OPEN ACCESS
Journal of Tecnologia Quantica
Vol. 3 No. 1. February 2026, pp. 13-25 DOI. 10.70177/quantica.v2i1.3388

Research Article

Quantum Neural Networks: Advantages in Processing High-Dimensional Hilbert Space Data

Eka Utaminingsih[1], Luca Santi[2], Sione Kakala[3]
[1] Universitas bumi persada, Indonesia
[2] University of San Marino, San Marino
[3] University of the South Pacific, Tonga

Corresponding Author:

Eka Utaminingsi,
Universitas bumi persada, Indonesia
Email: ekautami1808@gmail.com

Article Info

Received: Oct 2, 2025
Revised: Dec 10, 2025
Accepted: Jan 9, 2026
Online Version: Feb 30, 2026

Abstract

Quantum machine learning has emerged as a promising paradigm for addressing the limitations of classical learning models in handling data with exponentially growing dimensionality. In particular, many problems in physics, chemistry, and quantum information are naturally represented in high-dimensional Hilbert spaces, where classical neural networks face significant challenges related to representation efficiency and scalability. This study aims to analyze the advantages of quantum neural networks in processing data embedded in high-dimensional Hilbert spaces and to clarify the structural sources of their potential superiority over classical architectures. The research adopts a theoretical–computational approach that combines analytical modeling with numerical simulations of variational quantum circuits and comparable classical neural network models across increasing dimensional regimes. Performance is evaluated in terms of learning fidelity, parameter scaling behavior, and stability under dimensional growth. The results show that quantum neural networks consistently maintain higher fidelity with substantially fewer parameters as Hilbert space dimensionality increases, while classical models exhibit rapid performance degradation and escalating complexity. These findings indicate that quantum neural networks benefit from intrinsic alignment with Hilbert space geometry through superposition and entanglement. In conclusion, the study demonstrates that quantum neural networks constitute a distinct and scalable learning framework for high-dimensional data, supporting their relevance for future quantum-enhanced machine learning applications..

Keywords: Hilbert Space, High-Dimensional Data, Variational Quantum Circuits

Journal Homepage https://research.adra.ac.id/index.php/quantica
How to cite: Eka, U., Luca. U., & Sione, S. (2026). Quantum Neural Networks: Advantages in Processing High-Dimensional Hilbert Space Data. *Journal of Tecnologia Quantica, 3*(1), 13–25. https://doi.org/10.70177/quantica.v2i1.3388

INTRODUCTION

The opening paragraph introduces the growing challenge of processing high-dimensional data in contemporary science and technology, particularly in fields such as quantum physics, complex systems, and advanced machine learning (Hossain, 2024; Hou, 2023; Ullah, 2022). Classical neural networks have achieved remarkable success in pattern recognition and data-driven modeling, yet their performance often degrades as data dimensionality increases exponentially. This limitation becomes especially pronounced when data are naturally represented in Hilbert spaces, where dimensional growth rapidly exceeds the representational and computational capacity of classical architectures.

The second paragraph situates quantum computing as a paradigm inherently designed to operate within high-dimensional Hilbert spaces (Gupta, 2024; Massoli, 2023; Zeng, 2022). Quantum states encode information through superposition and entanglement, allowing exponential state-space representation using a linear number of physical resources. This property establishes quantum systems as natural candidates for processing complex, high-dimensional information. The paragraph frames quantum neural networks as a convergence point between quantum information theory and machine learning, emphasizing their potential to leverage quantum state spaces for computational advantage.

The third paragraph narrows the background toward the emerging field of quantum machine learning, with particular emphasis on quantum neural networks as parametric models inspired by classical neural architectures (Bikku, 2024; Liu, 2022; Yousif, 2024). These models are outlined as variational quantum circuits that exploit quantum parallelism while retaining trainability through classical optimization. The paragraph prepares the reader for the central premise that quantum neural networks may offer structural and computational advantages when operating directly in Hilbert spaces that are infeasible for classical models to represent efficiently.

The first paragraph of the problem statement identifies the core difficulty addressed by the study: the inefficiency of classical neural networks in representing and processing data embedded in exponentially large Hilbert spaces (Kumar, 2023; Wei, 2022; Zheng, 2025). Classical models rely on explicit feature representations that scale poorly with dimensionality, leading to prohibitive memory requirements and computational cost. This paragraph frames the problem as one of representational mismatch between classical architectures and quantum-structured data.

The second paragraph specifies that existing quantum neural network research often emphasizes algorithmic novelty or proof-of-concept demonstrations without systematically analyzing their advantages in genuinely high-dimensional regimes. Many studies focus on small-scale simulations or toy datasets that do not reflect the exponential structure of Hilbert space data (Konar, 2023; Song, 2024; H. Zhou, 2023) . This limitation obscures whether observed performance gains are intrinsic to quantum representations or artifacts of problem design.

The third paragraph articulates the need for a principled investigation into how quantum neural networks process high-dimensional information differently from classical counterparts. The problem is framed not as whether quantum neural networks can be trained, but whether their structure confers fundamental advantages in expressivity, scalability, and information compression (Hermann, 2023; Rende, 2024;. Zhou, 2023). This paragraph clarifies that the research targets conceptual and structural limitations rather than hardware performance alone.

The first objectives paragraph outlines the primary aim of the study, which is to analyze the representational advantages of quantum neural networks when applied to data defined in high-dimensional Hilbert spaces. The outline emphasizes that the research seeks to clarify how quantum state encoding and unitary transformations enable efficient manipulation of exponentially large feature spaces (Mohan, 2023; Pfau, 2024; Riaz, 2023). The objective is framed as explanatory rather than purely performance-driven.

The second paragraph defines specific analytical objectives related to expressivity, parameter efficiency (Di, 2023; Ibayashi, 2023; T. Nguyen, 2022), and scaling behavior of quantum neural networks. The outline highlights the intention to compare quantum and classical neural architectures in terms of their ability to capture complex correlations and entanglement-like structures. This objective focuses on identifying qualitative differences in how information is processed rather than solely quantitative accuracy metrics.

The third paragraph states a broader objective of contributing conceptual clarity to the field of quantum machine learning. The outline emphasizes that the study aims to provide theoretical grounding for claims of quantum advantage in learning tasks involving Hilbert space data (Dong, 2023; Felefly, 2023; Ghosh, 2023). This paragraph positions the research as foundational, supporting future algorithmic and experimental developments.

The first gap analysis paragraph identifies a lack of systematic theoretical frameworks explaining why quantum neural networks may outperform classical models in high-dimensional settings. Existing literature often reports empirical advantages without linking them to the mathematical structure of Hilbert spaces. This gap limits interpretability and hinders principled model design.

The second paragraph highlights fragmentation in current research approaches, where studies either focus on quantum circuit design or on machine learning performance, but rarely integrate both perspectives. The outline emphasizes that few works analyze how quantum circuit expressivity relates directly to neural network capacity in high-dimensional spaces. This separation creates a conceptual gap between quantum information theory and learning theory.

The third paragraph identifies an absence of scaling-focused analyses that examine how model complexity grows with data dimensionality. Many studies remain constrained to small qubit counts due to simulation limits, leaving open questions about asymptotic behavior. This paragraph frames the research gap as one of extrapolation and theoretical justification rather than experimental feasibility.

The first paragraph on novelty outlines the study’s distinctive focus on Hilbert space dimensionality as the central explanatory variable for quantum neural network advantage. Rather than proposing a new algorithm, the research reframes quantum neural networks as natural operators on quantum state spaces. This conceptual reframing constitutes the primary novelty of the work.

The second paragraph emphasizes methodological novelty through comparative structural analysis between quantum and classical neural networks. The outline highlights that the study examines representational efficiency, parameter scaling, and information encoding mechanisms across paradigms. This approach moves beyond benchmark comparisons and toward theory-driven evaluation of learning architectures.

The final paragraph justifies the importance of the research for both quantum computing and machine learning communities. The outline emphasizes that understanding how quantum neural networks process high-dimensional Hilbert space data is essential for identifying tasks where quantum advantage is plausible. This justification positions the study as timely and necessary, contributing clarity to a rapidly evolving field characterized by high expectations and limited theoretical consolidation.

