., Kitas, G., Rathore, V., Singh, I. M., Tadepalli, K., Al-Maini, M., ... Suri, J. S. (2024). Artificial intelligence for cardiovascular disease risk assessment in personalised framework: A scoping review. *eClinicalMedicine*, 73, 102660. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2024.102660>
- Tebourbi, H., Nouzri, S., Mualla, Y., & Abbas-Turki, A. (2025). Artificial Intelligence Agents for Personalized Adaptive Learning. *20th International Conference on Future Networks and Communications/ 22nd International Conference on Mobile Systems and Pervasive Computing/15th International Conference on Sustainable Energy Information Technology (FNC/MobiSPC/SEIT 2025)*, 265, 252–259. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2025.07.179>
- Teke, J., Msiska, M., Adanini, O. A., Egbon, E., Osborne, A., & Olawade, D. B. (2025). Artificial intelligence for obesity management: A review of applications, opportunities, and challenges. *Obesity Medicine*, 58, 100657. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.obmed.2025.100657>
- Wang, J. (2025). The Impact of Artificial Intelligence-Based Personalized Learning Systems on the Cognitive Ability Enhancement of Children With Special Needs. *International Journal of Cognitive Informatics and Natural Intelligence*, 20(1). <https://doi.org/10.4018/IJCINI.397824>
- Wang, T., Qin, X., Liu, Y., Tian, J., & Pang, Z. (2025). Artificial intelligence-driven personalized clinical decision-making and drug development in breast cancer. *Chinese Chemical Letters*, 111956. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccllet.2025.111956>
- Webber, C. J., Whitworth, P., & Stenner, S. P. (2025). The Future of Artificial Intelligence in Medical Education and Continuing Medical Education. *AI in Primary Care*, 52(4), 781–797. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pop.2025.07.010>

Zhang, X., Xiao, N., Liang, H., Li, P., Zhang, Y., Zhang, S., Zhou, B., Yao, S., Yang, Z., & Chen, J. (2025). Artificial intelligence-driven prostate cancer diagnosis: Enhancing accuracy and personalizing patient care. *Urologic Oncology: Seminars and Original Investigations*, 110959. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urolonc.2025.11.013>

Zhuang, M., Long, S., Martin, F., & Castellanos-Reyes, D. (2025). The affordances of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and ethical considerations across the instruction cycle: A systematic review of AI in online higher education. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 67, 101039. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2025.101039>

Copyright Holder :

© John Mizzi et.al (2025).

First Publication Right :

© Journal of Social Science Utilizing Technology

This article is under:

