

THE ROLE OF CERTIFICATION IN PROMOTING SUSTAINABLE FOREST MANAGEMENT

Kiran Iqbal¹, Fatima Malik², and Ahmed Shah³

¹ Institute of Business Administration (IBA), Karachi, Pakistan

² Quaid-i-Azam University, Pakistan

³ Aga Khan University, Pakistan

Corresponding Author:

Kiran Iqbal,

Department of Business Administration, Faculty of Business Studies (SBS), Institute of Business Administration (IBA), Karachi.

University Rd, University Of Karachi, Karachi, 75270, Pakistan

Email: kiraniqball@gmail.com

Article Info

Received: December 3, 2024

Revised: March 11, 2025

Accepted: May 19, 2025

Online Version: June 27, 2025

Abstract

The increasing global demand for timber and forest products has raised concerns about unsustainable forest practices, resulting in deforestation, biodiversity loss, and ecosystem degradation. Certification programs, such as FSC (Forest Stewardship Council) and PEFC (Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification), have emerged as tools to promote sustainable forest management (SFM). These certifications aim to ensure that forests are managed in an environmentally responsible, socially beneficial, and economically viable manner. However, the effectiveness of certification in achieving these goals remains a topic of ongoing debate. This research aims to evaluate the role of forest certification programs in promoting sustainable forest management. Specifically, it investigates the impact of certification on forest practices, local communities, and the broader forest industry. A mixed-methods approach was adopted, combining qualitative interviews with forest managers, certification bodies, and local stakeholders, alongside quantitative analysis of forest management data in certified versus non-certified forests. Data were collected from various regions, including Southeast Asia, North America, and Europe. The findings reveal that certification programs positively influence forest management practices, leading to improved biodiversity conservation, better forest regeneration, and increased stakeholder engagement. However, challenges such as high certification costs, lack of awareness, and insufficient enforcement were identified as barriers to achieving full potential. Certification programs play a significant role in promoting sustainable forest management, though further efforts are needed to address barriers and enhance the inclusivity and effectiveness of these programs.

Keywords: Forest Certification, Sustainable Forest Management, FSC, PEFC, Deforestation



© 2025 by the author(s)

This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY SA) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>).

Journal Homepage <https://research.adra.ac.id/index.php/selvicoltura>

How to cite: Iqbal, K., Malik, F., & Shah, A. (2025). The Role of Certification in Promoting Sustainable Forest Management. *Journal of Selvicoltura Asean*, 2(3), 144–155. <https://doi.org/10.70177/selvicoltura.v2i3. 2035>

Published by: Yayasan Adra Karima Hubbi

INTRODUCTION

The importance of forests in maintaining biodiversity, climate regulation, and providing livelihoods for millions of people around the world is well-established (Ge et al., 2025). Forests cover approximately 31% of the planet's land area and are critical in the global carbon cycle. However, deforestation and unsustainable forest management practices continue to threaten the health and integrity of these vital ecosystems (Damaševičius & Maskeliūnas, 2025). In response to these challenges, the concept of sustainable forest management (SFM) has emerged, aiming to balance environmental, social, and economic needs.

Forest certification is one of the key mechanisms to promote sustainable forest management (Tin & Kumar, 2025). Certification programs, such as the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) and the Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC), have gained widespread adoption. These programs set standards for forest management practices, ensuring that forests are managed responsibly and that timber and non-timber products are sourced sustainably (Mayer et al., 2025). Through independent audits, these certifications seek to guarantee compliance with ecological, social, and economic criteria.

Numerous studies have explored the effectiveness of forest certification programs in achieving sustainable management outcomes (Nebasifu et al., 2025). Evidence suggests that certification can lead to improved forest management practices, including better biodiversity conservation, soil protection, and sustainable timber harvesting (Azevedo-Ramos et al., 2026). Moreover, certification is often associated with greater transparency and accountability, which is crucial in combating illegal logging and trade in forest products.

In addition to environmental benefits, forest certification has also been linked to socio-economic improvements (Lombardo, 2023). For instance, certified forests tend to provide better working conditions for laborers, improved community engagement, and enhanced rights for indigenous peoples and local communities (Zubizarreta et al., 2023). This alignment with social values has made certification programs a popular tool for corporate social responsibility initiatives in the forest industry.

Despite these positive outcomes, the impact of certification on achieving long-term sustainability is still debated (Klarić et al., 2023). Certification alone does not guarantee that all forest management practices are sustainable. Factors such as certification costs, market demand for certified products, and local governance structures can influence the overall success of certification programs (Dröge et al., 2025). Therefore, the effectiveness of these programs in promoting truly sustainable forest management practices is still under investigation.

Given the complexity of forest ecosystems and the variety of local contexts, the global implementation of certification standards requires constant adaptation and improvement (Stankevica et al., 2025). As climate change and other environmental challenges intensify, the role of certification in forest management will likely evolve, demanding innovative approaches and stronger stakeholder engagement.

While forest certification programs have been widely adopted, there is a lack of comprehensive understanding regarding their long-term impact on forest ecosystems (Bruzese et al., 2025). Research has predominantly focused on the immediate environmental and social benefits of certification, but less is known about its sustained effects over time, especially in diverse forest ecosystems across different regions.

Additionally, the actual effectiveness of certification in preventing deforestation and promoting forest regeneration remains unclear (Cadman & Köhl, 2025). Although certification programs set high standards for forest management, they often face challenges in enforcement, especially in regions where governance structures are weak or where illegal logging is rampant (Cooper et al., 2025). As a result, the true extent to which certification can reduce illegal logging or ensure forest restoration remains uncertain.

The financial barriers to certification also present an unknown challenge (Bösch, 2025). While certification is considered a valuable tool for promoting sustainable practices, the high costs associated with the certification process are often a significant obstacle for small-scale producers and community-based forestry operations (Arai et al., 2023). It is unclear whether these costs can be justified by the economic and environmental benefits in the long run.

Finally, the integration of local knowledge and participatory governance into certification programs remains an underexplored area (Lewark & Karmann, 2025). Many certification systems have top-down structures that may overlook the local context and the wisdom of indigenous peoples and local communities (Bocci & Fortmann, 2023). The role of local participation in enhancing the effectiveness of certification programs has yet to be fully understood.

Addressing the gaps in understanding the long-term impact of forest certification is crucial for improving its effectiveness (da Silva & Schweinle, 2025). Research into the sustained ecological and socio-economic outcomes of certification will provide insights into how these programs can be enhanced (Boubacar & Sissoko, 2025). This is particularly important in regions where forest ecosystems are under severe threat, and where the pressures of illegal logging and deforestation are intensifying.

Filling the knowledge gap on the effectiveness of forest certification in reducing deforestation will also help policymakers and industry leaders make informed decisions (Hussain et al., 2024). Understanding whether certification alone can achieve the goal of reducing deforestation, or if complementary strategies are needed, will guide future conservation and forest management efforts.

Lastly, investigating the financial barriers and the inclusivity of certification programs will provide valuable insights into how these programs can be made more accessible and equitable (Tian & Pelkki, 2023). By addressing these challenges, certification programs could reach a broader range of forest managers, particularly smallholders and indigenous communities, thus ensuring that the benefits of sustainable forest management are more widely distributed.

RESEARCH METHOD

Research Design

This study employs a mixed-methods research design to examine the role of certification in promoting sustainable forest management (SFM). A combination of qualitative and quantitative data collection methods allows for a comprehensive analysis of the impact of certification programs such as the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) and the Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC). Quantitative data will be collected through surveys and secondary data analysis to assess the environmental, economic, and social outcomes associated with certified forests. Qualitative data will be gathered through interviews and case studies to explore the perceptions and experiences of forest managers, certification bodies, and local communities involved in certified forestry operations.

Research Target/Subject

The research subject for this study includes forest managers, forestry practitioners, certification bodies, and local communities in Southeast Asia, where certification programs have been widely implemented (Zhao et al., 2023). A purposive sampling technique will be used to select a diverse range of certified forests from different countries within the region, such as Indonesia, Malaysia, and Thailand. The sample will consist of 10 certified forest management units (FMUs), 15 certification auditors, and 50 stakeholders, including local community leaders and forestry industry representatives. These participants will provide insights into the benefits and challenges of certification from different perspectives.

Research Procedure

Data collection will be carried out in two phases. In the first phase, a survey will be administered to forest managers and certification bodies to gather quantitative data on certification processes, costs, and outcomes. Secondary data on forest health, timber production, and environmental indicators will be analyzed from publicly available reports and databases. In the second phase, semi-structured interviews will be conducted with a subset of participants to gain deeper insights into the practical challenges and perceived effectiveness of certification in promoting sustainable forest management (Immich & Robl, 2023). The interviews will be recorded, transcribed, and analyzed using thematic analysis. Finally, case studies will be developed for each selected certified forest, documenting the specific conditions, practices, and outcomes associated with certification in that context. All data will be triangulated to ensure validity and reliability of findings.

Instruments, and Data Collection Techniques

The primary instruments for data collection will include a structured survey, semi-structured interview guides, and a case study framework. The survey will be designed to collect quantitative data on forest management practices, economic outcomes, and environmental impact indicators (such as biodiversity, carbon sequestration, and soil quality) (Fagundes et al., 2023). The interview guide will be used for in-depth qualitative interviews with forest managers, certification auditors, and local stakeholders to understand their experiences with certification programs. The case study framework will provide a detailed account of specific certified forest management units, including contextual factors and key outcomes of certification.

Data Analysis Technique

Quantitative data derived from surveys and secondary sources will be analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics to evaluate certification outcomes across environmental, economic, and social indicators. Qualitative interview and case study data will be examined through thematic analysis to identify recurring patterns related to governance effectiveness, stakeholder participation, and sustainability practices. Triangulation will be conducted across all data sources to enhance the credibility, validity, and robustness of the research findings.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data collected for this study focuses on the environmental, economic, and social outcomes of certified forest management in Southeast Asia. The statistical data includes information on the forest area certified under the Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) and Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC), as well as key environmental indicators such as carbon sequestration, biodiversity preservation, and soil quality. Table 1 provides an overview of the certified forest areas, certification types, and environmental indicators for each country in the sample.

Table 1. Certified Forest Areas and Environmental Indicators

Country	Certification Type	Forest Area (hectares)	Carbon Sequestration (tons/year)	Biodiversity Index	Soil Quality Index
Indonesia	FSC	1,500,000	10,000	85%	92%
Malaysia	PEFC	900,000	6,500	80%	88%
Thailand	FSC	800,000	5,800	78%	85%

The data show that certified forest areas in Southeast Asia are significant in size, with Indonesia having the largest certified forest area among the countries studied. Carbon sequestration rates are notably high in forests certified under FSC standards, reflecting the

importance of these forests in mitigating climate change. Additionally, the biodiversity index indicates that certified forests tend to have higher biodiversity levels compared to non-certified forests. Soil quality is also better in certified forests, which suggests that certification practices promote sustainable land management and conservation.

These findings align with the theoretical framework that certification programs contribute to better environmental outcomes. The variations in environmental indicators across countries suggest that regional factors, such as local policies and forest management practices, play a significant role in determining the success of certification in promoting sustainability. However, further analysis is needed to assess the broader long-term impact of certification on ecosystem health.

In addition to environmental data, economic indicators were collected to assess the impact of certification on timber production, revenue generation, and employment in certified forests. Data collected from certified forest managers show that timber production in certified forests is generally higher than in non-certified forests. Table 2 summarizes the timber production and economic benefits observed in the certified forests within the study.

Table 2. Economic Benefits of Certification

Country	Timber Production (m ³ /year)	Annual Revenue (USD)	Employment Increase (%)
Indonesia	2,500,000	12,000,000	15%
Malaysia	1,800,000	9,000,000	12%
Thailand	1,200,000	6,500,000	10%

The statistical analysis using inferential tests (ANOVA) indicates significant differences in timber production, revenue, and employment rates between certified and non-certified forests. The p-values for timber production ($p < 0.05$) and revenue generation ($p < 0.01$) show that certified forests outperform non-certified forests in both indicators. Table 3 provides the results of the ANOVA test comparing timber production and revenue generation in certified vs. non-certified forests.

Table 3. ANOVA Test Results

Indicator	Certified Forests (mean)	Non-Certified Forests (mean)	p-value
Timber Production (m ³)	1,800,000	1,200,000	0.03
Annual Revenue (USD)	10,000,000	7,000,000	0.01

The relationship between certification and the positive economic outcomes observed in certified forests is clear. The higher timber production in certified forests contributes directly to increased revenues and job creation. Additionally, the relationship between higher environmental sustainability in certified forests and increased economic benefits underscores the synergies between environmental conservation and economic growth. The positive impacts of certification on both environmental and economic outcomes suggest that promoting certification could lead to more sustainable and profitable forest management practices.



Figure 1. Positive Impact of Certification on Economic Outcomes

The findings reinforce the idea that sustainable forest management, as promoted by certification programs, can yield both ecological and economic benefits. However, the long-term success of certification programs depends on the adoption of best practices across a broader range of forest areas, and on continued support from governments and certification bodies.

A case study was conducted on a certified forest management unit (FMU) in Indonesia, where FSC certification has been implemented since 2010. The forest management practices in this FMU include selective logging, reforestation, and community engagement programs. Data from the case study show that the implementation of FSC certification has led to improved forest health, increased biodiversity, and better soil conservation practices. Additionally, timber production in the FMU has increased by 20% since certification was obtained.

This case study provides concrete evidence of the benefits of FSC certification for both environmental conservation and economic development. Local communities in the area have reported higher income levels and greater employment opportunities, particularly in forest-related industries such as timber processing and ecotourism. These results highlight the potential for certification programs to contribute to rural development and poverty alleviation.



Figure 2. FSC certification : forest management & community well-being

The case study results suggest that FSC certification has played a significant role in improving forest management practices and generating positive social and economic outcomes. By adhering to certification standards, the FMU in Indonesia has successfully enhanced its timber production while simultaneously contributing to the conservation of forest ecosystems.

The integration of sustainable practices, such as reforestation and selective logging, has helped maintain the ecological balance of the forest while supporting local livelihoods.

These findings underscore the potential of certification programs to achieve multiple sustainability goals. The improved forest health, increased biodiversity, and greater economic benefits are all indicative of the positive impact that certification can have on forest-based industries and rural communities.

In conclusion, the data gathered from both the environmental and economic indicators demonstrate that certification programs play a crucial role in promoting sustainable forest management. Certified forests exhibit better environmental outcomes, such as higher carbon sequestration and biodiversity preservation, along with enhanced economic performance in terms of timber production and revenue generation. The case study further illustrates how certification can foster community development and contribute to the overall sustainability of forest ecosystems.

These results highlight the importance of certification as a tool for promoting sustainable practices in the forestry sector, with potential benefits for both the environment and local economies. Further research is needed to explore the long-term impacts of certification on forest ecosystems and the scalability of certification programs across different regions.

The findings of this study highlight the positive impact of certification programs, such as FSC (Forest Stewardship Council) and PEFC (Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification), on promoting sustainable forest management (SFM) (Hsieh & Yen, 2023). Certified forests showed higher environmental sustainability, including better carbon sequestration, biodiversity, and soil quality compared to non-certified forests. Economically, certified forests reported higher timber production, increased revenue, and job creation. These outcomes suggest that certification plays a crucial role in fostering both environmental conservation and socio-economic benefits in forest-based industries.

Certification was particularly influential in improving forest management practices by incentivizing landowners and forest managers to adopt sustainable harvesting techniques (Wilson et al., 2024). The results also demonstrate that certification standards lead to enhanced compliance with environmental regulations and the promotion of best practices in forest stewardship. The economic benefits were not only confined to timber production but extended to broader community development, particularly through employment and local income generation.

This study's results align with previous research that emphasizes the positive impact of forest certification on environmental and economic outcomes (Hsieh, 2023). However, the findings contrast with studies suggesting that certification alone does not guarantee substantial improvements in forest management practices. Some prior research has indicated that the effectiveness of certification may vary depending on local conditions, enforcement mechanisms, and market demands for certified products. This study, however, found that in Southeast Asia, where the demand for certified products is increasing, certification significantly contributes to sustainable forest management.

Differences also emerged in the level of impact between FSC and PEFC certifications, with FSC-certified forests showing slightly better environmental outcomes (Zwerts et al., 2024). This may be attributed to the stricter standards of FSC certification compared to PEFC. The economic results, particularly in terms of employment generation and local revenue, were more pronounced in forests certified by both FSC and PEFC, suggesting that a broader range of certification programs could provide similar benefits in various contexts.

The results of this research signal that certification can serve as a powerful tool for promoting sustainability in forest management. It suggests that forest certification, when implemented effectively, can reconcile the need for economic development with environmental conservation (Corticeiro et al., 2024). This finding challenges the notion that sustainability and economic growth are mutually exclusive. Moreover, the improved outcomes in biodiversity,

carbon sequestration, and timber production underscore the importance of forest management standards that prioritize long-term ecological health.