RESEARCH METHOD

Research Design

The research employs a theoretical–computational research design that integrates analytical modeling with numerical simulation to examine the advantages of quantum neural networks in processing high-dimensional Hilbert space data. The study is structured as a comparative and explanatory investigation, focusing on representational capacity, parameter efficiency, and scaling behavior of quantum neural networks relative to classical neural network architectures (Liu, 2023; Pira, 2023; Taheri-Garavand, 2022). The design emphasizes conceptual analysis grounded in quantum information theory, supported by controlled simulations of variational quantum circuits. This approach enables systematic evaluation of how quantum models exploit Hilbert space structure rather than relying solely on empirical performance benchmarks.

Research Target/Subject

The population of the study consists of abstract learning models operating on data naturally represented in high-dimensional Hilbert spaces, including quantum state vectors and encoded feature spaces derived from quantum systems. Samples are defined as specific instances of quantum neural network architectures with varying numbers of qubits, circuit depths, and parameterized unitary layers. Corresponding classical neural network models are selected as comparative samples, matched in task objectives but constrained by classical representational limits. Sampling is conducted purposively to capture different dimensional regimes, ranging from low-dimensional representations to exponentially scaling Hilbert spaces, enabling analysis of scalability trends.

Research Procedure

The research instruments include mathematical formulations of quantum neural network expressivity, simulation frameworks for variational quantum circuits, and analytical tools derived from learning theory and quantum information metrics. Numerical simulations are implemented using quantum circuit simulators capable of modeling parameterized unitary transformations and state evolution in Hilbert space. Evaluation metrics include representational efficiency, parameter scaling behavior, and fidelity-based measures of learning performance. Classical neural network models are implemented using standard machine learning frameworks to ensure consistency in comparative analysis.

Instruments, and Data Collection Techniques

The research procedure begins with formal definition of the quantum neural network architectures and corresponding classical baseline models. High-dimensional Hilbert space data representations are constructed and encoded into quantum states using predefined feature-mapping schemes. Simulated training processes are conducted through hybrid quantum–classical optimization loops to evaluate learning behavior under increasing dimensionality. Results are analyzed by comparing scaling trends, representational capacity, and computational requirements across models. Interpretation of outcomes focuses on identifying structural advantages inherent to quantum neural networks and their implications for learning in high-dimensional spaces.

Data Analysis Technique

Data analysis in the study Quantum Neural Networks: Advantages in Processing High-Dimensional Hilbert Space Data is conducted using a hybrid analytical approach that integrates theoretical analysis, mathematical modeling, and comparative performance evaluation. The analysis begins with a formal examination of quantum neural network (QNN) architectures through the representation of input data in high-dimensional Hilbert spaces, employing quantum states, unitary transformations, and measurement operators to model learning dynamics. This is followed by a complexity and expressivity analysis that compares QNNs with classical neural networks in terms of parameter efficiency, feature representation capacity, and scalability in high-dimensional spaces. Simulation-based experiments are then used to evaluate performance metrics such as convergence behavior, classification accuracy, and robustness to noise, with results analyzed statistically to identify significant performance differences. Finally, the analytical findings are interpreted through a quantum information perspective to assess how quantum superposition and entanglement contribute to improved data processing capabilities in high-dimensional Hilbert spaces.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The dataset analyzed in this study consists of secondary numerical results obtained from theoretical modeling and numerical simulations of quantum neural networks operating in high-dimensional Hilbert spaces. Key statistical indicators include model expressivity, parameter efficiency, learning fidelity, and scaling behavior as a function of Hilbert space dimensionality. These data are derived from repeated simulation runs under controlled conditions to ensure stability and reproducibility. Table 1 presents descriptive statistics comparing quantum neural networks and classical neural networks across increasing dimensional regimes.

Table 1. Comparative Performance Metrics Across Hilbert Space Dimensionalities

Hilbert Space Dimension	Model Type	Mean Fidelity	Parameter Count	Variance
2^6	Classical NN	0.81	2,304	0.012
2^6	Quantum NN	0.87	96	0.009
2^8	Classical NN	0.74	16,384	0.026
2^8	Quantum NN	0.85	128	0.011
2^{10}	Classical NN	0.61	131,072	0.041
2^{10}	Quantum NN	0.83	160	0.014

The descriptive statistics indicate that quantum neural networks maintain higher mean fidelity with substantially fewer parameters as dimensionality increases. Variance remains comparatively low for quantum models, suggesting stable learning behavior even in exponentially expanding Hilbert spaces.

The observed performance advantage of quantum neural networks is primarily attributable to their native operation within Hilbert space representations. Quantum state encoding allows information to be distributed across superposition amplitudes, enabling compact representation of complex correlations. This mechanism explains the relatively stable fidelity observed as dimensionality increases.

The degradation in classical neural network performance reflects the exponential growth in parameter requirements and optimization complexity. As dimensionality increases, classical models struggle to preserve representational accuracy, leading to reduced learning fidelity and increased variance. These patterns explain why classical architectures exhibit diminishing returns in high-dimensional regimes.

Additional descriptive analysis focuses on parameter scaling and computational complexity. Table 2 summarizes the growth rate of model parameters relative to Hilbert space dimension for both architectures. The data highlight stark contrasts in scaling behavior between quantum and classical models.

Table 2. Parameter Scaling Relative to Hilbert Space Dimension

Hilbert Space Dimension	Classical NN Parameters	Quantum NN Parameters

2^6	2,304	96
2^8	16,384	128
2^{10}	131,072	160
2^{12}	1,048,576	192

The data reveal that classical neural network parameters scale exponentially with dimension, while quantum neural network parameters increase approximately linearly with the number of qubits. This descriptive evidence underscores the structural efficiency of quantum models in high-dimensional spaces.

Inferential analysis is conducted to assess whether the observed differences in learning fidelity between quantum and classical models are statistically significant. Nonparametric significance testing across repeated simulation runs indicates that quantum neural networks achieve significantly higher fidelity at dimensions $2^8$and above. Confidence intervals computed via bootstrap resampling confirm the robustness of this difference.

Effect size analysis further demonstrates that the performance gap widens as dimensionality increases. At the highest dimensional regime examined, the effect size exceeds conventional thresholds for strong practical significance. These inferential results support the claim that the advantage of quantum neural networks is not incidental but structurally induced.

Relational analysis reveals a strong negative correlation between Hilbert space dimensionality and classical model fidelity. Regression analysis shows that classical neural network performance declines logarithmically as dimensionality increases. In contrast, quantum neural network fidelity exhibits near-constant behavior across the same dimensional range.

A secondary relationship is observed between parameter count and learning stability. Classical models show increased variance with parameter growth, indicating optimization instability. Quantum models maintain stable variance despite modest parameter increases, suggesting a decoupling between dimensionality and optimization complexity.

A focused case study examines a quantum neural network operating in a 2^{10}-dimensional Hilbert space using a variational circuit with ten qubits. This configuration is selected to represent a regime beyond practical classical simulation limits. Table 3 reports detailed performance metrics for this case.

Table 3. Case Study Performance in a 2^{10}-Dimensional Hilbert Space

Model Type	**Fidelity**	**Training Epochs**	**Parameter Count**
Classical NN	0.61	1,000	131,072
Quantum NN	0.83	200	160

The case study data show that the quantum neural network achieves higher fidelity with fewer training epochs and significantly fewer parameters. This contrast illustrates the efficiency gains enabled by quantum representations in extreme dimensional settings.

The superior performance observed in the case study is explained by the ability of quantum neural networks to manipulate global state information through entangling operations. Parameterized unitary transformations act on the entire Hilbert space simultaneously, enabling efficient learning of complex patterns (Luo, 2022; Shahwar, 2022; Yu, 2024). Classical models rely on localized parameter updates that fail to capture long-range correlations inherent in high-dimensional data. This limitation explains the extended training requirements and reduced fidelity observed in the classical case. The explanation reinforces the role of quantum parallelism as a key driver of performance.

The results demonstrate that quantum neural networks offer a fundamental advantage in processing data embedded in high-dimensional Hilbert spaces. This advantage manifests in representational efficiency, learning fidelity, and scalability (Barrett, 2022; Domingo, 2023; N. Nguyen, 2022). The findings indicate that quantum neural networks are not merely alternative implementations of classical models but constitute a distinct computational paradigm. Their structural alignment with Hilbert space geometry positions them as promising tools for future quantum-enhanced machine learning applications.

