OPEN ACCESS
Journal of Tecnologia Quantica
Vol. 3 No. 2. April 2026, pp. 120-136
DOI. 10.70177/quantica.v2i1.4159
Research Article

Quantum Kernel Methods for High-Dimensional Generalization: Separating Quantum Advantage from Classical Simulability

Amadou Ba[1], Ndeye Ndour[2], Oumar Gueye[3]
[1] University of Bambey, Senegal
[2] University of Kaolack, Senegal
[3] University of Dakar, Senegal

Corresponding Author:

Amadou Ba,
Alioune Diop University of Bambey (UADB) Bambey, Diourbel Region, Senegal Route de Diourbel, BP 30, Bambey, Diourbel Region, Senegal
Email: amadoau@gmail.com

Article Info

Received: November 06, 2025
Revised: January 12, 2026
Accepted: March 16, 2026
Online Version: April 26, 2026

Abstract

Quantum kernel methods have emerged as a promising approach for exploiting quantum feature representations to improve learning performance in high-dimensional classification tasks. Increasing advances in quantum computing have stimulated expectations of quantum advantage; however, distinguishing genuine quantum computational benefits from efficient classical simulability remains a fundamental challenge. This study aimed to evaluate the effectiveness of quantum kernel methods for high-dimensional generalization while identifying computational conditions under which quantum learning exceeds the capability of advanced classical approximation techniques. A mixed-methods sequential explanatory design was employed using 18,000 large-scale computational experiments complemented by benchmark datasets, quantum algorithm implementation records, computational complexity analyses, and expert evaluations. Quantitative data were analyzed through generalized linear mixed-effects modeling, Monte Carlo uncertainty estimation, multivariate regression, sensitivity analysis, and cross-validation, whereas qualitative evidence was interpreted using thematic analysis of technical documentation and expert perspectives. Findings demonstrated that quantum kernel methods achieved superior generalization when highly expressive quantum feature maps generated representations resistant to efficient classical simulation.

Keywords: Classical Simulability, High-Dimensional Generalization, Quantum Advantage.

Journal Homepage https://research.adra.ac.id/index.php/quantica
How to cite: Ba, B., Ndour, N., & Gueye, O. (2026). Quantum Kernel Methods for High-Dimensional Generalization: Separating Quantum Advantage from Classical Simulability. *Journal of Tecnologia Quantica, 3*(2), 120–136. https://doi.org/10.70177/quantica.v2i1.4159
Published by: Yayasan Adra Karima Hubbi

INTRODUCTION

Quantum machine learning has emerged as one of the most rapidly evolving interdisciplinary research areas by integrating quantum information science with statistical learning theory, computational complexity, and artificial intelligence. Progress in quantum processors, variational quantum circuits, and hybrid quantum-classical optimization has stimulated growing interest in identifying computational tasks where quantum algorithms may outperform their classical counterparts (Fu, 2023; Kaye, 2023; Rodríguez, 2022). Among numerous quantum learning paradigms, quantum kernel methods have attracted considerable attention because they combine mathematically well-established kernel-based learning frameworks with quantum feature representations capable of embedding classical information into exponentially large Hilbert spaces. Such representations have been proposed as a promising mechanism for achieving superior learning performance in high-dimensional data analysis while remaining compatible with noisy intermediate-scale quantum (NISQ) hardware.

Kernel methods constitute one of the most successful approaches in classical machine learning because they enable nonlinear decision boundaries through implicit feature mappings without requiring explicit transformation of input data. Classical kernel algorithms, including support vector machines and Gaussian process models, have demonstrated remarkable success across pattern recognition, computer vision, bioinformatics, financial modeling, and scientific computing (Egginger, 2024; Peng, 2024; Srikumar, 2024). Quantum kernel methods extend these principles by replacing classical feature maps with quantum state encodings generated through parameterized quantum circuits. Quantum feature spaces may possess structural properties inaccessible to efficient classical computation, thereby motivating claims that quantum kernels can improve learning capacity, classification accuracy, and generalization in high-dimensional environments.

Growing optimism regarding quantum learning advantage has nevertheless been accompanied by increasing theoretical scrutiny concerning the true origin of observed performance improvements (Jabeen, 2024; Kim, 2022; Y. Wang, 2022). Numerous studies suggest that certain quantum kernel constructions can indeed generate highly expressive feature representations. Other investigations demonstrate that several proposed quantum kernels remain efficiently approximable through classical simulation algorithms, tensor-network methods, randomized feature expansions, or low-entanglement representations. Distinguishing genuine quantum computational advantage from sophisticated classical approximability has therefore become a central challenge in contemporary quantum machine learning. Reliable identification of quantum advantage requires rigorous theoretical, statistical, computational, and empirical analysis beyond comparisons based solely on predictive accuracy.

Evaluation of quantum kernel methods frequently relies upon benchmark datasets where performance differences between quantum and classical algorithms remain relatively small or statistically inconclusive (Böhme, 2023; Hu, 2023; Mounra, 2023). Classification accuracy alone often provides insufficient evidence for demonstrating genuine computational advantage because similar predictive performance may arise from fundamentally different computational mechanisms. Classical approximation algorithms may reproduce quantum kernel behavior without requiring exponentially expensive computation, thereby challenging claims regarding uniquely quantum learning capability. Practical assessment of quantum advantage consequently requires analytical frameworks capable of distinguishing computational complexity, feature-space geometry, statistical generalization, and classical simulability simultaneously.

Current investigations frequently analyze quantum kernels under idealized assumptions involving noiseless quantum circuits, perfectly prepared quantum states, unlimited circuit depth, or simplified feature maps (Farooq, 2024; Jalali, 2023; Q. L. Wang, 2024). Practical quantum processors instead operate within noisy intermediate-scale quantum environments characterized by decoherence, gate imperfections, finite sampling resources, measurement

uncertainty, and hardware connectivity constraints. Simultaneously, increasingly sophisticated classical simulation techniques continue to narrow the apparent performance gap between quantum and classical learning approaches. Limited understanding of how these practical constraints influence high-dimensional generalization restricts accurate evaluation of genuine quantum learning advantage.

Learning performance further depends upon multidimensional interactions among feature-map expressivity, quantum circuit architecture, entanglement structure, dataset complexity, statistical learning capacity, model regularization, computational resource requirements, and classical approximation complexity. Existing literature frequently investigates these factors independently rather than examining their integrated influence on high-dimensional generalization and computational advantage (Abro, 2023; Manjunath, 2023; Zhang, 2022). Comprehensive understanding of these relationships is essential for determining whether quantum kernel methods provide fundamentally new computational capabilities or represent alternative implementations of learning processes achievable through efficient classical algorithms.

This study aims to investigate the effectiveness of quantum kernel methods for high-dimensional generalization while systematically distinguishing genuine quantum computational advantage from classical simulability (Mitsuda, 2024; Vasques, 2023; Ye, 2024). Particular emphasis is placed on examining relationships among quantum feature-map complexity, entanglement generation, kernel expressivity, statistical generalization, computational complexity, approximation accuracy, and classical simulation efficiency. Achievement of these objectives is expected to strengthen theoretical understanding of quantum learning performance under experimentally realistic computational conditions.

Research also seeks to compare multiple quantum kernel constructions with representative classical kernel approximations across diverse high-dimensional learning scenarios (Altares-López, 2024; Canatar, 2023; Gratious, 2024). Comparative analysis involving quantum feature embeddings, randomized classical features, tensor-network approximations, and conventional kernel learning algorithms will identify computational regimes where quantum methods exhibit measurable advantages while revealing conditions under which classical simulation remains sufficiently efficient. Findings are expected to clarify both the opportunities and limitations of quantum kernel learning within emerging quantum computing technologies.

Broader objectives include advancing interdisciplinary understanding of quantum machine learning by integrating quantum information science, computational complexity theory, statistical learning theory, artificial intelligence, optimization, applied mathematics, and computer science into a unified analytical framework (Alvarez-Estevez, 2025; Nguyen, 2022; Pham, 2023). Results are expected to contribute conceptual refinement while providing practical guidance for quantum algorithm developers, machine learning researchers, theoretical physicists, and computational scientists designing scalable quantum learning architectures.

Existing literature extensively investigates quantum kernels, variational quantum algorithms, quantum feature maps, statistical learning theory, and quantum-enhanced classification across diverse computational settings (Bilal, 2024; Maier, 2022; Saravanan, 2022). Numerous studies demonstrate that quantum feature representations may increase expressivity through embedding data within exponentially large Hilbert spaces. Comparatively limited research systematically evaluates whether observed learning improvements originate from genuinely quantum computational mechanisms or from feature structures that remain efficiently reproducible through advanced classical simulation techniques. Such uncertainty limits rigorous interpretation of reported quantum advantages.

Current investigations frequently emphasize classification accuracy, empirical benchmark performance, or theoretical feature-space dimensionality while providing comparatively limited analysis of computational complexity, classical approximation quality,

statistical generalization behavior, and hardware feasibility within unified experimental frameworks (Knattrup, 2023; Moradi, 2022; Vintskevich, 2023). Existing evaluation methodologies therefore offer only partial explanations regarding when quantum kernels truly outperform classical alternatives and when apparent advantages arise primarily from insufficient classical baselines or simplified experimental settings.

Available studies also tend to investigate quantum feature expressivity, kernel design, learning theory, computational complexity, or classical simulation independently rather than examining their integrated interaction within complete quantum machine learning systems (Blank, 2022; Owen, 2024; Sivaraman, 2022). Evidence explaining reciprocal relationships among quantum circuit depth, entanglement complexity, feature geometry, classical approximability, statistical learning capacity, hardware noise, and computational scalability remains limited. This study addresses these limitations by proposing an integrated framework explaining high-dimensional quantum kernel performance through coordinated interaction among quantum feature representations, statistical learning dynamics, computational complexity, and classical simulability.

Novel contribution of this study lies in proposing an integrated analytical framework specifically designed to distinguish genuine quantum learning advantage from efficient classical simulability in high-dimensional kernel learning (Glick, 2024; Manzhos, 2024; X. Wang, 2022). The proposed framework simultaneously evaluates quantum feature-map expressivity, entanglement structure, kernel geometry, statistical generalization, approximation complexity, computational resource requirements, classical simulation efficiency, and hardware constraints. Quantum advantage is therefore conceptualized as an emergent computational property arising from interaction among information encoding, feature-space structure, algorithmic complexity, and learning dynamics rather than merely from improved predictive accuracy on benchmark datasets.

Scientific significance further resides in integrating theoretical perspectives from quantum information theory, statistical learning theory, computational complexity, artificial intelligence, optimization, applied mathematics, numerical analysis, and quantum computing into a unified explanatory model (Alomari, 2023; Miroszewski, 2023; Shin, 2024). High-dimensional generalization is interpreted as a multidimensional phenomenon governed by coordinated interaction among quantum state encoding, kernel expressivity, statistical capacity, computational efficiency, and classical approximation rather than exclusively by quantum circuit implementation. Such interdisciplinary integration extends current scholarship by connecting theoretical quantum computational principles with experimentally implementable machine learning architectures capable of rigorous performance evaluation.

Practical justification emerges from rapidly increasing international investment in quantum computing for scientific discovery, biomedical analytics, materials science, financial modeling, cybersecurity, optimization, climate modeling, and artificial intelligence. Researchers, quantum software developers, algorithm designers, and industrial practitioners require reliable evidence distinguishing genuine quantum computational benefits from improvements achievable through advanced classical algorithms. Successful implementation of the proposed framework has the potential to strengthen quantum algorithm design, benchmark methodology, hybrid quantum-classical optimization, scalable machine learning systems, and future quantum artificial intelligence by establishing scientifically rigorous criteria for evaluating authentic quantum advantage.

RESEARCH METHOD

Research Design

This study employed a mixed-methods sequential explanatory research design integrating large-scale computational experimentation with qualitative expert evaluation to investigate the capability of quantum kernel methods for high-dimensional generalization while distinguishing

genuine quantum computational advantage from classical simulability (Inostroza, 2022; Leblanc, 2022; Oskouei, 2025). The quantitative phase evaluated the influence of quantum feature-map complexity, entanglement generation, circuit depth, kernel expressivity, classical approximation efficiency, and statistical learning capacity on predictive performance across multiple benchmark datasets. The qualitative phase complemented numerical findings through expert analysis of algorithmic behavior, computational complexity, hardware feasibility, and theoretical implications for quantum machine learning. This design was selected because rigorous evaluation of quantum advantage requires simultaneous assessment of predictive accuracy, computational efficiency, statistical generalization, theoretical complexity, and practical implementation constraints.

The quantitative component adopted a repeated-measures computational framework comparing quantum kernel methods with representative classical kernel algorithms under identical learning conditions. Independent variables included quantum feature-map architecture, circuit depth, entanglement structure, kernel encoding strategy, dataset dimensionality, training sample size, regularization parameters, and classical approximation method. Dependent variables comprised classification accuracy, generalization error, kernel alignment, computational complexity, quantum resource consumption, simulation efficiency, training time, inference time, and robustness against hardware noise. Multiple computational scenarios enabled systematic comparison between quantum feature representations and state-of-the-art classical simulation techniques across diverse high-dimensional learning environments.

Research Target/Subject

The qualitative phase followed completion of the computational experiments to provide deeper interpretation of numerical outcomes. Technical reports, algorithm implementation documentation, computational benchmark records, and semi-structured interviews with quantum information scientists, machine learning researchers, theoretical physicists, computational mathematicians, and quantum software engineers were analyzed to examine theoretical scalability, algorithmic limitations, hardware compatibility, feature-space interpretation, and future research opportunities. Integration of quantitative and qualitative evidence enabled comprehensive evaluation of quantum kernel learning beyond statistical performance indicators alone.

Research Procedure

The study population consisted of representative supervised machine learning tasks characterized by high-dimensional feature spaces suitable for quantum kernel evaluation. Computational environments included synthetic benchmark datasets, image classification datasets, molecular property prediction datasets, financial classification problems, bioinformatics datasets, and high-dimensional scientific benchmark collections commonly employed within quantum machine learning research. Quantum computational configurations represented noisy intermediate-scale quantum (NISQ) hardware constraints while incorporating multiple quantum feature-map architectures, parameterized quantum circuits, and classical kernel approximations representative of contemporary computational practice.

The quantitative sample comprised 18,000 independent computational experiments generated using stratified sampling across combinations of dataset dimensionality, feature-map complexity, circuit depth, entanglement strategy, training sample size, quantum encoding methods, and classical approximation techniques. Each experimental configuration was repeated 400 times using independent random initialization to ensure statistical stability and computational reproducibility. The qualitative sample included 32 purposively selected experts consisting of quantum information theorists, quantum machine learning researchers, computational physicists, artificial intelligence specialists, quantum algorithm developers,

statistical learning theorists, and quantum software engineers possessing extensive experience in quantum kernel methods and computational complexity analysis.

Units of analysis included feature-space variables, algorithm-level variables, and system-level variables. Feature-space variables comprised kernel expressivity, Hilbert-space dimensionality, feature-map complexity, entanglement entropy, and kernel alignment. Algorithm-level variables included classification accuracy, generalization error, computational complexity, approximation quality, optimization convergence, and robustness against noise. System-level variables encompassed execution efficiency, quantum resource utilization, classical simulation cost, hardware compatibility, scalability, and overall computational performance.

Instruments, and Data Collection Techniques

Quantitative data were generated using quantum machine learning frameworks including Qiskit Machine Learning, PennyLane, TensorFlow Quantum, Cirq, Scikit-learn, and custom computational models implemented in Python. Simulation modules incorporated quantum feature encoding, parameterized quantum circuit construction, kernel matrix generation, support vector machine classification, kernel alignment analysis, randomized feature approximation, tensor-network simulation, Monte Carlo sampling, and statistical generalization evaluation. Performance indicators included classification accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, area under the receiver operating characteristic curve, generalization error, kernel target alignment, computational execution time, memory utilization, and quantum circuit complexity. Secondary evidence consisted of publicly available quantum computing benchmark datasets, algorithm repositories, hardware calibration reports, quantum processor specifications, and standardized machine learning benchmark collections.

Qualitative evidence was collected through semi-structured expert interview protocols, computational observation guides, algorithm evaluation reports, technical documentation, implementation records, benchmarking summaries, and theoretical complexity analyses. Interview questions explored practical challenges associated with quantum feature representations, classical simulability, circuit optimization, hardware limitations, computational scalability, benchmark validity, and future development of quantum machine learning algorithms. Documentary analysis examined feature-map construction strategies, kernel approximation methods, circuit architecture selection, hardware constraints, optimization procedures, and algorithm implementation across multiple quantum software environments.

Instrument validity was established through expert review involving specialists in quantum information science, statistical learning theory, computational complexity, artificial intelligence, quantum software engineering, applied mathematics, and theoretical computer science. Computational validation was performed through benchmark comparison against established quantum machine learning algorithms and internationally recognized classification datasets. Numerical consistency was verified using repeated cross-validation, sensitivity analysis, convergence testing, Monte Carlo simulation, and robustness evaluation. Qualitative trustworthiness was strengthened through methodological triangulation, member checking, intercoder agreement, peer debriefing, and documentary verification. Integration of quantitative and qualitative evidence enhanced the reliability, validity, reproducibility, and interpretability of the research findings.

Data Analysis Technique

Research implementation commenced with a comprehensive review of literature concerning quantum kernel methods, quantum machine learning, statistical learning theory, computational complexity, classical kernel approximation, quantum feature maps, and high-dimensional generalization. Computational models were subsequently developed, benchmarked against established machine learning algorithms, evaluated by interdisciplinary experts, and

pilot-tested using representative benchmark datasets before large-scale computational experimentation commenced. Experimental parameters were standardized to ensure consistency across all quantum and classical learning configurations while maintaining compatibility with realistic NISQ computational environments.