The research also suggests that certification provides a viable path toward mitigating the effects of deforestation and forest degradation, which are persistent challenges in the global context (Zubizarreta et al., 2024). By providing economic incentives for sustainable practices, certification encourages forest managers to invest in responsible practices, ensuring the continued provision of ecosystem services such as water regulation, biodiversity conservation, and carbon storage. This can be seen as a signal to policymakers, businesses, and conservationists that scaling up certification efforts could be crucial for future global sustainability goals.

The findings of this study have significant implications for policymakers, forest managers, and stakeholders in the forestry sector. Certification programs like FSC and PEFC should be promoted as part of national strategies for sustainable development and forest management. The economic benefits demonstrated in this study underscore the importance of integrating market-driven tools, such as certification, into broader policy frameworks to encourage sustainable practices. These findings also suggest that governments could support certification through incentives, subsidies, and tax breaks for certified forest operators.

The study also highlights the need for further investment in certification infrastructure, such as better monitoring and enforcement systems, to ensure that certification standards are upheld. Additionally, the potential for increased consumer demand for certified products should be leveraged to strengthen market-driven solutions to deforestation and forest degradation (Wei et al., 2024). This could lead to a significant increase in both the quantity and quality of certified forests globally, with far-reaching effects on forest conservation and local economies.

The results of this study are primarily driven by the increasing global demand for sustainably sourced products and the growing recognition of the importance of forests in mitigating climate change (Rakuasa et al., 2024). The positive impact of certification can be attributed to the adoption of internationally recognized standards that encourage responsible forest management practices. Certification offers economic incentives to forest managers, thus promoting a long-term investment in sustainability. As markets for certified products expand, forest managers are motivated to comply with the environmental and social criteria set forth by certification bodies.

Another reason for the positive results is the strong enforcement mechanisms and public accountability associated with certification programs like FSC. These programs ensure that certified forests adhere to rigorous environmental and social standards, which can lead to improved forest health and better outcomes for surrounding communities (Krit et al., 2024). The convergence of environmental sustainability and economic incentives has made certification an attractive option for forest managers seeking to secure both ecological benefits and market opportunities.

Moving forward, it is essential to scale up certification programs and integrate them into broader national and international sustainability frameworks. Governments, businesses, and international organizations should work together to promote certification as a key strategy for sustainable forest management (Aziz et al., 2024). Further research is needed to explore the long-term effects of certification on forest ecosystems and communities, particularly in tropical regions where deforestation is most acute.

Future studies could also investigate the potential for integrating other environmental and social certifications, such as those focusing on indigenous rights or carbon credits, to provide a more holistic approach to forest management. Additionally, it would be valuable to assess the challenges faced by small-scale forest managers in obtaining certification and to explore solutions to make certification more accessible and inclusive. As the global demand for

sustainable products continues to rise, certification will likely play an increasingly vital role in shaping the future of forest management.

CONCLUSION

This study identified a unique finding regarding the impact of certification on local community development in addition to environmental benefits. While previous research often focused on the ecological and economic aspects of certification, this study highlighted the significant social benefits, particularly in rural areas where certified forest management can provide employment opportunities, improve local infrastructure, and enhance community welfare. These social outcomes were not as prominently featured in existing literature, which tends to emphasize more direct environmental or economic impacts.

The value of this research lies in its integrated approach to analyzing both the environmental and socio-economic impacts of certification. By utilizing a mixed-methods approach, combining qualitative case studies with quantitative analysis of certified forests, the study offers a comprehensive view of certification's role in sustainable forest management. This methodology allows for a nuanced understanding of how certification affects forest ecosystems, local economies, and communities over time, making it a valuable contribution to the field of sustainable development.

One limitation of this study is the regional focus, which may not fully capture the diversity of forest management contexts worldwide. The findings are based primarily on data from Southeast Asia, where certification programs are more established. Future research should explore the effects of certification in different geographic regions, particularly in countries where certification is still emerging. Additionally, longitudinal studies are needed to assess the long-term sustainability and effectiveness of certification programs, including their adaptability to changing environmental and market conditions.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Author 1: Conceptualization; Project administration; Validation; Writing - review and editing.

Author 2: Conceptualization; Data curation; In-vestigation.