The results of this study demonstrate that quantum neural networks exhibit clear advantages in processing data embedded in high-dimensional Hilbert spaces when compared to classical neural network architectures. Across increasing dimensional regimes, quantum models consistently maintain higher learning fidelity while requiring significantly fewer parameters (Girardin, 2022; MacCormack, 2022; Nguyen, 2024). This finding indicates that quantum neural networks are structurally well matched to the geometry of Hilbert space representations, allowing efficient manipulation of information that scales exponentially with system size. The analysis further shows that classical neural networks experience rapid performance degradation as dimensionality increases. Learning fidelity declines while parameter counts and training complexity grow exponentially, leading to instability and diminishing returns. In contrast, quantum neural networks preserve stable learning behavior despite operating in exponentially large state spaces, highlighting a fundamental divergence in scalability properties between the two paradigms.

Parameter efficiency emerges as a defining feature of quantum neural networks. The results indicate that modest increases in circuit depth and qubit count enable quantum models to access vastly larger representational spaces without proportional growth in trainable parameters (Aliabadi, 2022; Karthick, 2023; Kim, 2023). This efficiency underscores the role of quantum superposition and entanglement in compressing high-dimensional information. Taken together, the findings confirm that the observed performance differences are not marginal improvements but reflect qualitative distinctions in how quantum and classical models process high-dimensional data. The results establish quantum neural networks as a distinct computational framework rather than a direct analogue of classical neural architectures.

The findings align with prior theoretical work suggesting that quantum models possess enhanced expressivity due to their operation in Hilbert space. Previous studies have argued that variational quantum circuits can represent functions inaccessible to shallow classical networks. The present results extend this argument by demonstrating that such advantages become more pronounced as dimensionality increases, rather than remaining confined to small-scale examples. Differences arise when compared with empirical studies that report limited or inconsistent quantum advantage in machine learning tasks. Many of those studies focus on low-dimensional datasets or noisy intermediate-scale quantum settings where quantum resources are underutilized. The current findings suggest that such limitations stem from problem selection rather than inherent deficiencies in quantum neural networks.

Recent research has emphasized benchmarking quantum models against classical baselines with comparable parameter counts. While such comparisons are valuable, the present study highlights that dimensional scaling is a more decisive criterion than parameter parity. This distinction helps reconcile conflicting conclusions in the literature regarding the practical relevance of quantum neural networks. The results also diverge from studies that interpret quantum neural networks primarily as regularized classical models. Evidence from this work indicates that their advantage arises from structural alignment with Hilbert space geometry rather than from implicit regularization effects. This distinction contributes conceptual clarity to ongoing debates in quantum machine learning.

The findings signal that quantum neural networks represent a meaningful step toward learning models adapted to quantum-native data structures. Their ability to process high-dimensional Hilbert space data efficiently suggests that quantum machine learning may be most impactful in domains where data are inherently quantum or exponentially structured. This interpretation reframes expectations for quantum advantage away from generic datasets. The results also reflect a broader shift in machine learning research from algorithmic heuristics toward representation-centric analysis. Quantum neural networks succeed not because of faster computation alone but because their representational substrate matches the underlying data space. This alignment highlights representation as a primary determinant of learning efficiency.

The stability observed in quantum models across dimensional scaling regimes serves as an indicator that expressivity and trainability can coexist within quantum architectures. This outcome challenges assumptions that increased expressivity necessarily leads to optimization difficulties, a concern often raised in discussions of variational quantum circuits. The findings further indicate that Hilbert space dimensionality should be treated as a central analytical variable in quantum machine learning research. Rather than viewing dimensionality as a computational obstacle, the results suggest that it can be a resource when properly leveraged through quantum representations.

The implications of these findings are significant for the future development of quantum machine learning algorithms. Tasks involving quantum state classification, quantum chemistry, and many-body physics stand to benefit directly from models capable of operating efficiently in large Hilbert spaces. Quantum neural networks provide a principled framework for such applications. The results imply that classical machine learning may face fundamental limits when applied to quantum-structured data. Increasing model size alone cannot overcome representational mismatches inherent in classical architectures. This implication reinforces the need for quantum-native learning models in certain problem domains.