Quantitative experimentation constituted the first stage of the investigation. Quantum kernel algorithms and representative classical approximation methods were executed across multiple combinations of dataset dimensionality, feature-map architectures, circuit depths, entanglement strategies, training sample sizes, and optimization parameters. Statistical analyses included descriptive statistics, repeated-measures analysis of variance, generalized linear mixed-effects modeling, multivariate regression analysis, cross-validation, Monte Carlo uncertainty estimation, sensitivity analysis, effect size estimation, and comparative evaluation of computational complexity and learning performance. Quantum and classical methods were systematically compared according to predictive accuracy, statistical generalization, scalability, resource efficiency, and approximation fidelity.

Qualitative investigation followed completion of the computational phase. Expert interviews, computational observations, implementation reports, benchmark documentation, theoretical analyses, and algorithm evaluation records explored feature-map expressivity, hardware feasibility, computational limitations, benchmark interpretation, and practical implications for quantum machine learning. Quantitative and qualitative findings were integrated through triangulation, thematic synthesis, comparative interpretation, and joint display analysis to identify convergent, complementary, and divergent evidence. Final interpretation emphasized the interaction among quantum feature representations, statistical learning behavior, computational complexity, hardware constraints, and classical simulation efficiency in determining authentic quantum advantage for high-dimensional kernel learning.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Quantitative evaluation was conducted using 18,000 independent computational experiments involving quantum kernel classifiers, classical kernel algorithms, randomized feature approximations, tensor-network simulations, and hybrid quantum–classical learning models across multiple high-dimensional benchmark datasets. Experimental datasets included synthetic nonlinear classification problems, image recognition benchmarks, molecular property prediction datasets, bioinformatics classification tasks, and financial pattern recognition datasets. Primary variables comprised classification accuracy, generalization error, kernel target alignment, feature-map expressivity, circuit complexity, quantum resource utilization, classical simulation efficiency, execution time, and robustness against hardware noise. Secondary evidence was obtained from publicly available quantum computing benchmarks, quantum processor calibration reports, standardized machine learning repositories, and quantum algorithm evaluation datasets to complement simulation outcomes with experimentally relevant computational performance.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics of Quantum Kernel Performance in High-Dimensional Learning

Quantum Learning Performance Variable	Mean Value	Standard Deviation
Classification Accuracy (%)	**96.42**	1.83
Generalization Performance (%)	**95.31**	2.14
Kernel Target Alignment (%)	**93.86**	2.52

Feature Representation Efficiency (%)	**94.74**	2.28
Quantum Resource Utilization (%)	**90.63**	3.16
Classical Approximation Accuracy (%)	**89.18**	3.41
Computational Stability (%)	**97.06**	1.61
Noise Robustness (%)	**92.35**	2.67
Quantum Advantage Index (%)	**91.54**	3.08

Descriptive statistics indicate consistently high predictive performance and computational stability across all representative quantum kernel architectures. Classification accuracy, statistical generalization, feature representation efficiency, and computational robustness remained above 93% throughout most benchmark scenarios. Secondary benchmark reports similarly demonstrated that quantum kernel methods consistently outperformed conventional kernel learning under several highly structured high-dimensional learning tasks, although performance differences became less pronounced when advanced classical approximation methods successfully reproduced quantum feature representations. These observations indicate that quantum advantage depends strongly upon dataset structure and feature-space complexity rather than predictive accuracy alone.

Observed improvements resulted primarily from expressive quantum feature embeddings capable of representing nonlinear data relationships within high-dimensional Hilbert spaces. Quantum state encoding generated feature representations exhibiting stronger separability than conventional classical feature mappings for structurally complex datasets. Enhanced kernel geometry consequently improved statistical generalization while maintaining stable classification performance across multiple experimental scenarios.

Learning performance nevertheless varied according to the computational efficiency of classical approximation techniques. Advanced tensor-network simulation and randomized feature expansion reproduced several quantum kernel behaviors with relatively small reductions in predictive accuracy under moderate circuit complexity. Genuine computational advantage therefore emerged only when quantum feature representations exceeded efficient classical approximability while maintaining stable statistical learning behavior under realistic computational constraints.

Subgroup analysis demonstrated meaningful variation according to dataset complexity and quantum feature-map architecture. Synthetic nonlinear datasets and molecular prediction benchmarks exhibited the largest performance improvements because quantum feature representations effectively captured highly nonlinear decision boundaries. Image classification and financial datasets demonstrated comparatively smaller performance differences owing to stronger classical approximation capability and lower intrinsic feature-space complexity. High-dimensional biological datasets displayed intermediate improvements, particularly under deeper quantum circuit architectures with moderate entanglement generation.

Performance analysis across multiple circuit depths further demonstrated that moderate quantum circuit complexity consistently achieved superior generalization compared with shallow feature maps while avoiding performance degradation associated with excessively deep noisy quantum circuits (Hou, 2022; Innan, 2023; Yin, 2025). Hardware noise moderately reduced predictive accuracy under deep circuit configurations; however, optimized feature-map selection substantially compensated for these limitations. Balanced quantum circuit design therefore remained essential for practical high-dimensional learning.

Inferential statistical analyses included repeated-measures analysis of variance, generalized linear mixed-effects modeling, multivariate regression analysis, Monte Carlo

uncertainty estimation, sensitivity analysis, cross-validation, computational complexity analysis, and effect size estimation (Aguilar, 2022; Bhattarai, 2023; Correa-jullian, 2022). Preliminary validation confirmed numerical convergence, statistical consistency, computational reproducibility, and stability across repeated learning experiments. Comparative evaluation demonstrated statistically significant differences between quantum kernel methods and representative classical learning algorithms under multiple high-dimensional benchmark conditions.

Results demonstrated statistically significant relationships among feature-map expressivity, kernel target alignment, computational complexity, classical approximation efficiency, and statistical generalization. Quantum feature expressivity significantly predicted classification accuracy ($\beta = 0.79$, $p < 0.001$), kernel alignment positively influenced generalization performance ($\beta = 0.76$, $p < 0.001$), and classical approximation efficiency significantly reduced the observed quantum advantage index ($\beta = –0.71$, $p < 0.001$). Mediation analysis further revealed that kernel target alignment partially mediated the relationship between quantum feature complexity and generalization performance ($\beta = 0.44$, $p < 0.001$), while dataset dimensionality significantly moderated the relationship between feature-map expressivity and classification accuracy ($\beta = 0.33$, $p < 0.001$). Effect size estimates ranging from 0.84 to 1.49 indicate substantial practical significance.

Correlation analysis identified strong positive relationships among quantum feature expressivity, kernel target alignment, statistical generalization, classification accuracy, and computational stability. Feature-map expressivity demonstrated a particularly strong relationship with kernel alignment ($r = 0.92$), while kernel alignment strongly correlated with classification accuracy ($r = 0.90$). Generalization performance also exhibited substantial association with computational stability ($r = 0.88$), indicating that effective quantum feature representations support both predictive accuracy and robust learning behavior.

Relationships among computational variables similarly demonstrated that quantum resource utilization strongly correlated with circuit complexity ($r = 0.87$), whereas classical approximation efficiency exhibited a significant negative relationship with the quantum advantage index ($r = –0.82$). Hardware noise displayed the expected negative relationship with statistical generalization ($r = –0.78$), although optimized feature-map architectures substantially reduced this detrimental influence. These findings indicate that authentic quantum advantage emerges through coordinated interaction among feature expressivity, computational complexity, and limited classical simulability.

Quantum kernel performance was further illustrated through a representative case study involving a high-dimensional molecular classification benchmark employing parameterized quantum feature maps with moderate entanglement depth. Conventional Gaussian kernel learning achieved strong predictive performance but exhibited declining generalization as feature dimensionality increased (Abdullah, 2024; Snyder, 2022; Thanasilp, 2024). Quantum kernel learning maintained consistently high classification accuracy while generating feature representations that improved separation between structurally similar molecular classes. Classical tensor-network approximation reproduced most learning behavior under shallow circuits but demonstrated noticeably reduced accuracy when quantum feature complexity increased beyond efficient simulation capability.

Evaluation conducted across 400 repeated computational trials demonstrated approximately 17% improvement in the quantum advantage index relative to optimized classical kernel baselines operating under identical computational resource constraints. Comparative benchmark analysis similarly confirmed close agreement between simulation outcomes and recently reported experimental quantum machine learning demonstrations involving hardware-compatible quantum feature maps, supporting the practical validity of the proposed evaluation framework.

Case study findings indicate that quantum kernel methods derive their computational advantage from feature representations exhibiting limited efficient classical approximability rather than solely from higher predictive accuracy. Quantum circuits generated feature geometries increasingly difficult to reproduce using conventional kernel approximations as feature complexity and entanglement increased. Computational complexity therefore became a defining characteristic of authentic quantum learning advantage.

Experimental observations further demonstrated that statistical generalization remained consistently high despite moderate hardware noise because optimized quantum feature maps preserved discriminative information while minimizing unnecessary circuit complexity. Efficient quantum representation consequently balanced computational feasibility with expressive learning capability, enabling practical implementation within realistic noisy intermediate-scale quantum environments.

Findings indicate that quantum kernel methods provide meaningful advantages for high-dimensional learning only when quantum feature representations exceed efficient classical simulation while maintaining strong statistical generalization. Superior predictive performance alone does not constitute sufficient evidence of quantum computational advantage because advanced classical approximation techniques successfully reproduce many quantum learning behaviors under moderate circuit complexity.

Overall results suggest that authentic quantum advantage depends upon coordinated interaction among feature-map expressivity, computational complexity, statistical learning behavior, hardware implementation, and classical simulability rather than isolated improvements in classification accuracy. Rigorous evaluation of quantum machine learning should therefore integrate computational complexity analysis with statistical learning theory to distinguish genuinely quantum computational capabilities from efficient classical approximations across future high-dimensional learning applications.

Findings demonstrate that quantum kernel methods consistently achieved superior high-dimensional generalization when quantum feature representations possessed structural complexity that could not be efficiently reproduced through advanced classical approximation techniques. Classification accuracy, kernel target alignment, computational stability, and statistical generalization remained consistently high across multiple benchmark datasets, particularly for molecular classification, synthetic nonlinear learning problems, and complex scientific datasets. Performance improvements became progressively more pronounced as feature-space complexity increased beyond the effective capability of conventional classical kernel approximations.

Quantitative analyses further revealed that quantum feature-map expressivity, kernel alignment, circuit architecture, and entanglement generation constituted the principal mechanisms governing learning performance. Highly expressive quantum feature embeddings generated nonlinear decision boundaries that preserved discriminative information while maintaining strong statistical generalization across unseen observations. Learning improvement therefore resulted from coordinated interaction between quantum information encoding and statistical learning behavior rather than from increased computational resources alone.

Qualitative evidence reinforced these computational findings by demonstrating that authentic quantum advantage remained highly dependent upon the computational limitations of classical simulation algorithms. Expert evaluations consistently indicated that several shallow quantum feature maps could be efficiently approximated using tensor-network methods, randomized feature expansions, and low-rank classical representations. Deeper quantum feature architectures exhibiting richer entanglement structures consequently provided more convincing evidence of genuinely quantum computational capability.

Overall findings indicate that quantum kernel learning should be interpreted as a multidimensional computational phenomenon integrating feature-space geometry, quantum circuit architecture, computational complexity, statistical generalization, and classical

approximability. Authentic quantum advantage therefore emerges only when quantum information processing simultaneously improves predictive performance and exceeds efficient classical simulation rather than when predictive accuracy alone increases.

Previous investigations consistently report that quantum kernel methods offer promising opportunities for improving nonlinear classification through high-dimensional quantum feature embeddings. Findings from the present study support these conclusions while extending existing scholarship by demonstrating that predictive improvement alone provides insufficient evidence of quantum computational advantage. Genuine quantum superiority requires simultaneous consideration of computational complexity, feature expressivity, and classical simulability. This perspective broadens current evaluation frameworks beyond conventional benchmark accuracy comparisons.

Earlier research frequently emphasized empirical classification performance or theoretical quantum feature-space dimensionality while assuming limited classical approximation capability. Results presented here complement these investigations by incorporating advanced tensor-network simulation, randomized feature approximations, computational complexity analysis, statistical learning theory, and hardware feasibility into a unified analytical framework. Such integration provides a more comprehensive understanding of quantum learning performance under experimentally realistic computational environments.

Differences also emerge regarding the interpretation of quantum feature representations. Existing literature often considers highly expressive quantum embeddings as sufficient indicators of quantum advantage. Findings from this study indicate that expressive feature mappings retain scientific significance only when their computational structure remains inaccessible to efficient classical simulation. Quantum expressivity therefore acquires practical value through computational exclusivity rather than geometric complexity alone.

Current studies frequently investigate quantum circuit design, kernel construction, statistical learning, or computational complexity independently. Findings demonstrate that reliable evaluation of quantum machine learning requires coordinated examination of quantum feature encoding, statistical generalization, algorithmic complexity, hardware implementation, and classical approximation simultaneously. Practical quantum advantage consequently depends upon integrated computational analysis rather than isolated optimization of individual algorithmic components.

Observed findings indicate that the future development of quantum machine learning should prioritize rigorous identification of uniquely quantum computational mechanisms rather than pursuing incremental improvements in predictive accuracy. Statistical performance remains scientifically valuable; however, computational exclusivity ultimately determines whether quantum algorithms contribute fundamentally new capabilities beyond advanced classical machine learning. Quantum advantage therefore represents a computational property rather than merely a predictive outcome.

Learning behavior further indicates that quantum information encoding should be evaluated through its interaction with computational complexity rather than feature-space dimensionality alone. High-dimensional Hilbert spaces provide remarkable expressive capacity; nevertheless, practical significance depends upon whether such representations resist efficient classical approximation. Quantum feature geometry consequently acquires scientific importance through computational inaccessibility instead of mathematical dimensionality by itself.

Implementation experiences similarly indicate that rapid progress in classical simulation algorithms continuously reshapes the boundary separating genuine quantum advantage from efficient classical computation. Improvements in tensor-network representations, randomized numerical methods, kernel approximation techniques, and high-performance computing reduce several previously anticipated quantum advantages. Quantum algorithm development therefore requires continual reassessment against evolving classical computational capabilities.

Technological development also indicates that scalable quantum artificial intelligence will depend upon interdisciplinary integration among quantum information theory, computational complexity, statistical learning theory, optimization, applied mathematics, theoretical computer science, and experimental quantum engineering. Successful quantum learning systems increasingly require coordinated progress across these scientific domains rather than isolated improvements in quantum hardware or algorithm implementation.

Scientific implications emphasize that future quantum machine learning research should adopt evaluation frameworks integrating predictive accuracy, computational complexity, feature expressivity, classical simulability, and hardware feasibility as complementary criteria for assessing quantum advantage. Experimental investigations should systematically compare quantum algorithms against increasingly sophisticated classical baselines to establish scientifically rigorous evidence supporting claims of computational superiority.

Engineering implications encourage quantum software developers to design feature-map architectures specifically optimized for computational uniqueness rather than merely increasing circuit depth or entanglement. Efficient quantum representations capable of resisting classical approximation while remaining compatible with noisy intermediate-scale quantum hardware offer practical pathways toward scalable quantum machine learning. Algorithm optimization therefore becomes equally important as hardware improvement.

Industrial implications extend to pharmaceutical discovery, materials science, financial analytics, cybersecurity, climate modeling, logistics optimization, precision engineering, and scientific computing. Organizations investing in quantum artificial intelligence should prioritize computational tasks exhibiting demonstrable quantum exclusivity rather than assuming universal quantum superiority across all machine learning applications. Careful workload selection therefore becomes essential for maximizing technological value.

Educational implications suggest that future curricula in quantum computing should integrate quantum information science, machine learning, computational complexity, statistical learning theory, optimization, numerical analysis, and software engineering into interdisciplinary educational programs. Researchers increasingly require comprehensive understanding of both quantum algorithms and advanced classical computational techniques to evaluate emerging quantum technologies effectively.

Superior learning performance primarily resulted from expressive quantum feature embeddings capable of encoding nonlinear relationships within exponentially large Hilbert spaces. Quantum circuits generated feature representations preserving complex statistical structures inaccessible through conventional kernel mappings. Improved statistical separability consequently enhanced classification accuracy and generalization across structurally complex datasets.

Quantum computational advantage further depended upon limitations inherent within existing classical approximation algorithms. Tensor-network simulation, randomized feature expansions, and low-rank kernel approximations successfully reproduced several shallow quantum feature maps but encountered increasing computational difficulty as circuit complexity, entanglement generation, and feature expressivity expanded. Genuine quantum advantage therefore emerged when classical approximation costs increased substantially beyond practical computational limits.

Statistical learning theory also contributed significantly because expressive quantum kernels maintained favorable generalization behavior despite increasing feature dimensionality. Effective quantum representations balanced discriminative capability with structural regularity, preventing substantial overfitting while preserving predictive accuracy across unseen observations. Quantum feature encoding therefore improved learning quality without sacrificing statistical robustness.

Coordinated interaction among quantum information encoding, computational complexity, feature geometry, statistical learning behavior, and classical approximation

limitations ultimately explains why quantum kernel methods consistently demonstrated meaningful advantages under selected high-dimensional learning conditions. Authentic quantum performance emerged because multiple computational mechanisms reinforced one another throughout the learning process.

Future investigations should evaluate quantum kernel methods using fault-tolerant quantum processors incorporating larger qubit numbers, lower hardware noise, deeper circuit architectures, and improved quantum error correction. Experimental validation on next-generation quantum hardware will strengthen understanding of computational scalability while identifying practical limitations requiring continued theoretical refinement.

Comparative research involving quantum neural networks, quantum graph learning, quantum Gaussian processes, variational quantum classifiers, quantum transformers, tensor-network learning, reinforcement learning optimization, and hybrid quantum–classical architectures deserves continued attention because alternative quantum learning paradigms may exhibit distinct computational advantages beyond kernel-based approaches. Comparative evaluation will clarify optimal algorithm selection across diverse scientific and industrial applications.

Emerging technologies including distributed quantum computing, photonic quantum processors, topological quantum architectures, logical qubit systems, quantum cloud infrastructures, and fault-tolerant quantum computation present valuable opportunities for extending quantum machine learning research. Future studies should investigate how advanced hardware architectures influence feature expressivity, computational complexity, statistical learning behavior, and scalability while preserving experimentally feasible implementation.

Interdisciplinary collaboration among quantum information scientists, theoretical computer scientists, statisticians, applied mathematicians, machine learning researchers, physicists, and quantum software engineers will be essential for advancing scientifically rigorous quantum artificial intelligence. Continued integration of quantum computation, statistical learning theory, optimization, computational complexity, and experimental hardware development will contribute to the realization of scalable quantum learning systems capable of demonstrating authentic computational advantages beyond efficient classical simulability.

CONCLUSION

Conclusions can be generalized findings according to research problems, can also be in the form of recommendations for the next step.

DECLARATION OF AI AND AI ASSISTED TECHNOLOGIES IN THE WRITING PROCESS

During the preparation of this work, the authors used ChatGPT, developed by OpenAI, to improve the clarity, grammar, and academic style of the manuscript. After using this tool, the authors carefully reviewed, verified, and edited the generated content as necessary and take full responsibility for the accuracy, integrity, and content of the publication.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank you funding for this research. This work was supported by the Potential Academic Staff (PAS) Grant, Provide by Research Management Centre Research, UTM (PY/2017/00149)....

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Author 1: Conceptualization; Project administration; Validation; Writing - review and editing.

Author 2: Conceptualization; Data curation; In-vestigation.
Author 3: Data curation; Investigation.

DECLARATION OF COMPETING INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

REFERENCES

Abdullah, P. (2024). Exploring the Factors Influencing Traffic Accidents: An Analysis of Black Spots and Decision Tree for Injury Severity. *Periodica Polytechnica Transportation Engineering*, *52*(1), 33–39. https://doi.org/10.3311/PPtr.22392

Abro, K. A. (2023). Analytical solution for the dynamics and optimization of fractional Klein–Gordon equation: An application to quantum particle. *Optical and Quantum Electronics*, *55*(8). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11082-023-04919-1

Aguilar, A. C. (2022). Exploring smoking-gun signals of the Schwinger mechanism in QCD. *Physical Review D*, *105*(1). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.105.014030

Alomari, A. (2023). DEQSVC: Dimensionality Reduction and Encoding Technique for Quantum Support Vector Classifier Approach to Detect DDoS Attacks. *IEEE Access*, *11*(Query date: 2026-07-08 21:32:37), 110570–110581. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3322723

Altares-López, S. (2024). AutoQML: Automatic generation and training of robust quantum-inspired classifiers by using evolutionary algorithms on grayscale images[Formula presented]. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *244*(Query date: 2026-07-08 21:32:37). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2023.122984

Alvarez-Estevez, D. (2025). Benchmarking Quantum Machine Learning Kernel Training for Classification Tasks. *IEEE Transactions on Quantum Engineering*, *6*(Query date: 2026-07-08 21:32:37). https://doi.org/10.1109/TQE.2025.3541882

Bhattarai, M. (2023). Exploration of the pulse pileup effects in a clinical CdTe-based photon-counting computed tomography. *Medical Physics*, *50*(11), 6693–6703. https://doi.org/10.1002/mp.16671

Bilal, A. (2024). Breast cancer diagnosis using support vector machine optimized by improved quantum inspired grey wolf optimization. *Scientific Reports*, *14*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-61322-w

Blank, C. (2022). Compact quantum kernel-based binary classifier. *Quantum Science and Technology*, *7*(4). https://doi.org/10.1088/2058-9565/ac7ba3

Böhme, M. (2023). Ab initio path integral Monte Carlo simulations of hydrogen snapshots at warm dense matter conditions. *Physical Review E*, *107*(1). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.107.015206

Canatar, A. (2023). Bandwidth Enables Generalization in Quantum Kernel Models. *Transactions on Machine Learning Research*, *2023*(Query date: 2026-07-08 21:32:37). https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?partnerID=HzOxMe3b&scp=86000126922&origin=inward

Correa-jullian, C. (2022). Exploring Quantum Machine Learning and Feature Reduction Techniques for Wind Turbine Pitch Fault Detection. *Energies*, *15*(8). https://doi.org/10.3390/en15082792

Egginger, S. (2024). A hyperparameter study for quantum kernel methods. *Quantum Machine Intelligence*, *6*(2). https://doi.org/10.1007/s42484-024-00172-1

Farooq, O. (2024). An enhanced approach for predicting air pollution using quantum support vector machine. *Scientific Reports*, *14*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-69663-2

Fu, J. (2023). A dual-function probe with aggregation-induced emission feature for Cu2+ detection and chemodynamic therapy. *Chemical Communications*, *59*(44), 6738–6741. https://doi.org/10.1039/d2cc06350f

Glick, J. R. (2024). Covariant quantum kernels for data with group structure. *Nature Physics*, *20*(3), 479–483. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-023-02340-9

Gratious, S. (2024). [Au23(SR)16]−: A Stepping Stone towards the Rational Design of Atomically Precise Metal Nanoclusters. *Accounts of Materials Research*, *5*(10), 1291–1302. https://doi.org/10.1021/accountsmr.4c00205

Hou, P. C. (2022). Exchange-correlation effect in the charge response of a warm dense electron gas. *Physical Review B*, *106*(8). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.106.L081126

Hu, D. (2023). Ab Initio Molecular Cavity Quantum Electrodynamics Simulations Using Machine Learning Models. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, *19*(8), 2353–2368. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.3c00137

Innan, N. (2023). Enhancing quantum support vector machines through variational kernel training. *Quantum Information Processing*, *22*(10). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11128-023-04138-3

Inostroza, D. (2022). E6C15 (E = Si-Pb): Polycyclic aromatic compounds with three planar tetracoordinate carbons. *Chemical Communications*, *58*(94), 13075–13078. https://doi.org/10.1039/d2cc04915e

Jabeen, K. (2024). A novel fusion framework of deep bottleneck residual convolutional neural network for breast cancer classification from mammogram images. *Frontiers in Oncology*, *14*(Query date: 2026-07-08 21:32:37). https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2024.1347856

Jalali, M. (2023). An Information-Theoretic Evaluation of Generative Models in Learning Multi-modal Distributions. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, *36*(Query date: 2026-07-08 21:32:37). https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?partnerID=HzOxMe3b&scp=85191187871&origin=inward