Author 3: Data curation; Investigation.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Arai, Y., Hundera, K., & Yoshikura, T. (2023). Challenges in conserving forest ecosystems through coffee certification: A case study from southwestern Ethiopia. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 11, 1193242. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2023.1193242>
- Azevedo-Ramos, C., Pacheco, J., Lima, R. Y. M., Moutinho, P., von Luepke, H., & Fischer, R. (2026). Conservation and land-use governance in Brazil: Domestic and international interconnectivity. *Land Use Policy*, 161, 107870. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2025.107870>
- Aziz, S., Akhtar, S., & Amin, R. (2024). Illegal Logging and Its Impact on Forest Ecosystems in Southeast Asia. *Selvicoltura Asean*, 1(4), 177–186. <https://doi.org/10.70177/jsa.v1i4.1664>

-
- Bocci, C., & Fortmann, L. (2023). Community and industrial forest concessions: Are they effective at reducing forest loss and does FSC certification play a role? *World Development*, 170, 106315. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2023.106315>
- Bösch, M. (2025). What explains the uneven uptake of forest certification at the global level? New evidence from a panel-data analysis. *World Development*, 188, 106890. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2024.106890>
- Boubacar, I., & Sissoko, Y. (2025). Sustainable forest management through certification and wood products trade: Analyzing the role of the FSC across diverse economic and climatic contexts. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 518, 145786. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2025.145786>
- Bruzzese, S., Brun, F., Galati, A., Borsellino, V., Mirabella, C., Brunori, A., & Blanc, S. (2025). Examining the adoption of PEFC chain of custody certification in the Italian forest-based industry: An empirical study on motivations and impacts. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 170, 103385. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2024.103385>
- Cadman, T., & Köhl, M. (2025). Getting sustainable investments in the forest sector straight: Towards an integrating framework. *Journal of Sustainable Finance and Accounting*, 5, 100017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.josfa.2025.100017>
- Cooper, C., Kadam, P., Jackson, C. R., Hawkins, G. L., & Dwivedi, P. (2025). SFI fiber sourcing certification associated with suspended sediment load reductions in Georgia, USA. *Trees, Forests and People*, 20, 100880. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tfp.2025.100880>
- Corticeiro, S., Brás, G., Tomé, M., Lillebø, A., & Vieira, H. (2024). Forest certification and economic insights: A European perspective. *Frontiers in Forests and Global Change*, 7, 1464837. <https://doi.org/10.3389/ffgc.2024.1464837>
- da Silva, E. J., & Schweinle, J. (2025). Understanding green jobs in the forest sector: Findings from a systematic literature review. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 179, 103608. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2025.103608>
- Damaševičius, R., & Maskeliūnas, R. (2025). An analytical approach to blockchain-driven identity management in sustainable forest supply chains. *Supply Chain Analytics*, 12, 100161. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sca.2025.100161>
- Dröge, S., Depoorter, C., Marx, A., & Muys, B. (2025). Does forest management certification halt forest loss at country level? A global analysis. *Cleaner Production Letters*, 9, 100104. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clpl.2025.100104>
- Fagundes, C., Schreiber, D., Nunes, M. P., Fernandes, M. E., & Damacena, C. (2023). Development and validation of a scale to measure the benefits of Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) certification. *International Forestry Review*, 25(2), 163–176. <https://doi.org/10.1505/146554823837244473>
- Ge, S., O’Ceallaigh, C., & McGetrick, P. J. (2025). A review of best international life cycle assessment (LCA) practices in wood construction: Challenges for Ireland. *Cleaner Environmental Systems*, 16, 100260. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cesys.2025.100260>
- Hsieh, C.-C. (2023). Exploring the hybridization from policy learning on the forest-certification schemes in China. *Chinese Public Administration Review*, 14(1), 54–67. <https://doi.org/10.1177/15396754221140795>
- Hsieh, C.-C., & Yen, L.-K. (2023). Examining the cross-sector impact of NGOs’ roles on forest-certification policy: An empirical study in China. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 146, 102878. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2022.102878>
-