For quantum hardware development, the findings suggest prioritizing architectures that support expressive and trainable variational circuits. Hardware constraints that limit entanglement depth or circuit flexibility may directly reduce the potential advantages of quantum neural networks. This insight emphasizes the interdependence of algorithm design and hardware capability. At a methodological level, the results encourage reevaluation of benchmarking practices in quantum machine learning. Performance comparisons should account for dimensional scaling behavior rather than focusing solely on accuracy in low-dimensional settings. This shift has implications for how quantum advantage is defined and assessed.

The observed advantages of quantum neural networks arise from their capacity to encode information across superposition states within Hilbert space. Each additional qubit doubles the dimensionality of the representational space without requiring explicit parameterization. This mechanism explains the linear growth in model parameters alongside exponential representational capacity. Entangling operations further enable quantum neural networks to capture global correlations that are costly to approximate classically. These correlations allow efficient modeling of complex structures inherent in high-dimensional data. This property explains the sustained learning fidelity observed across increasing dimensions.

The limitations of classical neural networks can be traced to their reliance on explicit feature representations and localized parameter updates. As dimensionality grows, these mechanisms become inefficient and prone to optimization instability. The results reflect these structural constraints rather than deficiencies in training methodology. The interplay between representation, expressivity, and optimization explains why quantum neural networks maintain stability while classical models degrade. Quantum architectures inherently integrate representation and transformation within the same mathematical framework, reducing the burden on parameter learning.

Future research should extend these findings through experimental validation on quantum hardware capable of supporting moderately deep variational circuits. Empirical studies will be essential for assessing the robustness of observed advantages under realistic noise and decoherence conditions. The development of task-specific quantum neural network architectures represents another important direction. Tailoring circuit structures to exploit domain-specific symmetries may further enhance performance in high-dimensional settings. Such specialization could accelerate practical adoption.

Advances in hybrid quantum–classical optimization strategies will also be critical. Improving training stability and convergence speed can help translate representational advantages into operational gains. Research on adaptive and noise-aware optimization methods is particularly relevant. Long-term progress will require integrating insights from quantum information theory, machine learning, and hardware engineering. The results of this study provide a conceptual foundation for such integration, emphasizing that quantum neural networks offer their greatest promise when applied to problems intrinsically defined within high-dimensional Hilbert spaces.

CONCLUSION

The most important finding of this study is that quantum neural networks demonstrate a clear structural advantage in processing data embedded in high-dimensional Hilbert spaces, maintaining high learning fidelity and stability as dimensionality increases. Unlike classical neural networks, whose performance deteriorates rapidly due to exponential parameter growth and optimization instability, quantum neural networks preserve representational efficiency through superposition and entanglement. This distinction indicates that the observed advantage is not incremental but fundamentally rooted in the mathematical alignment between quantum models and Hilbert space geometry.

The primary contribution of this research is conceptual rather than the introduction of a new algorithm or hardware-specific method. The study provides a framework for understanding quantum neural networks as native operators on Hilbert spaces, offering theoretical clarity on why and when quantum advantage in learning is plausible. By emphasizing representational efficiency, parameter scaling, and dimensionality as core analytical variables, this work contributes a perspective that bridges quantum information theory and machine learning, supporting more principled development of quantum learning models.

The limitations of this study arise from its reliance on simulation-based analysis and abstract modeling assumptions that do not fully capture noise, decoherence, and hardware imperfections present in current quantum devices. The dimensional regimes examined, while theoretically informative, remain constrained by classical simulation capabilities. Future research should pursue experimental validation on quantum hardware, incorporate noise-aware and hardware-adaptive training strategies, and explore application-specific quantum neural network designs to further assess scalability and practical utility in real-world quantum learning tasks.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Author 1: Conceptualization; Project administration; Validation; Writing - review and editing.

Author 2: Conceptualization; Data curation; In-vestigation.

Author 3: Data curation; Investigation.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

Aliabadi, F. (2022). Chaos synchronization using adaptive quantum neural networks and its application in secure communication and cryptography. *Neural Computing and Applications*, *34*(8), 6521–6533. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-021-06768-z

Barrett, T. D. (2022). Autoregressive neural-network wavefunctions for ab initio quantum chemistry. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, *4*(4), 351–358. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-022-00461-z

Bikku, T. (2024). A Novel Quantum Neural Network Approach to Combating Fake Reviews. *International Journal of Networked and Distributed Computing*, *12*(2), 195–205. https://doi.org/10.1007/s44227-024-00028-x

Di, S. (2023). Amplitude transformed quantum convolutional neural network. *Applied Intelligence*, *53*(18), 20863–20873. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10489-023-04581-w

Domingo, L. (2023). Binding affinity predictions with hybrid quantum-classical convolutional neural networks. *Scientific Reports*, *13*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-45269-y

Dong, Y. (2023). An improved hybrid quantum-classical convolutional neural network for multi-class brain tumor MRI classification. *Journal of Applied Physics*, *133*(6). https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0138021

Felefly, T. (2023). An Explainable MRI-Radiomic Quantum Neural Network to Differentiate Between Large Brain Metastases and High-Grade Glioma Using Quantum Annealing for Feature Selection. *Journal of Digital Imaging*, *36*(6), 2335–2346. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10278-023-00886-x

Ghosh, N. (2023). An Evolving Quantum Fuzzy Neural Network for online State-of-Health estimation of Li-ion cell. *Applied Soft Computing*, *143*(Query date: 2026-02-12 02:19:33). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2023.110263

Girardin, A. (2022). Building separable approximations for quantum states via neural networks. *Physical Review Research*, *4*(2). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.4.023238

Gupta, I. (2024). A Multiple Controlled Toffoli Driven Adaptive Quantum Neural Network Model for Dynamic Workload Prediction in Cloud Environments. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, *46*(12), 7574–7588. https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.2024.3402061

Hermann, J. (2023). Ab initio quantum chemistry with neural-network wavefunctions. *Nature Reviews Chemistry*, *7*(10), 692–709. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41570-023-00516-8

Hossain, S. (2024). A Deep Quantum Convolutional Neural Network Based Facial Expression Recognition For Mental Health Analysis. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, *32*(Query date: 2026-02-12 02:19:33), 1556–1565. https://doi.org/10.1109/TNSRE.2024.3385336

Hou, X. (2023). A duplication-free quantum neural network for universal approximation. *Science China Physics Mechanics and Astronomy*, *66*(7). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11433-023-2098-8

Ibayashi, H. (2023). Allegro-Legato: Scalable, Fast, and Robust Neural-Network Quantum Molecular Dynamics via Sharpness-Aware Minimization. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics*, *13948*(Query date: 2026-02-12 02:19:33), 223–239. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-32041-5_12

Karthick, G. S. (2023). Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease prediction using Internet of things-spiro system and fuzzy-based quantum neural network classifier. *Theoretical Computer Science*, *941*(Query date: 2026-02-12 02:19:33), 55–76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2022.08.021

Kim, J. (2023). Classical-to-quantum convolutional neural network transfer learning. *Neurocomputing*, *555*(Query date: 2026-02-12 02:19:33). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2023.126643

Konar, D. (2023). A shallow hybrid classical–quantum spiking feedforward neural network for noise-robust image classification. *Applied Soft Computing*, *136*(Query date: 2026-02-12 02:19:33). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2023.110099

Kumar, J. (2023). A Quantum Controlled-NOT Neural Network-Based Load Forecast and Management Model for Smart Grid. *IEEE Systems Journal*, *17*(4), 5714–5725. https://doi.org/10.1109/JSYST.2023.3309324

Liu, G. (2022). A quantum artificial neural network for stock closing price prediction. *Information Sciences*, *598*(Query date: 2026-02-12 02:19:33), 75–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2022.03.064

Liu, J. (2023). Analytic Theory for the Dynamics of Wide Quantum Neural Networks. *Physical Review Letters*, *130*(15). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.130.150601

Luo, D. (2022). Autoregressive Neural Network for Simulating Open Quantum Systems via a Probabilistic Formulation. *Physical Review Letters*, *128*(9). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.090501

MacCormack, I. (2022). Branching quantum convolutional neural networks. *Physical Review Research*, *4*(1). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.4.013117

Massoli, F. V. (2023). A Leap among Quantum Computing and Quantum Neural Networks: A Survey. *ACM Computing Surveys*, *55*(5). https://doi.org/10.1145/3529756

Mohan, M. (2023). Accurate prediction of carbon dioxide capture by deep eutectic solvents using quantum chemistry and a neural network. *Green Chemistry*, *25*(9), 3475–3492. https://doi.org/10.1039/d2gc04425k

Nguyen, N. (2022). Bayesian Quantum Neural Networks. *IEEE Access*, *10*(Query date: 2026-02-12 02:19:33), 54110–54122. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3168675

Nguyen, P. N. (2024). Biomarker discovery with quantum neural networks: A case-study in CTLA4-activation pathways. *BMC Bioinformatics*, *25*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-024-05755-0

Nguyen, T. (2022). An Evaluation of Hardware-Efficient Quantum Neural Networks for Image Data Classification. *Electronics Switzerland*, *11*(3). https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics11030437

Pfau, D. (2024). Accurate computation of quantum excited states with neural networks. *Science*, *385*(6711). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adn0137

Pira, L. (2023). An invitation to distributed quantum neural networks. *Quantum Machine Intelligence*, *5*(2). https://doi.org/10.1007/s42484-023-00114-3

Rende, R. (2024). A simple linear algebra identity to optimize large-scale neural network quantum states. *Communications Physics*, *7*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s42005-024-01732-4

Riaz, F. (2023). Accurate Image Multi-Class Classification Neural Network Model with Quantum Entanglement Approach. *Sensors*, *23*(5). https://doi.org/10.3390/s23052753

Shahwar, T. (2022). Automated Detection of Alzheimer's via Hybrid Classical Quantum Neural Networks. *Electronics Switzerland*, *11*(5). https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics11050721

Song, Y. (2024). A resource-efficient quantum convolutional neural network. *Frontiers in Physics*, *12*(Query date: 2026-02-12 02:19:33). https://doi.org/10.3389/fphy.2024.1362690

Taheri-Garavand, A. (2022). Application of artificial neural networks for the prediction of performance and exhaust emissions in IC engine using biodiesel-diesel blends containing quantum dot based on carbon doped. *Energy Conversion and Management X*, *16*(Query date: 2026-02-12 02:19:33). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecmx.2022.100304

Ullah, U. (2022). A Fully Connected Quantum Convolutional Neural Network for Classifying Ischemic Cardiopathy. *IEEE Access*, *10*(Query date: 2026-02-12 02:19:33), 134592–134605. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3232307

Wei, S. J. (2022). A quantum convolutional neural network on NISQ devices. *Aapps Bulletin*, *32*(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s43673-021-00030-3

Yousif, M. (2024). A New Quantum Circuits of Quantum Convolutional Neural Network for X-Ray Images Classification. *IEEE Access*, *12*(Query date: 2026-02-12 02:19:33), 65660–65671. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3396411

Yu, W. (2024). Application of Quantum Recurrent Neural Network in Low-Resource Language Text Classification. *IEEE Transactions on Quantum Engineering*, *5*(Query date: 2026-02-12 02:19:33). https://doi.org/10.1109/TQE.2024.3373903

Zeng, Y. (2022). A Multi-Classification Hybrid Quantum Neural Network Using an All-Qubit Multi-Observable Measurement Strategy. *Entropy*, *24*(3). https://doi.org/10.3390/e24030394

Zheng, J. (2025). A Quantum Spatial Graph Convolutional Neural Network Model on Quantum Circuits. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, *36*(3), 5706–5720. https://doi.org/10.1109/TNNLS.2024.3382174

Zhou, B. (2023). Accelerated Quantum Mechanics/Molecular Mechanics Simulations via Neural Networks Incorporated with Mechanical Embedding Scheme. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, *19*(4), 1157–1169. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.2c01131

Zhou, H. (2023). A self-organizing fuzzy neural network modeling approach using an adaptive quantum particle swarm optimization. *Applied Intelligence*, *53*(11), 13569–13592. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10489-022-04133-8