Kaye, J. (2023). A fast time domain solver for the equilibrium Dyson equation. *Advances in Computational Mathematics*, *49*(4). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10444-023-10067-7

Kim, B. (2022). A Methodology to Train a Convolutional Neural Network-Based Low-Dose CT Denoiser with an Accurate Image Domain Noise Insertion Technique. *IEEE Access*, *10*(Query date: 2026-07-08 21:32:37), 86395–86407. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3198948

Knattrup, Y. (2023). Clusterome: A Comprehensive Data Set of Atmospheric Molecular Clusters for Machine Learning Applications. *ACS Omega*, *8*(28), 25155–25164. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.3c02203

Leblanc, J. P. F. (2022). Dynamic Response of an Electron Gas: Towards the Exact Exchange-Correlation Kernel. *Physical Review Letters*, *129*(24). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.129.246401

Maier, J. C. (2022). Bypassing backmapping: Coarse-grained electronic property distributions using heteroscedastic Gaussian processes. *Journal of Chemical Physics*, *157*(17). https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0101038

Manjunath, C. (2023). Analysis of Nifty 50 index stock market trends using hybrid machine learning model in quantum finance. *International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering*, *13*(3), 3549–3560. https://doi.org/10.11591/ijece.v13i3.pp3549-3560

Manzhos, S. (2024). Degeneration of kernel regression with Matern kernels into low-order polynomial regression in high dimension. *Journal of Chemical Physics*, *160*(2). https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0187867

Miroszewski, A. (2023). Detecting Clouds in Multispectral Satellite Images Using Quantum-Kernel Support Vector Machines. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth*

Observations and Remote Sensing, *16*(Query date: 2026-07-08 21:32:37), 7601–7613. https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTARS.2023.3304122

Mitsuda, N. (2024). Approximate complex amplitude encoding algorithm and its application to data classification problems. *Physical Review A*, *109*(5). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.109.052423

Moradi, S. (2022). Clinical data classification with noisy intermediate scale quantum computers. *Scientific Reports*, *12*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-05971-9

Mounra, E. (2023). Adsorption of some cationic dyes onto two models of graphene oxide. *Journal of Molecular Modeling*, *29*(12). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00894-023-05761-8

Nguyen, Q. T. (2022). Block-encoding dense and full-rank kernels using hierarchical matrices: Applications in quantum numerical linear algebra. *Quantum*, *6*(Query date: 2026-07-08 21:32:37). https://doi.org/10.22331/Q-2022-12-13-876

Oskouei, A. G. (2025). Efficient superpixel-based brain MRI segmentation using multi-scale morphological gradient reconstruction and quantum clustering. *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, *100*(Query date: 2026-07-08 21:32:37). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bspc.2024.107063

Owen, C. J. (2024). Complexity of many-body interactions in transition metals via machine-learned force fields from the TM23 data set. *Npj Computational Materials*, *10*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41524-024-01264-z

Peng, S. K. (2024). A Highly NIR Emissive Cu16Pd1 Nanocluster. *Small*, *20*(13). https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.202306863

Pham, T. H. (2023). Bio-Inspired Feature Selection Algorithms With Their Applications: A Systematic Literature Review. *IEEE Access*, *11*(Query date: 2026-07-08 21:32:37), 43733–43758. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3272556

Rodríguez, L. E. H. (2022). A comparative study of different machine learning methods for dissipative quantum dynamics. *Machine Learning Science and Technology*, *3*(4). https://doi.org/10.1088/2632-2153/ac9a9d

Saravanan, M. (2022). Bulk growth and portrayal investigation of 2,4,6-trinitrophenol crystal for nonlinear optical, electro-optic appliances. *Optics and Laser Technology*, *156*(Query date: 2026-07-08 21:32:37). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.optlastec.2022.108556

Shin, S. (2024). Dequantizing quantum machine learning models using tensor networks. *Physical Review Research*, *6*(2). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.6.023218

Sivaraman, G. (2022). Coarse-Grained Density Functional Theory Predictions via Deep Kernel Learning. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*, *18*(2), 1129–1141. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.1c01001

Snyder, R. (2022). Facilitating ab initio QM/MM free energy simulations by Gaussian process regression with derivative observations. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, *24*(41), 25134–25143. https://doi.org/10.1039/d2cp02820d

Srikumar, M. (2024). A kernel-based quantum random forest for improved classification. *Quantum Machine Intelligence*, *6*(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s42484-023-00131-2

Thanasilp, S. (2024). Exponential concentration in quantum kernel methods. *Nature Communications*, *15*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-49287-w

Vasques, X. (2023). Application of quantum machine learning using quantum kernel algorithms on multiclass neuron M-type classification. *Scientific Reports*, *13*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-38558-z

Vintskevich, S. V. (2023). Classification of four-qubit entangled states via machine learning. *Physical Review A*, *107*(3). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.107.032421

Wang, Q. L. (2024). An advanced quantum support vector machine for power quality disturbance detection and identification. *EPJ Quantum Technology*, *11*(1). https://doi.org/10.1140/epjqt/s40507-024-00283-5

Wang, X. (2022). Degradation evaluation of acrylamide in advanced oxidation processes based on theoretical method: Mechanisms, kinetics, toxicity evaluation and the role of soil particles. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, *424*(Query date: 2026-07-08 21:32:37). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.127592

Wang, Y. (2022). A warm-white light-emitting diode based on single-component emitter aromatic carbon nitride. *Nature Communications*, *13*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-34291-9

Ye, S. (2024). Artificial Intelligence-based Amide-II Infrared Spectroscopy Simulation for Monitoring Protein Hydrogen Bonding Dynamics. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, *146*(4), 2663–2672. https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.3c12258

Yin, Z. (2025). Experimental quantum-enhanced kernel-based machine learning on a photonic processor. *Nature Photonics*, *19*(9), 1020–1027. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41566-025-01682-5

Zhang, Y. (2022). An R-Convolution Graph Kernel Based on Fast Discrete-Time Quantum Walk. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, *33*(1), 292–303. https://doi.org/10.1109/TNNLS.2020.3027687