- Hussain, S., Iqbal, K., & Shah, A. (2024). The Role of Protected Areas in Conserving Tropical Forests: A Review. *Selvicoltura Asean*, 1(5), 229–238. <https://doi.org/10.70177/jsa.v1i5.1669>
- Immich, G., & Robl, E. (2023). Development of Structural Criteria for the Certification and Designation of Recreational and Therapeutic Forests in Bavaria, Germany. *Forests*, 14(6), 1273. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f14061273>
- Klarić, K., Pirc Barčić, A., & Basarac Sertić, M. (2023). Assessing the Role of Forest Certification and Macroeconomic Indicators on Croatian Wood Exports to the EU: A Panel Data Approach. *Forests*, 14(9), 1908. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f14091908>
- Krit, P., Chai, N., & Kiat, T. (2024). Forest Restoration and Rehabilitation: A Comparative Analysis of Techniques. *Selvicoltura Asean*, 1(3), 147–156. <https://doi.org/10.70177/selvicoltura.v1i1.172>
- Lewark, S., & Karmann, M. (2025). What does the climate crisis mean for forest work science? Urgent call for reviewing of research priorities. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 177, 103528. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2025.103528>
- Lombardo, E. (2023). Analysis of the propensity of Italian and German forest owners towards forest certification for ecosystem services. *Journal of Forest Science*, 69(6), 266–276. <https://doi.org/10.17221/193/2022-JFS>
- Mayer, A., Martín-López, B., Locatelli, B., Rabeschini, G., Liu, J., Loos, J., Felipe-Lucia, M. R., Riechers, M., & Isaac, R. (2025). Chapter Four—A metacoupling lens on the co-production of nature’s contributions to people: Insights for sustainability. In A. J. Dumbrell (Ed.), *Advances in Ecological Research* (Vol. 72, pp. 91–115). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.aecr.2025.02.001>
- Nebasifu, A. A., D’Amato, D., Ekström, H., Pietarinen, N., Fridén, A., Harrinkari, T., Iliev, B., Brownell, H., May, W., Brockhaus, M., Thomsen, M., & Droste, N. (2025). Comparing Nordic forest governance: Key informant perspectives. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 170, 103368. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2024.103368>
- Rakuasa, H., Latue, P. C., & Pakniany, Y. (2024). Climate Change and its Impact on Asian Forest Landscapes: A Critical Review. *Selvicoltura Asean*, 1(1), 23–16. <https://doi.org/10.55849/selvicoltura.v1i1.172>
- Stankevica, K., Vincevica-Gaile, Z., Krumins, J., Paiders, J., & Klavins, M. (2025). Drivers of forest land, management, and policy changes in Latvia: Over a century of transitions. *Trees, Forests and People*, 22, 101015. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tfp.2025.101015>
- Tian, N., & Pelkki, M. (2023). Corrigendum to “Nonindustrial private forest landowner perspectives on forest certification: A look at awareness and barriers” [*Forest Policy and Economics* 131 (2021), 102552]. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 149, 102919. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2023.102919>
- Tin, K. K., & Kumar, A. (2025). Carbon neutral drying technologies for sustainable transformation in Thailand’s rubber industry. *Sustainable Futures*, 9, 100760. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sftr.2025.100760>
- Wei, S., Xiang, Y., & Li, Z. (2024). Balancing Conservation and Development: A Policy Framework for Sustainable Forest Management. *Selvicoltura Asean*, 1(4), 187–197. <https://doi.org/10.70177/jsa.v1i4.1665>

- Wilson, D. C., Kilgore, M. A., & Snyder, S. A. (2024). Examining the effect of forest certification on timber harvest best management practice implementation. *International Journal of Forest Engineering*, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14942119.2024.2414690>
- Zhao, L., Zhang, J., Duan, R., & Xu, C. (2023). Development and Challenges of China's Ecological Non-Commercial Forest Certification Policy. *Forests*, 14(2), 214. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f14020214>
- Zubizarreta, M., Arana-Landín, G., Siguenza, W., & Cuadrado, J. (2024). Forest certification and its impact on business management and market performance: The key role of motivations. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 166, 103266. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2024.103266>
- Zubizarreta, M., Arana-Landín, G., Wolff, S., & Egiluz, Z. (2023). Assessing the economic impacts of forest certification in Spain: A longitudinal study. *Ecological Economics*, 204, 107630. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2022.107630>
- Zwerts, J. A., Van Der Linde, C. M., Praamstra, G. J., Schipper, J., Trolliet, F., Waeber, P. O., & Garcia, C. A. (2024). Feasibility and effectiveness of global intact forest landscape protection through forest certification: The conservation burden of intact forest landscapes. *Frontiers in Forests and Global Change*, 7, 1335430. <https://doi.org/10.3389/ffgc.2024.1335430>

Copyright Holder :

© Kiran Iqbal et.al (2025).

First Publication Right :

© Journal of Selvicultura Asean

This article is under:

